## DATA MINING LINK ANALYSIS RANKING

PageRank - Random walks
HITS

## Network Science

- A number of complex systems can be modeled as networks (graphs).
- The Web
- (Online) Social Networks
- Biological systems
- Communication networks (internet, email)
- The Economy
- We cannot truly understand such complex systems unless we understand the underlying network.
- Everything is connected, studying individual entities gives only a partial view of a system
- Data mining for networks is a very popular area
- Application to the Web is one of the success stories for network data mining.


## A case study：Searching the web

－First try：Manually curated Web Directories

about dmoz $\mid$ dmoz blog｜suggest UPI $\mid$ help $\mid$ link $\mid$ editor login
Search advanced

| Arts | Business | Computers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movies．Television．Music．．． | Jobs，Real Estate．Investing．．． | Intermet Software，Hardware． |
| Games | Health | Home |
| Video Games，RPGs，Gambling． | Fitness，Medicine，Altermative． | Family Consumers，Cooking． |
| Kids and Teens | News | Recreation |
| Arts，School Time，Ieen Life．． | Media．Newspapers．Weather． | Travel Food Outdoors Humor． |
| Reference | Regional | Science |
| Maps．Education Libraries．．． | US．Canada．UK．Europe．．． | Biology．Psychology．Physics．．． |
| Shopping | Society | Sports |
| Clothing Food．Gifts．．． | People．Religion．Issues．．． | Baseball Soccer B Basketball．． |
| World |  |  |
| Catala Dansk Deutsch Español． | Français．Italiano．旦本語．Nede | nds．Polski．Pyccruĭ．Svenska． |

## A case study: Searching the web

## - Second try: Web Search

- Information Retrieval investigates:
- Find relevant docs in a small and trusted set e.g., Newspaper articles, Patents, etc. ("needle-in-a-haystack")
- Limitation of keywords (synonyms, polysemy, etc)
- But: Web is huge, full of untrusted documents, random things, web spam, etc.
- Everyone can create a web page of high production value
- Rich diversity of people issuing queries
- Dynamic and constantly-changing nature of web content


## A case study: Searching the web

- Third try (the Google era): using the web graph
- Sift from relevance to authoritativeness
- It is not only important that a page is relevant, but that it is also important on the web
- For example, what kind of results would we like to get for the query "game of thrones"?


## Link Analysis Ranking

- Use the graph structure to determine the relative importance of the nodes
- Applications: Ranking on graphs (Web, Twitter, FB, etc)
- Intuition: An edge from node p to node q denotes endorsement
- Node p endorses/recommends/confirms the authority/centrality/importance of node q
- Use the graph of recommendations to assign an authority value to every node


What is the simplest way to measure importance of a page on the web?

## Rank by Popularity

- Rank pages according to the number of incoming edges (indegree, degree centrality)


1. Red Page
2. Yellow Page
3. Blue Page
4. Purple Page
5. Green Page

## Popularity



- It is not important only how many link to you, but how important are the people that link to you.
- Good authorities are pointed by good authorities
- Recursive definition of importance

PAGERANK

## PageRank

## - Good authorities should be pointed by good authorities

- The value of a node is the value of the nodes that point to it.
-How do we implement that?
- Assume that we have a unit of authority to distribute to all nodes.
- Node $i$ gets a fraction $w_{i}$ of that authority weight
- Each node distributes the authority value they have to their neighbors
- The authority value of each node is the sum of the authority fractions it collects from its neighbors.

$$
w_{i}=\sum_{j \rightarrow i} \frac{1}{\left|N_{\text {out }}(j)\right|} w_{j}
$$

## Example

$$
w_{i}=\sum_{j \rightarrow i} \frac{1}{\left|N_{\text {out }}(j)\right|} w_{j}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{w}_{1}=1 / 3 \mathrm{w}_{4}+1 / 2 \mathrm{w}_{5} \\
& \mathrm{w}_{2}=1 / 2 \mathrm{w}_{1}+\mathrm{w}_{3}+1 / 3 \mathrm{w}_{4} \\
& \mathrm{w}_{3}=1 / 2 \mathrm{w}_{1}+1 / 3 \mathrm{w}_{4} \\
& \mathrm{w}_{4}=1 / 2 \mathrm{w}_{5} \\
& \mathrm{w}_{5}=\mathrm{w}_{2} \\
& \mathrm{w}_{1}+\mathrm{w}_{2}+\mathrm{w}_{3}+\mathrm{w}_{4}+\mathrm{w}_{5}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

