## DATA MINING DATA EXPLORATION AND STATISTICS

Exploratory data analysis Basic Statistics

## Exploratory data analysis

- In many cases after collecting the data we want to know "what do the data look like?"
- This simple question is hard to answer when dealing with millions of records with millions of attributes
- To answer it we perform measurements that capture properties of the data and give an aggregate picture
- We also produce plots with distributions of these metrics


## Exploratory analysis of data - Summary Statistics

- Summary statistics: numbers that summarize properties of the data
- Summarized properties include frequency, location and spread
- Examples: location - mean
spread - standard deviation
- Most summary statistics can be calculated in a single pass through the data
- Computing data statistics is one of the first steps in understanding our data


## Frequency and Mode

- The frequency of an attribute value is the percentage of time the value occurs in the data set
- For example, given the attribute 'gender' and a representative population of people, the gender 'female' occurs about $50 \%$ of the time.
- The mode of an attribute is the most frequent attribute value
- The notions of frequency and mode are typically used with categorical data or discrete numerical data
- We can visualize the data frequencies using a value histogram
- Frequency, and frequency histogram are the empirical analogues of probability and probability distribution


## Example

| Tid | Refund | Marital <br> Status | Taxable <br> Income |  | Cheat |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Example

| Tid | Refund | Marital <br> Status | Taxable <br> Income | Cheat |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Yes | Single | 125 K | No |
| 2 | No | Married | 100 K | No |
| 3 | No | Single | 70 K | No |
| 4 | Yes | Married | 120 K | No |
| 5 | No | Divorced | 10000 K | Yes |
| 6 | No | NULL | 60 K | No |
| 7 | Yes | Divorced | 220 K | NULL |
| 8 | No | Single | 85 K | Yes |
| 9 | No | Married | 90 K | No |
| 10 | No | Single | 90 K | No |

Marital Status

| Single | Married | Divorced | NULL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $40 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $10 \%$ |

Attribute value distribution

## Example

| Tid | Refund | Marital Status | Taxable Income | Cheat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Yes | Single | 125K | No |
| 2 | No | Married | 100K | No |
| 3 | No | Single | 70K | No |
| 4 | Yes | Married | 120K | No |
| 5 | No | Divorced | 10000K | Yes |
| 6 | No | NULL | 60K | No |
| 7 | Yes | Divorced | 220K | NULL |
| 8 | No | Single | 85K | Yes |
| 9 | No | Married | 90K | No |
| 10 | No | Single | 90K | No |

We can choose to ignore NULL values

Marital Status

| Single | Married | Divorced |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $45 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $22 \%$ |



Marital Status
Marital Status


Attribute value histogram (we could also plot the frequency values)

## Data histograms

| Tid | Refund | Marital <br> Status | Taxable <br> Income | Cheat |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Yes | Single | 125 K | No |
| 2 | No | Married | 100 K | No |
| 3 | No | Single | 70 K | No |
| 4 | Yes | Married | 120 K | No |
| 5 | No | Divorced | 10000 K | Yes |
| 6 | No | NULL | 60 K | No |
| 7 | Yes | Divorced | 220 K | NULL |
| 8 | No | Single | 85 K | Yes |
| 9 | No | Married | 90 K | No |
| 10 | No | Single | 90 K | No |




Marital Status


## Data histograms

| Tid | Refund | Marital <br> Status | Taxable <br> Income | Cheat |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Yes | Single | 125 K | No |
| 2 | No | Married | 100 K | No |
| 3 | No | Single | 70 K | No |
| 4 | Yes | Married | 120 K | No |
| 5 | No | Divorced | 10000 K | Yes |
| 6 | No | NULL | 60 K | No |
| 7 | Yes | Divorced | 220 K | NULL |
| 8 | No | Single | 85 K | Yes |
| 9 | No | Married | 90 K | No |
| 10 | No | Single | 90 K | No |

For real numerical values we use binning to create the histogram


INCOME ■ < 100K ■ [100K,200K] $->200 \mathrm{~K}$


In most plotting libraries, we specify the number of bins and the method creates an equiwidth histogram

## Percentiles

- For continuous data, the notion of a percentile is more useful.

Given an ordinal or continuous attribute $x$ and a number $p$ between 0 and 100 , the $p^{\text {th }}$ percentile is a value $x_{p}$ of $\times$ such that $p \%$ of the observed values of $x$ are less or equal than $x_{p}$.

