## DATA MINING LECTURE 4

Similarity and Distance
Recommender Systems

## SIMILARITY AND DISTANCE
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## Similarity and Distance

- For many different problems we need to quantify how close two objects are.
- Examples:
- For an item bought by a customer, find other similar items
- Group together the customers of a site so that similar customers are shown the same ad.
- Group together web documents so that you can separate the ones that talk about politics and the ones that talk about sports.
- Find all the near-duplicate mirrored web documents.
- Find credit card transactions that are very different from previous transactions.
- To solve these problems we need a definition of similarity, or distance.
- The definition depends on the type of data that we have


## Similarity

- Numerical measure of how alike two data objects are.
- A function that maps pairs of objects to real values
- Higher when objects are more alike.
- Often falls in the range $[0,1]$, sometimes in $[-1,1]$
- Desirable properties for similarity

1. $s(p, q)=1$ (or maximum similarity) only if $p=q$. (Identity)
2. $s(p, q)=s(q, p)$ for all $p$ and $q$. (Symmetry)

## Similarity between sets

- Consider the following documents
apple
releases
new ipod
apple
releases
new ipad

| new |
| :--- |
| apple pie |
| recipe |

- Which ones are more similar?
- How would you quantify their similarity?


## Similarity: Intersection

- Number of words in common

| apple |
| :--- |
| releases |
| new ipod |

apple
releases
new ipad
new
apple pie recipe

- $\operatorname{Sim}(D, D)=3, \operatorname{Sim}(D, D)=\operatorname{Sim}(D, D)=2$
- What about this document?


## Vefa releases new book with apple pie recipes

- $\operatorname{Sim}(D, D)=\operatorname{Sim}(D, D)=3$


## Jaccard Similarity

- The Jaccard similarity (Jaccard coefficient) of two sets $\mathrm{S}_{1}$, $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ is the size of their intersection divided by the size of their union.
- $\operatorname{JSim}\left(S_{1}, S_{2}\right)=\left|S_{1} \cap S_{2}\right| /\left|S_{1} \cup S_{2}\right|$.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3 \text { in intersection. } \\
& 8 \text { in union. } \\
& \text { Jaccard similarity } \\
& \quad=3 / 8
\end{aligned}
$$

- Extreme behavior:
- $\operatorname{Jsim}(X, Y)=1$, iff $X=Y$
- $J \operatorname{sim}(X, Y)=0$ iff $X, Y$ have no elements in common
- JSim is symmetric


## Jaccard Similarity between sets

- The distance for the documents

| apple |
| :--- |
| releases |
| new ipod |


| apple | new |
| :--- | :--- |
| releases | apple pie |
| new ipad | recipe |

Vefa releases
new book with
apple pie
recipes

- JSim $(D, D)=3 / 5$
- JSim (D, D) $=\operatorname{JSim}(\mathrm{D}, \mathrm{D})=2 / 6$
- $\operatorname{JSim}(D, D)=\operatorname{JSim}(D, D)=3 / 9$


## Similarity between vectors

Documents (and sets in general) can also be represented as vectors

| document | Apple | Microsoft | Obama | Election |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| D1 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| D2 | 30 | 60 | 0 | 0 |
| D3 | 60 | 30 | 0 | 0 |
| D4 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 20 |

How do we measure the similarity of two vectors?

- We could view them as sets of words. Jaccard Similarity will show that D4 is different form the rest
- But all pairs of the other three documents are equally similar

We want to capture how well the two vectors are aligned

## Example

| document | Apple | Microsoft | Obama | Election |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| D1 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| D2 | 30 | 60 | 0 | 0 |
| D3 | 60 | 30 | 0 | 0 |
| D4 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 20 |

Documents D1, D2 are in the "same direction"
Document D3 is on the same plane as D1, D2
Document D4 is orthogonal to the rest

\{Obama, election\}

## Example

| document | Apple | Microsoft | Obama | Election |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| D1 | $1 / 3$ | $2 / 3$ | 0 | 0 |
| D2 | $1 / 3$ | $2 / 3$ | 0 | 0 |
| D3 | $2 / 3$ | $1 / 3$ | 0 | 0 |
| D4 | 0 | 0 | $1 / 3$ | $2 / 3$ |

Documents D1, D2 are in the "same direction"
Document $D 3$ is on the same plane as $D 1, D 2$
Document D4 is orthogonal to the rest

\{Obama, election\}

## Cosine Similarity



Figure 2.16. Geometric illustration of the cosine measure.