We can obtain the weights by solving this system of equations

## Computing PageRank weights

- A simpler way to compute the weights is by iteratively updating the weights using the equations
- PageRank Algorithm

Initialize all PageRank weights to $w_{i}^{0}=\frac{1}{n}$
Repeat:

$$
w_{i}^{t}=\sum_{j \rightarrow i} \frac{1}{\left|N_{\text {out }}(j)\right|} w_{j}^{t-1}
$$

Until the weights do not change

- This process converges


## Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{w}_{1}=1 / 3 \mathrm{w}_{4}+1 / 2 \mathrm{w}_{5} \\
& \mathrm{w}_{2}=1 / 2 \mathrm{w}_{1}+\mathrm{w}_{3}+1 / 3 \mathrm{w}_{4} \\
& \mathrm{w}_{3}=1 / 2 \mathrm{w}_{1}+1 / 3 \mathrm{w}_{4} \\
& \mathrm{w}_{4}=1 / 2 \mathrm{w}_{5} \\
& \mathrm{w}_{5}=\mathrm{w}_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$



Think of the weight as a fluid: there is constant amount of it in the graph, but it moves around until it stabilizes

## Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{w}_{1}=1 / 3 \mathrm{w}_{4}+1 / 2 \mathrm{w}_{5} \\
& \mathrm{w}_{2}=1 / 2 \mathrm{w}_{1}+\mathrm{w}_{3}+1 / 3 \mathrm{w}_{4} \\
& \mathrm{w}_{3}=1 / 2 \mathrm{w}_{1}+1 / 3 \mathrm{w}_{4} \\
& \mathrm{w}_{4}=1 / 2 \mathrm{w}_{5} \\
& \mathrm{w}_{5}=\mathrm{w}_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$



Think of the weight as a fluid: there is constant amount of it in the graph, but it moves around until it stabilizes

## The PageRank algorithm

Think of the nodes in the graph as containers of capacity of 1 liter.

We distribute a liter of liquid equally to all containers


## The PageRank algorithm

The edges act like pipes that transfer liquid between nodes.


## The PageRank algorithm

The edges act like pipes that transfer liquid between nodes.

The contents of each node are distributed to its neighbors.


## The PageRank algorithm

The edges act like pipes that transfer liquid between nodes.

The contents of each node are distributed to its neighbors.


## The PageRank algorithm

The edges act like pipes that transfer liquid between nodes.

The contents of each node are distributed to its neighbors.


## The PageRank algorithm

The system will reach an equilibrium state where the amount of liquid in each node remains constant.


## The PageRank algorithm

The amount of liquid in each node determines the importance of the node.

Large quantity means large incoming flow from nodes with large quantity of liquid.


## Random Walks on Graphs

- The algorithm defines a random walk on the graph
- Random walk:
- Start from a node chosen uniformly at random with probability $\frac{1}{n}$.
- Pick one of the outgoing edges uniformly at random
- Move to the destination of the edge
- Repeat.


## Example

Step 0


## Example

Step 0


## Example

Step 1


## Example

Step 1


## Example

Step 2


## Example

Step 2


## Example

Step 3


## Example

Step 3


## Example

Step 4...


## Random walk

- Question: what is the probability $p_{i}^{t}$ of being at node $i$ after $t$ steps?