- For instance, the 80th percentile is the value $x_{80 \%}$ that is greater or equal than $80 \%$ of all the values of $x$ we have in our data.
- The percentiles are the empirical analogue of the cumulative probability distribution function


## Example

| Tid | Refund | Marital <br> Status | Taxable <br> Income | Cheat |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Yes | Single | 125 K | No |
| 2 | No | Married | 100 K | No |
| 3 | No | Single | 70 K | No |
| 4 | Yes | Married | 120 K | No |
| 5 | No | Divorced | 10000 K | Yes |
| 6 | No | NULL | 60 K | No |
| 7 | Yes | Divorced | 220 K | NULL |
| 8 | No | Single | 85 K | Yes |
| 9 | No | Married | 90 K | No |
| 10 | No | Single | 90 K | No |


|  | Taxable <br> Income |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 10000 K |  |
| 2 | 220 K |  |
| 3 | 125 K |  |
| 4 | 120 K | $\quad x_{80 \%}=125 \mathrm{~K}$ |
| 5 | 100 K |  |
| 6 | 90 K |  |
| 7 | 90 K |  |
| 8 | 85 K |  |
| 9 | 70 K |  |
| 10 | 60 K |  |

## Plotting the cumulative distribution

|  | Taxable <br> Income |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 500 K |
| 2 | 220 K |
| 3 | 125 K |
| 4 | 12 K |
| 5 | 100 K |
| 6 | 90 K |
| 7 | 90 K |
| 8 | 85 K |
| 9 | 70 K |
| 10 | 60 K |


$P($ Income $\geq x)$


Plotting the fraction of entries that have value less or equal to $x$, for all possible values $x$ of income in the data

Plotting the fraction of entries that have value greater or equal to $x$, for all possible values $x$ of income in the data

## Rank-Value plot

|  | Taxable <br> Income |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 500 K |
| 2 | 220 K |
| 3 | 125 K |
| 4 | 120 K |
| 5 | 100 K |
| 6 | 90 K |
| 7 | 90 K |
| 8 | 85 K |
| 9 | 70 K |
| 10 | 60 K |



Plotting the values of the income ( $y$ axis) against their rank (x-axis)

The rank of a value is its order when all values are sorted in decreasing order

## Also known as Zipf plot

## Frequency-count plots

- In some cases, we have to put some more work
- Example: market-basked data

| Id | Basket contents |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | milk, coffee |
| 2 | milk, coffee, sugar |
| 3 | milk, coffee, sugar, cookies |
| 4 | milk, tea, bread, butter, jam |
| 5 | milk, bread, butter, honey |
| 6 | milk, cream, honey, flour, eggs |
| 7 | milk, coffee, eggs, bacon |
| 8 | milk |
| 9 | milk, coffee, sugar, eggs, bacon, bread |
| 10 | eggs, bacon, bread |

How do we describe this data?

## Frequency-count plots

## - Example: market-basked data

| Id | Basket contents |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | milk, coffee |
| 2 | milk, coffee, sugar |
| 3 | milk, coffee, sugar, cookies |
| 4 | milk, tea, bread, butter, jam |
| 5 | milk, bread, butter, honey |
| 6 | milk, cream, honey, flour, eggs |
| 7 | milk, coffee, eggs, bacon |
| 8 | milk |
| 9 | milk, coffee, sugar, eggs, bacon, bread |
| 10 | eggs, bacon, bread |


| Basket length |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Id | length |
| 1 | 2 |
| 2 | 3 |
| 3 | 4 |
| 4 | 5 |
| 5 | 4 |
| 6 | 5 |
| 7 | 4 |
| 8 | 1 |
| 9 | 6 |
| 10 | 3 |


| length | count |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 1 |
| 3 | 2 |
| 4 | 3 |
| 5 | 2 |
| 6 | 1 |
| length histogram |  |

## Frequency-count plots

## - Example: market-basked data

| Frequency-count piots |  | Item | count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Example: market-basked data |  | milk | 9 |
|  |  | coffee | 6 |
| Id | Basket contents | eggs | 4 |
| 1 | milk, coffee | bread | 4 |
| 2 | milk, coffee, sugar |  | 3 |
| 3 | milk, coffee, sugar, cookies | sugar |  |
| 4 | milk, tea, bread, butter, jam | bacon | 3 |
| 5 | milk, bread, butter, honey | butter | 2 |
| 6 | milk, coffee, cream, honey, eggs | honey | 2 |
| 7 | milk, coffee, eggs, bacon | cookies | 1 |
| 8 | milk | tea | 1 |
| 9 | milk, coffee, sugar, eggs, bacon, bread |  |  |
| 10 | eggs, bacon, bread | jam | 1 |
|  |  | cream | 1 |

Item counts


## Frequency-count plots

- Example: market-basked data

| Item | count |
| :--- | :--- |
| milk | 9 |
| coffee | 6 |
| eggs | 4 |
| bread | 4 |
| sugar | 3 |
| bacon | 3 |
| butter | 2 |
| honey | 2 |
| cookies | 1 |
| tea | 1 |
| jam | 1 |
| cream | 1 |

Count histogram




## Measures of Location: Mean and Median

- The mean is the most common measure of the location of a set of points.

$$
\operatorname{mean}(x)=\bar{x}=\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}
$$

- However, the mean is very sensitive to outliers.
- Thus, the median is also commonly used.

$$
\operatorname{median}(x)= \begin{cases}x_{(r+1)} & \text { if } m \text { is odd, i.e., } m=2 r+1 \\ \frac{1}{2}\left(x_{(r)}+x_{(r+1)}\right) & \text { if } m \text { is even, i.e., } m=2 r\end{cases}
$$

- Or the trimmed mean: the mean after removing min and max values


## Example



## Measures of Spread: Range and Variance

- Range is the difference between the max and min
- The variance or standard deviation is the most common measure of the spread of a set of points.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{var}(x)=\frac{1}{m-1} \sum_{i=1}^{m}(x-\bar{x})^{2} \\
\sigma(x)=\sqrt{\operatorname{var}(x)}
\end{gathered}
$$

$m$ or $m-1$ ?
When computing the sample variance $m-1$ is used
For large data it does not make much difference

## Normal Distribution



- An important distribution that characterizes many quantities and has a central role in probabilities and statistics.
- Appears also in the central limit theorem: the distribution of the sum of IID random variables.
- Fully characterized by the mean $\mu$ and standard deviation $\sigma$


## Not everything is normally distributed

- Plot of number of words with x number of occurrences

- If this was a normal distribution we would not have number of occurrences as large as 28 K


## Power-law distribution

- We can understand the distribution of words if we take the log-log plot
$y$ : logarithm of number of words with $x$ number of occurrences

x: logarithm of number of occurrences
Linear relationship in the log-log space

$$
\log p(x=k)=-a \log k
$$

Power-law distribution:

$$
p(k)=k^{-a}
$$

The slope of the line gives us the exponent $\alpha$

## Power-laws are everywhere

- Incoming and outgoing links of web pages, number of friends in social networks, number of occurrences of words, file sizes, city sizes, income distribution, popularity of products and movies
- Signature of human activity?
- A mechanism that explains everything?
- Rich get richer process
- Related distribution: log-normal
- Taking the log of the values gives a normal distribution



## Zipf's law

- Power laws can be detected also by a linear relationship in the log-log space for the rank-value plot
$y$ : number of occurrences of the r-th most frequent word


Zipf distribution: $f(r)=r^{-\beta}$
$r$ : rank of word according to frequency ( $1^{\text {st }}, 2^{\text {nd }} \ldots$ )

- $f(r)$ : Frequency of the $r$-th most frequent word

$$
\log f(r)=-\beta \log r
$$

## The importance of correct representation

- Consider the following three plots which are histograms of values. What do you observe? What can you tell of the underlying function?



## The importance of correct representation

- Putting all three plots together makes it clearer to see the differences

- Green falls more slowly. Blue and Red seem more or less the same


## The importance of correct representation

- Making the plot in log-log space makes the differences more clear


Linear relationship in log-log means polynomial in linear-linear The slope in the log-log is the exponent of the polynomial

Exponential relationship remains exponential in log-log

- Green and Blue form straight lines. Red drops exponentially.
- $y=\frac{1}{2 x+\epsilon}$
$\log y \approx-\log x+c$
- $y=\frac{1}{x^{2}+\epsilon}$
$\log y \approx-2 \log x+c$
- $y=2^{-x}+\epsilon$
$\log y \approx-x+c=-10^{\log x}+c$


## Attribute relationships

- In many cases it is interesting to look at two attributes together to understand if they are correlated
- E.g., how does your marital status relate with tax cheating?
- E.g., Does refund correlate with average income?
- Is there a relationship between years of study and income?
- How do we measure and visualize these relationships?