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
p_{1}^{0}=\frac{1}{5} & p_{1}^{t}=\frac{1}{3} p_{4}^{t-1}+\frac{1}{2} p_{5}^{t-1} \\
p_{2}^{0}=\frac{1}{5} & p_{2}^{t}=\frac{1}{2} p_{1}^{t-1}+p_{3}^{t-1}+\frac{1}{3} p_{4}^{t-1} \\
p_{3}^{0}=\frac{1}{5} & p_{3}^{t}=\frac{1}{2} p_{1}^{t-1}+\frac{1}{3} p_{4}^{t-1} \\
p_{4}^{0}=\frac{1}{5} & p_{4}^{t}=\frac{1}{2} p_{5}^{t-1} \\
p_{5}^{0}=\frac{1}{5} & p_{5}^{t}=p_{2}^{t-1}
\end{array}
$$



$$
p_{i}^{t}=\sum_{j \rightarrow i} \frac{1}{\left|N_{\text {out }}(j)\right|} p_{j}^{t-1}
$$

The equations are the same as those for the PageRank iterative computation

## Random walk

- At convergence:

$$
p_{i}=\sum_{j \rightarrow i} \frac{1}{\left|N_{\text {out }}(j)\right|} p_{j}
$$

We get the same equation as for PageRank


The PageRank of node $i$ is the probability that the random walk is at node $i$ after a very large (infinite) number of steps

## Markov chains

- A Markov chain describes a discrete time stochastic process over a set of states

$$
S=\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{n}\right\}
$$

according to a transition probability matrix $P=\left\{P_{i j}\right\}$

- $P_{i j}=$ probability of moving from state $i$ to state $j$
- Matrix $P$ has the property that the entries of all rows sum to 1

$$
\sum_{j} P[i, j]=1
$$

A matrix with this property is called stochastic

## Markov chains

- The stochastic process proceeds in steps and moves between the states:
- State probability distribution: The vector $p^{t}=\left(p_{1}^{t}, p_{2}^{t}, \ldots, p_{n}^{t}\right)$ that stores the probability distribution of being at state $s_{i}$ after $t$ steps
- Memorylessness property: The next state of the chain depends only at the current state and not on the past of the process (first order MC)
- Higher order MCs are also possible
- We can compute the vector $p^{t}$ at step $t$ using a vector-matrix multiplication

$$
p^{t}=p^{t-1} P
$$

## Stationary distribution

- The stationary distribution of a random walk with transition matrix $P$, is a probability distribution $\pi$, such that $\pi=\pi P$
- The stationary distribution is an eigenvector of matrix $P$
- the principal left eigenvector of $P$ - stochastic matrices have maximum eigenvalue 1
- Markov Chain Theory: The random walk converges to a unique stationary distribution independent of the initial vector under some conditions


## Random walks

- Markov Chains are equivalent to random walks
- The set of states $S$ is the set of nodes of the graph $G$
- The transition probability matrix is the probability that we follow an edge from one node to another

$$
P[i, j]=\frac{1}{\left|\mathrm{~N}_{\text {out }}(i)\right|}
$$

## The Pagerank random walk and Markov Chain

$A=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0\end{array}\right]$
$P=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}0 & 1 / 2 & 1 / 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 / 3 & 1 / 3 & 1 / 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 / 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 / 2 & 0\end{array}\right]$


## The Pagerank random walk and Markov Chain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 1 / 2 & 1 / 2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 / 3 & 1 / 3 & 1 / 3 & 0 & 0 \\
1 / 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 / 2 & 0
\end{array}\right] \\
& p_{1}^{t}=\frac{1}{3} p_{4}^{t-1}+\frac{1}{2} p_{5}^{t-1} \\
& p_{2}^{t}=\frac{1}{2} p_{1}^{t-1}+p_{3}^{t-1}+\frac{1}{3} p_{4}^{t-1} \\
& p_{3}^{t}=\frac{1}{2} p_{1}^{t-1}+\frac{1}{3} p_{4}^{t-1} \\
& p_{4}^{t}=\frac{1}{2} p_{5}^{t-1} \\
& p_{5}^{t}=p_{2}^{t-1}
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
p^{t}=p^{t-1} P
$$

## Computing the stationary distribution

- The Power Method, same as the PageRank computation

Initialize $p^{0}$ to some distribution
Repeat

$$
p^{t}=p^{t-1} P
$$

Until convergence

- After many iterations $p^{t} \rightarrow \pi$ regardless of the initial vector $p^{0}$ if the graph is strongly connected, and not bipartite.
- Power method because it computes $p^{t}=p^{0} P^{t}$
- The rate of convergence is determined by the second eigenvalue $\lambda_{2}$


## The stationary distribution

- $\pi$ is the left eigenvector of transition matrix $P$
- $\pi(i)$ : the probability of being at node $i$ after very large (infinite) number of steps
- $\pi(i)$ : the fraction of times that the random walk visited state $i$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$
- $\pi=p^{0} P^{\infty}$, where $P$ is the transition matrix, $p^{0}$ the original vector
- $P(i, j)$ : probability of going from $i$ to $j$ in one step
- $P^{2}(i, j)$ : probability of going from $i$ to $j$ in two steps (sum of probabilities of all paths of length 2)
- $P^{\infty}(i, j)=\pi(j)$ : probability of going from $i$ to $j$ in infinite steps - starting point does not matter.


## The PageRank random walk

- Vanilla random walk
- make the adjacency matrix stochastic and run a random walk



## The PageRank random walk

- What about sink nodes?
- what happens when the random walk moves to a node without any outgoing inks?
$P=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}0 & 1 / 2 & 1 / 2 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 / 3 & 1 / 3 & 1 / 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 / 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 / 2 & 0\end{array}\right]$



## The PageRank random walk

- Replace these row vectors with a vector $u$
- typically, the uniform vector



## The PageRank random walk

-What about loops?

- Spider traps



## The PageRank random walk

- At every step with (fixed) probability $\alpha$ perform a random jump to a node selected according the distribution vector $u$
- Typically, to a uniform vector
- You can think of the random jump as a restart of the random walk

$$
\mathrm{P}^{\prime \prime}=(1-\alpha)\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 1 / 2 & 1 / 2 & 0 & 0 \\
1 / 5 & 1 / 5 & 1 / 5 & 1 / 5 & 1 / 5 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 / 3 & 1 / 3 & 1 / 3 & 0 & 0 \\
1 / 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 / 2
\end{array}\right]+\alpha\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 / 5 & 1 / 5 & 1 / 5 & 1 / 5 & 1 / 5 \\
1 / 5 & 1 / 5 & 1 / 5 & 1 / 5 & 1 / 5 \\
1 / 5 & 1 / 5 & 1 / 5 & 1 / 5 & 1 / 5 \\
1 / 5 & 1 / 5 & 1 / 5 & 1 / 5 & 1 / 5 \\
1 / 5 & 1 / 5 & 1 / 5 & 1 / 5 & 1 / 5
\end{array}\right]
$$

$P^{\prime \prime}=(1-\alpha) P^{\prime}+\alpha 1 u^{T}$, where $\mathbf{1}$ is the vector of all 1 s a: jump/restart probability

## The PageRank weights

- For the PageRank weights we have

$$
p_{i}=(1-\alpha) \sum_{j \rightarrow i} \frac{1}{\left|N_{\text {out }}(j)\right|} p_{j}+\alpha u_{i}
$$

- $\alpha=0.15$ in most cases
- In matrix-vector terms, if $p$ is the stationary distribution:

$$
p^{T}=p^{T}(1-\alpha) P+\alpha u^{T}
$$

- Solving for $p$ :

$$
p^{T}=\alpha u^{T}(I-(1-\alpha) P)^{-1}
$$

## Stationary distribution with random jump

- If $u$ is the jump vector

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p^{0}=u \\
& p^{1}=(1-\alpha) p^{0} P+\alpha u=(1-\alpha) u P+\alpha u \\
& p^{2}=(1-\alpha) p^{1} P+\alpha u=(1-\alpha)^{2} u P^{2}+(1-\alpha) \alpha u P+\alpha u \\
& p^{3}=(1-\alpha) p^{2} P+\alpha u=(1-\alpha)^{3} u P^{3}+(1-\alpha)^{2} \alpha u P^{2}+(1-\alpha) \alpha u P+\alpha u \\
& p^{k}=(1-\alpha)^{k} u P^{k}+(1-\alpha)^{k-1} \alpha u P^{k-1}+\cdots+(1-\alpha) \alpha u P+\alpha u \\
& p^{\infty}=\alpha u+(1-\alpha) \alpha u P+(1-\alpha)^{2} \alpha u P^{2}+\cdots=\alpha(I-(1-\alpha) P)^{-1} u
\end{aligned}
$$