## Correlating categorical attributes

| Tid | Refund | Marital <br> Status | Taxable <br> Income | Cheat |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Yes | Single | 125 K | No |
| 2 | No | Married | 100 K | No |
| 3 | No | Single | 70 K | No |
| 4 | Yes | Married | 120 K | No |
| 5 | No | Divorced | 10000 K | Yes |
| 6 | No | Married | 60 K | No |
| 7 | Yes | Divorced | 220 K | No |
| 8 | No | Single | 85 K | Yes |
| 9 | No | Married | 90 K | No |
| 10 | No | Single | 90 K | No |

Confusion or Contingency Matrix

|  | No | Yes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Single | 2 | 1 |
| Married | 4 | 0 |
| Divorced | 2 | 1 |

## Correlating categorical attributes

| Tid | Refund | Marital <br> Status | Taxable <br> Income | Cheat |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Yes | Single | 125 K | No |
| 2 | No | Married | 100 K | No |
| 3 | No | Single | 70 K | No |
| 4 | Yes | Married | 120 K | No |
| 5 | No | Divorced | 10000 K | Yes |
| 6 | No | Married | 60 K | No |
| 7 | Yes | Divorced | 220 K | No |
| 8 | No | Single | 85 K | Yes |
| 9 | No | Married | 90 K | No |
| 10 | No | Single | 90 K | No |

Confusion Matrix

|  | No | Yes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Single | 2 | 1 |
| Married | 4 | 0 |
| Divorced | 2 | 1 |

## Joint Distribution Matrix

|  | No | Yes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Single | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Married | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| Divorced | 0.2 | 0.1 |


|  | No | Yes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Single | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Married | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| Divorced | 0.2 | 0.1 |

It can also be represented as a heatmap

## Correlating categorical attributes

| Tid | Refund | Marital <br> Status | Taxable <br> Income | Cheat |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Yes | Single | 125 K | No |
| 2 | No | Married | 100 K | No |
| 3 | No | Single | 70 K | No |
| 4 | Yes | Married | 120 K | No |
| 5 | No | Divorced | 10000 K | Yes |
| 6 | No | Married | 60 K | No |
| 7 | Yes | Divorced | 220 K | No |
| 8 | No | Single | 85 K | Yes |
| 9 | No | Married | 90 K | No |
| 10 | No | Single | 90 K | No |

Joint Distribution Matrix


Marginal distribution for Cheat

## Correlating categorical attributes

| Tid | Refund | Marital <br> Status | Taxable <br> Income | Cheat |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Yes | Single | 125 K | No |
| 2 | No | Married | 100 K | No |
| 3 | No | Single | 70 K | No |
| 4 | Yes | Married | 120 K | No |
| 5 | No | Divorced | 10000 K | Yes |
| 6 | No | Married | 60 K | No |
| 7 | Yes | Divorced | 220 K | No |
| 8 | No | Single | 85 K | Yes |
| 9 | No | Married | 90 K | No |
| 10 | No | Single | 90 K | No |

How do we know if there are interesting correlations?

Joint Distribution Matrix P Independence Matrix E

|  | No | Yes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Single | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Married | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Divorced | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
|  | 0.8 | 0.2 | 1 |


|  | No | Yes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Single | 0.24 | 0.06 | 0.3 |
| Married | 0.32 | 0.08 | 0.4 |
| Divorced | 0.24 | 0.06 | 0.3 |
|  | 0.8 | 0.2 | 1 |

Compare the values $P_{x y}$ with $E_{x y} L$

The product of the two marginal values $0.3^{*} 0.8$

## Correlating categorical attributes

| Tid | Refund | Marital <br> Status | Taxable <br> Income | Cheat |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Yes | Single | 125 K | No |
| 2 | No | Married | 100 K | No |
| 3 | No | Single | 70 K | No |
| 4 | Yes | Married | 120 K | No |
| 5 | No | Divorced | 10000 K | Yes |
| 6 | No | Married | 60 K | No |
| 7 | Yes | Divorced | 220 K | No |
| 8 | No | Single | 85 K | Yes |
| 9 | No | Married | 90 K | No |
| 10 | No | Single | 90 K | No |

Joint Distribution Matrix P Independence Matrix E

|  | No | Yes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Single | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Married | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Divorced | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
|  | 0.8 | 0.2 | 1 |


|  | No | Yes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Single | 0.24 | 0.06 | 0.3 |
| Married | 0.32 | 0.08 | 0.4 |
| Divorced | 0.24 | 0.06 | 0.3 |
|  | 0.8 | 0.2 | 1 |

We can compare specific pairs of values:

- If $P(x, y) \gg E(x, y)$ there is positive correlation (e.g, Married, No)
- If $P(x, y) \ll E(x, y)$ there is negative correlation (e.g., Single, No)
- Otherwise, there is no correlation

The quantity $\frac{P(x, y)}{E(x, y)}=\frac{P(x, y)}{P(x) P(y)}$ is called Lift, or Pointwise Mutual Information

## Correlating categorical attributes

| Tid | Refund | Marital <br> Status | Taxable <br> Income | Cheat |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Yes | Single | 125 K | No |
| 2 | No | Married | 100 K | No |
| 3 | No | Single | 70 K | No |
| 4 | Yes | Married | 120 K | No |
| 5 | No | Divorced | 10000 K | Yes |
| 6 | No | Married | 60 K | No |
| 7 | Yes | Divorced | 220 K | No |
| 8 | No | Single | 85 K | Yes |
| 9 | No | Married | 90 K | No |
| 10 | No | Single | 90 K | No |

Joint Distribution Matrix P

|  | No | Yes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Single | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| Married | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Divorced | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
|  | 0.8 | 0.2 | 1 |

Independence Matrix E

|  | No | Yes |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Single | 0.24 | 0.06 | 0.3 |
| Married | 0.32 | 0.08 | 0.4 |
| Divorced | 0.24 | 0.06 | 0.3 |
|  | 0.8 | 0.2 | 1 |

Or compare the two attributes:
Pearson $x^{2}$ Independence Test Statistic:

$$
U=N \sum_{x} \sum_{y} \frac{\left(P_{x y}-E_{x y}\right)^{2}}{E_{x y}}
$$

We want this to be large. But how large is large enough?

## Hypothesis testing

- How important is the statistic value $U$ that we computed?
- Formulate a null hypothesis $H_{0}$ :
- $H_{0}=$ the two attributes are independent
- Compute the distribution of the statistic $U$ in the case that $H_{0}$ is true - In this case we can show that the statistic $U$ follows a $\chi^{2}$ distribution
- For the statistic value $U=\theta$ we observe in our data, compute the probability $P(U \geq \theta)$ under the null hypothesis
- For most distributions there are tables that give these numbers for our data
- This is the p-value of our experiment:

The p-value is the probability under $H_{0}$ (independence) of observing a value of the test statistic the same as, or more extreme than the one that was actually observed

- We want it to be small (ideally $\leq 0.01, \leq 0.05$ is good , $\leq 0.1$ is ok) - This means that the observed value is interesting and we can reject the null hypothesis


## Hypothesis Testing and p-values - A simple example

- A coin is tossed 20 times, and we get 16 heads.
- Hypothesis $H_{1}=$ "The coin is not fair"
- Null Hypothesis $H_{0}=$ "The coin is fair" (probability $50 \%$ for head)
- $p$-value: What is the probability of getting a number of heads that is the same or more extreme than 16 ?
- One-sided p-value: $\operatorname{Pr}(H \geq 16)=0.0059$
- Two-sided p-value: $\operatorname{Pr}(H \geq 16)+\operatorname{Pr}(H \leq 4)=0.0118$
- With significance level $\alpha=0.05$ we can conclude that we can reject the null hypothesis


## P-values

- The p-value tells us the probability that the value we observe could appear in data generated under the null hypothesis.
- The null hypothesis proposes a (random) model for the data generation
- The p-value answers the question: "If the null hypothesis model was correct how likely would it be to observe the value we observe"?
- Be careful!
- A p-value $\phi$ does not mean that the null hypothesis is correct with probability $\phi$
- A high p-value (e.g., 90\%) does not mean that the null hypothesis is true, it only means that the data is consistent with the model of the null hypothesis
- Ap-value $\phi$ does not mean that our hypothesis is correct with probability $1-\phi$
- A p-value of $3 \%$ does not mean that our hypothesis is correct with probability $97 \%$
- It only means that the data is not consistent with the null hypothesis random model


## Correlating categorical and numerical attributes

| Tid | Refund | Marital <br> Status | Taxable <br> Income | Cheat |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Yes | Single | 125 K | No |
| 2 | No | Married | 100 K | No |
| 3 | No | Single | 70 K | No |
| 4 | Yes | Married | 120 K | No |
| 5 | No | Divorced | 10000 K | Yes |
| 6 | No | NULL | 60 K | No |
| 7 | Yes | Divorced | 220 K | NULL |
| 8 | No | Single | 85 K | Yes |
| 9 | No | Married | 90 K | No |
| 10 | No | Single | 90 K | No |



## Categorical and numerical attributes

| Tid | Refund | Marital <br> Status | Taxable <br> Income |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cheat |  |  |  |  |$|$| 1 | Yes | Single | 125 K | No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | No | Married | 100 K | No |
| 3 | No | Single | 70 K | No |
| 4 | Yes | Married | 120 K | No |
| 5 | No | Divorced | 10000 K | Yes |
| 6 | No | NULL | 60 K | No |
| 7 | Yes | Divorced | 220 K | NULL |
| 8 | No | Single | 85 K | Yes |
| 9 | No | Married | 90 K | No |
| 10 | No | Single | 90 K | No |

After removing the outlier value

Average Income vs Refund


How informative are the means?