- Explanation: From the last step trace the last restart :
- With probability $\alpha$ we just restarted in the last step
- With probability $(1-\alpha) \alpha$ we restarted one step before and then did a random walk step
- With probability $(1-\alpha)^{2} \alpha$ we restarted two steps before and then did two random walk steps
- Etc...
- Conclusion: you are not likely to walk very far
- The probability that you did $k$ steps after the last restart $(1-\alpha)^{k}$ drops exponentially with $k$
- When (re)starting from some node $x$, nodes close to $x$ have higher probability
- On average the random walk restarts every $1 / \alpha$ steps


## Random walks with restarts

- Restart vector:
- If $u$ is not uniform, we can bias the random walk towards the nodes that are close to the restart nodes
- Personalized Pagerank:
- Always restart to some node $x$, e.g., the home page of a user
- Topic-Specific Pagerank
- Restart to nodes about a specific topic, e.g., Greek pages, University home pages
- Anti-spam
- Random Walks with restarts is a general technique for measuring closeness on graphs.


## Personalized Pagerank Example



Global Pagerank vector (uniform jump vector $\left[\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}\right]$ )

$$
[0.13,0.18,0.24,0.18,0.13,0.13]
$$

## Personalized Pagerank Example



- Global Pagerank vector (jump vector $\left[\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}\right]$ ) :

$$
[0.13,0.18,0.24,0.18,0.13,0.13]
$$

- Personalized Pagerank for node 1 (jump vector [1,0,0,0,0,0]):

$$
[0.26,0.20,0.24,0.14,0.08,0.07]
$$

## Personalized Pagerank Example



- Global Pagerank vector (jump vector $\left[\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}\right]$ ) :

$$
[0.13,0.18,0.24,0.18,0.13,0.13]
$$

- Personalized Pagerank from node 1 (jump vector $[1,0,0,0,0,0]$ ):

$$
[0.26,0.20,0.24,0.14,0.08,0.07]
$$

- Personalized Pagerank for node 6 (jump vector [0,0,0,0,0,1]):

$$
[0.07,0.13,0.19,0.19,0.15,0.27]
$$

## Personalized Pagerank Example



- Global Pagerank vector (jump vector $\left.\left[\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}\right]\right)$ :

$$
[0.14,0.17,0.21,0.18,0.15,0.15]
$$

- Personalized Pagerank from node 1 (jump vector [1,0,0,0,0,0]):

$$
[0.55,0.17,0.18,0.05,0.03,0.02]
$$

- Personalized Pagerank for node 6 (jump vector [0,0,0,0,0,1]):

$$
[0.02,0.04,0.07,0.16,0.15,0.56]
$$

## Random walks on undirected graphs

- For undirected graphs, the stationary distribution is proportional to the degrees of the nodes
- In this case a random walk is the same as degree popularity
- This is no longer true if we do random jumps
- Now the short paths play a greater role, and the previous distribution does not hold.


## Pagerank implementation

- Store the graph in adjacency list, or list of edges
- Keep current pagerank values and new pagerank values
- Go through edges and update the values of the destination nodes.
- Repeat until the difference ( $L_{1}$ or $L_{\infty}$ difference) is below some small value $\varepsilon$.


## A (Matlab/Numpy-friendly) PageRank algorithm

- Performing vanilla power method is now too expensive - the matrix is not sparse



## Pagerank history

- Huge advantage for Google in the early days
- It gave a way to get an idea for the value of a page, which was useful in many different ways
- Put an order to the web.
- After a while it became clear that the anchor text was probably more important for ranking
- Also, link spam became a new (dark) art
- Flood of research
- Numerical analysis got rejuvenated
- Huge number of variations
- Efficiency became a great issue.
- Huge number of applications in different fields
- Random walk is often referred to as PageRank.