## Categorical and numerical attributes

Compute error bars

| Tid | Refund | Marital Status | Taxable Income | Cheat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Yes | Single | 125K | No |
| 2 | No | Married | 100K | No |
| 3 | No | Single | 70K | No |
| 4 | Yes | Married | 120K | No |
| 5 | No | Divorced | 10000K | Yes |
| 6 | No | NULL | 60K | No |
| 7 | Yes | Divorced | 220K | NULL |
| 8 | No | Single | 85K | Yes |
| 9 | No | Married | 90K | No |
| 10 | No | Single | 90K | No |



Error bars give a measure of the variability of the mean

## Error bars

- Error bars may be:
- The range
- The standard deviation
- The standard error
- The $95 \%$ confidence interval $]$

Descriptive error bars: They tell us something about the underlying distribution of the data
]
Inferential error bars: They tell us something about the quality of the estimation of the mean

- Inferential error bars get more informative the more data we collect.
- We should always specify what the error bars mean in a plot.


## Standard Error (of the Mean)

- The Standard Error (SE) is usually defined for the mean of a sample of values $X$ (it is also known as SEM - Standard Error of the Mean) and it is a measure of the deviation of the sample mean from the true mean.
- It is defined as:

$$
s e=\frac{\hat{\sigma}(X)}{\sqrt{n}}
$$

where $\hat{\sigma}(X)=$ empirical standard deviation.

- As the sample size grows the SE is reduced (we have a better estimation of the mean)
- Computation follows from the fact that

$$
s e=\hat{\sigma}(\hat{\mu}), \hat{\mu}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} X_{i}
$$

- We assume that $X_{i}$ are independent samples of the random variable $X$ that come from the same distribution. We use the fact that:

$$
\operatorname{Var}\left(\sum_{i} \alpha_{i} X_{i}\right)=\sum_{i} \alpha_{i}^{2} \operatorname{Var}\left(X_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i} \operatorname{Var}(X)=\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Var}(X)
$$

## Confidence interval

- We want to estimate the average income $\mu$ which is a fixed value.
- We have a sample of the population and the measurements $\left\{X_{i}\right\}$ of incomes and we estimate the average income as:

$$
\hat{\mu}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} X_{i}
$$

- The $p$-confidence interval of the value $\mu$ is an interval of values $C_{n}$ such that

$$
P\left(\mu \in C_{n}\right) \geq p
$$

- We usually ask for the $95 \%$ confidence interval
- Important: The probability is taken over the many different samples of the population
- Different samples will generate different confidence intervals
- There is a $95 \%$ chance that each of these intervals contains the true mean $\mu$
- It is incorrect to say that this is the probability that $\mu$ belongs to the interval
- The value $\hat{\mu}$ follows a normal distribution for large $n$. For normal distributions the $95 \%$ confidence interval (for large enough $n$ ) is:

$$
(\hat{\mu}-2 s e, \hat{\mu}+2 s e)
$$

## Example

- If we obtain an estimate of the mean for 20 different population samples, we will obtain 20 different 95\%confidence intervals.
- We expect that $1 / 20$ of these intervals will not contain the true mean (the dotted line)



## Error bars example

- The different error bars and how they change as the sample size increases
- Out of the four different error bars, the confidence interval is probably the most informative.



## Statistical significance

- Given the means of two populations an important question is whether the

Average Income vs Refund difference we observe is statistically significant

- Statistical significance is estimated by computing a $p$-value with respect to a null hypothesis
- The value is compared to a significance level $\alpha$ which is usually set to 0.05 (or 0.01 )


## Statistical significance via error bar overlap

- It is not always safe to declare that there is statistical significance when error bars do not overlap
- We may have statistically significant differences when there is overlap, or no statistical significance when there is no overlap
- We can say that there is statistically significant difference of means when sample sizes are comparable, and the $95 \%$-confidence intervals do not overlap
- There are a little more complex rules for the standard error.


## Statistical tests

- Statistical tests measure specific values and determine their statistical significance
- For example measure the importance of the difference between the means (e.g., average grade) of two populations (e.g., students in cities vs students in rural areas).
- The magnitude of the value that is measured is also called the effect size
- The statistical significance of this value is measured with respect to a null hypothesis
- For example: the difference of the means is zero
- The statistical test assumes a random model for the underlying data
- For example, the data are generated by a Gaussian distribution
- The statistical test produces a p-value for the statistical significance of the values we observe


## Statistical tests - The Student t-test

- The Student t-test tests if the difference of the means of two samples is "big enough"

$$
t=\frac{\bar{X}-\bar{Y}}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{X}^{2}}{N_{X}}+\frac{\sigma_{Y}^{2}}{N_{Y}}}}
$$

- Large t-value (effect size):
- Large difference between the means
- Small variance in the samples (more accurate measurements)
- Large sample sizes (more reliable)


## Statistical tests - The Student t-test

- The Student t-test produces a p-value: Measures the probability of the null hypothesis that the two distributions have zero difference in mean
- This is what we care about, the $t$-value is usually not looked at
- Student t-test assumptions:
- (near) Gaussian distribution of the data,
- (near) same variance,
- similar sample sizes.
- There is paired and unpaired Student t-test
- Example of paired: behavior before and after a treatment.


## Statistical tests - The KS-test

- The Kolomogorov-Smirnov (KS) test, tests if two samples come from the same distribution (or come from a specific distribution)
- Take the cumulative distribution function (CDF) of the two distributions
- Compute:

$$
D\left(C_{1}, C_{2}\right)=\max _{x}\left|C_{1}(x)-C_{2}(x)\right|
$$

- We can reject the null hypothesis if:

$$
D\left(C_{1}, C_{2}\right)>c(\alpha) \sqrt{\frac{N_{1}+N_{2}}{N_{1} N_{2}}}
$$

- $\alpha$ is the confidence level, $c(\alpha)$ is given by some tables




## Statistical tests - Permutation testing

- Most tests make some assumption about the underlying distribution of the data.
- A non-parametric statistical test is the permutation test
- Create random instances of the data by randomly permuting values
- E.g., permute the Cheat labels randomly
- Compute a statistic of interest for the permuted data
- E.g., the average income of the cheaters
- Repeat this several times (at least 1000)
- Compute the empirical p-value: the fraction of permutations where we have a value that is equal or more extreme than the one observed.


## Example

Empirical null distribution


## Correlating numerical attributes

| Tid | Refund | Marital <br> Status | Taxable <br> Income | Years <br> of <br> Study |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Yes | Single | 125 K | 4 |
| 2 | No | Married | 100 K | 5 |
| 3 | No | Single | 70 K | 3 |
| 4 | Yes | Married | 120 K | 3 |
| 5 | No | Divorced | 10000 K | 6 |
| 6 | No | NULL | 60 K | 1 |
| 7 | Yes | Divorced | 220 K | 8 |
| 8 | No | Single | 85 K | 3 |
| 9 | No | Married | 90 K | 2 |
| 10 | No | Single | 90 K | 4 |

Scatter plot:
X axis is one attribute, Y axis is the other For each entry we have two values Plot the entries as two-dimensional points


## Correlating numerical attributes

| Tid | Refund | Marital <br> Status | Taxable <br> Income | Years <br> of <br> Study |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Yes | Single | 125 K | 4 |
| 2 | No | Married | 100 K | 5 |
| 3 | No | Single | 70 K | 3 |
| 4 | Yes | Married | 120 K | 3 |
| 5 | No | Divorced | 10000 K | 6 |
| 6 | No | NULL | 60 K | 1 |
| 7 | Yes | Divorced | 220 K | 8 |
| 8 | No | Single | 85 K | 3 |
| 9 | No | Married | 90 K | 2 |
| 10 | No | Single | 90 K | 4 |

Scatter plot:
X axis is one attribute, Y axis is the other
For each entry we have two values
Plot the entries as two-dimensional points
Log-scale in $y$-axis makes the plot look a little better


## Plotting attributes against each other

| Tid | Refund | Marital <br> Status | Taxable <br> Income | Years <br> of <br> Study |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Yes | Single | 125 K | 4 |
| 2 | No | Married | 100 K | 5 |
| 3 | No | Single | 70 K | 3 |
| 4 | Yes | Married | 120 K | 3 |
| 5 | No | Divorced | 10000 K | 6 |
| 6 | No | NULL | 60 K | 1 |
| 7 | Yes | Divorced | 220 K | 8 |
| 8 | No | Single | 85 K | 3 |
| 9 | No | Married | 90 K | 2 |
| 10 | No | Single | 90 K | 4 |