## THE HITS ALGORITHM

## The HITS algorithm

- Another algorithm proposed around the same time as Pagerank for using the hyperlinks to rank pages
- Kleinberg: then an intern at IBM Almaden
- IBM never made anything out of it


## Query dependent input

Root set obtained from a text-only search engine


## Query dependent input



## Query dependent input



## Query dependent input



## Hubs and Authorities [K98]

- Authority is not necessarily transferred directly between authorities
- Pages have double identity
- hub identity
- authority identity
- Good hubs point to good authorities
- Good authorities are pointed by good hubs



## Hubs and Authorities

- Two kind of weights:
- Hub weight
- Authority weight
- The hub weight is the sum of the authority weights of the authorities pointed to by the hub
- The authority weight is the sum of the hub weights that point to this authority.


## HITS Algorithm

- Initialize all weights to 1.
- Repeat until convergence
- $O$ operation : hubs collect the weight of the authorities

$$
h_{i}^{t}=\sum_{j: i \rightarrow j} a_{j}^{t-1}
$$

- I operation: authorities collect the weight of the hubs

$$
a_{i}^{t}=\sum_{j: j \rightarrow i} h_{j}^{t-1}
$$

- Normalize weights under some norm

The order of updates does not matter after many iterations.

## Example

Initialize


## Example

Step 1: O operation


## Example

Step 1: I operation


## Example

Step 1: Normalization (Max norm)


## Example

Step 2: O step


## Example

Step 2: I step


## Example

Step 2: Normalization


## Example

Convergence


## HITS and eigenvectors

- The HITS algorithm is a power-method eigenvector computation
- In vector terms
- $a^{t}=A^{T} h^{t-1}$ and $h^{t}=A a^{t-1}$
- $a^{t}=A^{T} A a^{t-1}$ and $h^{t}=A A^{T} h^{t-1}$
- Repeated iterations will converge to the eigenvectors
- The authority weight vector $a$ is the eigenvector of $A^{T} A$
- The hub weight vector $h$ is the eigenvector of $A A^{T}$
- The vectors $a$ and $h$ are the singular vectors of the matrix $A$


## Singular Value Decomposition

$$
\underset{[n \times r][r \times r][r \times n]}{\mathrm{A}}=\mathrm{U} \quad \sum \quad \mathrm{~V}^{\top}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
\overrightarrow{\mathrm{u}}_{1} & \overrightarrow{\mathrm{u}}_{2} & \cdots & \overrightarrow{\mathrm{u}}_{\mathrm{r}}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
\sigma_{1} & & & \\
& \sigma_{2} & & \\
& & \ddots & \\
& & & \sigma_{\mathrm{r}}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\overrightarrow{\mathrm{v}}_{1} \\
\overrightarrow{\mathrm{v}}_{2} \\
\vdots \\
\overrightarrow{\mathrm{v}}_{\mathrm{r}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

-r : rank of matrix $A$

- $\sigma_{1} \geq \sigma_{2} \geq \ldots \geq \sigma_{r}$ : singular values (square roots of eig-vals $A A^{\top}, A^{\top} A$ )
- $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{u}}_{1}, \overrightarrow{\mathrm{u}}_{2}, \cdots, \overrightarrow{\mathrm{u}}_{\mathrm{r}}$ : left singular vectors (eig-vectors of $A A^{\top}$ )
- $\vec{v}_{1}, \vec{v}_{2}, \cdots, \vec{v}_{r}$ : right singular vectors (eig-vectors of $A^{\top} A$ )

$$
\mathrm{A}=\sigma_{1} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{u}}_{1} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{v}}_{1}^{\top}+\sigma_{2} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{u}}_{2} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{v}}_{2}^{\top}+\cdots+\sigma_{\mathrm{r}} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{u}}_{\mathrm{r}} \overrightarrow{\mathrm{v}}_{\mathrm{r}}^{\top}
$$