Scatter plot:
X axis is one attribute, Y axis is the other
For each entry we have two values
Plot the entries as two-dimensional points

After removing the outlier value there is a clear correlation


## Scatter Plot Array of Iris Attributes



## Measuring correlation

- Pearson correlation coefficient: measures the extent to which two variables are linearly correlated
- $X=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$

Must have pairs of observations


- $Y=\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right\}$
$\cdot \operatorname{corr}(X, Y)=\frac{\Sigma_{i}\left(x_{i}-\mu_{X}\right)\left(y_{i}-\mu_{Y}\right)}{\sqrt{\Sigma_{i}\left(x_{i}-\mu_{X}\right)^{2}} \sqrt{\Sigma_{i}\left(y_{i}-\mu_{Y}\right)^{2}}}$

- It comes with a $p$-value
- The $p$-value is the probability that the correlation was by chance.


## Pearson correlation

- Assumptions:
- Variables are normally distributed
- No outliers
- A linear relationship between the variables
- Caveats
- For large samples p-values will always be small
- Except for the p-value we need to also look at the effect size: the value of $r=$ $\operatorname{corr}(X, Y)$
- Interpretation
- The value of $r^{2}$ measures the fraction of variance in one variable that is explained by the values of the other variable (shared variance)

$$
r=\frac{\operatorname{cov}(X, Y)}{\sigma_{X} \sigma_{Y}}
$$

## Rank correlation

- Spearman rank correlation coefficient: tells us if two variable are rankcorrelated
- They place items in the same order - Pearson correlation of the rank vectors
- From $X=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ we get $\left\{r_{1}^{X}, r_{2}^{X}, \ldots, r_{n}^{X}\right\}, r_{i}^{X}=$ rank of $i^{\text {th }}$ observation in $X$
- From $Y=\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right\}$ we get $\left\{r_{1}^{Y}, r_{2}^{Y}, \ldots, r_{n}^{Y}\right\}, r_{i}^{Y}=$ rank of $i^{\text {th }}$ observation in $Y$
- $\operatorname{spearman}(X, Y)=\operatorname{corr}\left(r^{X}, r^{Y}\right)=\frac{\sum_{i}\left(r_{i}^{X}-\mu_{r} X\right)\left(r_{i}^{Y}-\mu_{r^{Y}}\right)}{\sqrt{\sum_{i}\left(r_{i}^{X}-\mu_{r} X\right)^{2}} \sqrt{\sum_{i}\left(r_{i}^{X}-\mu_{r} X\right)^{2}}}$
- For ranking without ties it looks at the differences between the ranks of the same items
- $\operatorname{spearman}(X, Y)=1-\frac{6 \sum_{i}\left(r_{i}^{X}-r_{i}^{Y}\right)^{2}}{n\left(n^{2}-1\right)}$
- Spearman coefficient also comes with a p-value


## Rank correlation

## - Spearman coefficient does not assume a linear relationship, but a monotonic one



Monotonic but not linear relationship: Perfect Spearman correlation


Elliptical distribution
Pearson and Spearman are more-or-less the same


Pearson is more sensitive to outliers

## Statistical significance vs Scientific significance

- Statistics place a lot of emphasis on the p-values and the statistical significance
- However, $p$-values may be small but the finding to not be of scientific interest
- A difference or a correlation may be statistically significant, but too small to be of scientific interest
- We need to evaluate the results beyond simply looking at the $p$-values.
- We also need to look at the effect size, or the impact of the computed difference.


## Plotting attributes together

Product Sales

| City | Product 1 | Product 2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| New York | 100 | 60 |
| Chicago | 70 | 150 |
| San Francisco | 30 | 80 |

- 



How would you visualize the differences between the product sales per city?

## Plotting attributes together

| Year | Product 1 Product 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011 | 100 | 200 |
| 2012 | 200 | 250 |
| 2013 | 180 | 300 |
| 2014 | 300 | 350 |
| 2015 | 500 | 490 |
| 2016 | 600 | 500 |
| 2017 | 650 | 550 |
| 2018 | 640 | 540 |
| 2019 | 700 | 500 |
| 2020 | 200 | 100 |

How would you visualize the differences between the product sales over time?