## Why does the Power Method work?

- If a matrix R is real and symmetric, it has real eigenvalues and eigenvectors: $\left(\lambda_{1}, w_{1}\right),\left(\lambda_{2}, w_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(\lambda_{r}, w_{r}\right)$
- $r$ is the rank of the matrix
- $\left|\lambda_{1}\right| \geq\left|\lambda_{2}\right| \geq \cdots \geq\left|\lambda_{r}\right|$
- For any matrix R , the eigenvectors $w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{r}$ of R define a basis of the vector space
- For any vector $x, R x=\alpha_{1} w_{1}+a_{2} w_{2}+\cdots+a_{r} w_{r}$
- After t multiplications we have:

$$
R^{t} x=\lambda_{1}^{t-1} \alpha_{1} w_{1}+\lambda_{2}^{t-1} a_{2} w_{2}+\cdots+\lambda_{2}^{t-1} a_{r} w_{r}
$$

- Normalizing leaves only the term $w_{1}$.

OTHER ALGORITHMS

## The SALSA algorithm

- Perform a random walk on the bipartite graph of hubs and authorities alternating between the two
-What does this random walk converges to?


## The SALSA algorithm

- Start from an authority chosen uniformly at random
- e.g. the red authority



## The SALSA algorithm

- Start from an authority chosen uniformly at random
- e.g. the red authority
- Choose one of the in-coming links uniformly at random and move to a hub
- e.g. move to the yellow authority with probability $1 / 3$

hubs
authorities


## The SALSA algorithm

- Start from an authority chosen uniformly at random
- e.g. the red authority
- Choose one of the in-coming links uniformly at random and move to a hub
- e.g. move to the yellow authority with probability $1 / 3$
- Choose one of the out-going links uniformly at random and move to an authority
- e.g. move to the blue authority with probability $1 / 2$

hubs


## The SALSA algorithm

- Formally we have probabilities:
- $a_{i}$ : probability of being at authority $i$
- $h_{j}$ : probability of being at hub $j$
- The probability of being at authority $i$ is computed as:

$$
a_{i}^{t}=\sum_{j \in N_{\text {in }}(i)} \frac{1}{d_{\text {out }}(j)} h_{j}^{t-1}
$$

- The probability of being at hub $j$ is computed as

$$
h_{j}^{t}=\sum_{i \in N_{\text {out }}(j)} \frac{1}{d_{\text {in }}(i)} a_{i}^{t-1}
$$

- Repeated computation converges


## The SALSA algorithm

- In matrix terms
- $A_{c}=$ the matrix $A$ where columns are normalized to sum to 1
- $A_{r}=$ the matrix $A$ where rows are normalized to sum to 1
- The hub computation
- $h=A_{c} a$
- The authority computation

- $a=A_{r}{ }^{T} h=A_{r}{ }^{T} A c a$
- In MC terms the transition matrix

$$
h_{2}=\mathbf{1} / \mathbf{3} a_{1}+\mathbf{1} / \mathbf{2} a_{2}
$$

$$
a_{1}=h_{1}+\mathbf{1} / \mathbf{2} h_{2}+\mathbf{1} / \mathbf{3} h_{3}
$$

## Social network analysis

- Evaluate the centrality of individuals in social networks
- degree centrality
- the (weighted) degree of a node
- distance centrality
- the average (weighted) distance of a node to the rest in the graph

$$
D_{c}(v)=\frac{1}{\sum_{u * v} d(v, u)}
$$

- betweenness centrality
- the average number of (weighted) shortest paths that use node $v$

$$
\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{v})=\sum_{\mathrm{s} \neq \mathrm{v} \neq \mathrm{t}} \frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{st}}(\mathrm{v})}{\sigma_{\mathrm{st}}}
$$

## Counting paths - Katz 53

- The importance of a node is measured by the weighted sum of paths that lead to this node
- $A^{m}[i, j]=$ number of paths of length $m$ from $i$ to $j$
- Compute

$$
P=b A+b^{2} A^{2}+\cdots+b^{m} A^{m}+\cdots=(I-b A)^{-1}-I
$$

- converges when $b<\lambda_{1}(A)$
- Rank nodes according to the column sums of the matrix $P$


## Bibliometrics

- Impact factor (E. Garfield 72)
- counts the number of citations received for papers of the journal in the previous two years
- Pinsky-Narin 76
- perform a random walk on the set of journals
- $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ij}}=$ the fraction of citations from journal i that are directed to journal j