- $\operatorname{Sim}(X, Y)=\cos (X, Y)$
- The cosine of the angle between $X$ and $Y$
- If the vectors are aligned (correlated) angle is zero degrees and $\cos (\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y})=1$
- If the vectors are orthogonal (no common coordinates) angle is 90 degrees and $\cos (X, Y)=0$
- Cosine is commonly used for comparing documents, where we assume that the vectors are normalized by the document length, or words are weighted by tf-idf.


## Cosine Similarity - math

- If $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ are two vectors, then

$$
\cos \left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right)=\left(d_{1} \bullet d_{2}\right) /\left\|d_{1}\right\|\left\|d_{2}\right\|,
$$

where $\bullet$ indicates vector dot product and $\|d\|$ is the length of vector $d$.

- Example:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{1}=3205000200 \\
& d_{2}=1000000102 \\
& d_{1} \cdot d_{2}=3^{*} 1+2^{*} 0+0^{*} 0+5^{*} 0+0^{*} 0+0^{*} 0+0^{*} 0+2^{*} 1+0^{*} 0+0^{*} 2=5 \\
& \left\|d_{1}\right\|=\left(3^{*} 3+2^{*} 2+0^{*} 0+5^{*} 5+0^{*} 0+0^{*} 0+0^{*} 0+2^{*} 2+0^{*} 0+0^{*} 0\right)^{0.5}=(42)^{0.5}=6.481 \\
& \left\|d_{2}\right\|=\left(1^{*} 1+0^{*} 0+0^{*} 0+0^{*} 0+0^{*} 0+0^{*} 0+0^{*} 0+1^{*} 1+0^{*} 0+2^{*} 2\right)^{0.5}=(6)^{0.5}=2.245 \\
& \cos \left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right)=.3150
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example



## Correlation Coefficient

- The correlation coefficient measures correlation between two random variables.
- If we have observations (vectors) $X=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ and $Y=$ $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ is defined as

$$
\text { CorrCoeff }=\frac{\sum_{i}\left(x_{i}-\mu_{X}\right)\left(y_{i}-\mu_{Y}\right)}{\sqrt{\sum_{i}\left(x_{i}-\mu_{X}\right)^{2}} \sqrt{\sum_{i}\left(y_{i}-\mu_{Y}\right)^{2}}}
$$

- This is essentially the cosine similarity between the normalized vectors (where from each entry we remove the mean value of the vector.
- The correlation coefficient takes values in [-1,1]
- -1 negative correlation, +1 positive correlation, 0 no correlation.
- Most statistical packages also compute a p-value that measures the statistical importance of the correlation
- Lower value - higher statistical importance


## Correlation Coefficient

Normalized vectors

| document | Apple | Microsoft | Obama | Election |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| D1 | -5 | +5 | 0 | 0 |
| D2 | -15 | +15 | 0 | 0 |
| D3 | +15 | -15 | 0 | 0 |
| D4 | 0 | 0 | -5 | +5 |

$$
\operatorname{CorrCoeff}=\frac{\sum_{i}\left(x_{i}-\mu_{X}\right)\left(y_{i}-\mu_{Y}\right)}{\sqrt{\sum_{i}\left(x_{i}-\mu_{X}\right)^{2}} \sqrt{\sum_{i}\left(y_{i}-\mu_{Y}\right)^{2}}}
$$

CorrCoeff(D1,D2) = 1
CorrCoeff(D1,D3) $=$ CorrCoeff(D2,D3) $=-1$
CorrCoeff(D1,D4) $=$ CorrCoeff(D2,D4) $=$ CorrCoeff(D3,D4) $=0$

## Distance

- Numerical measure of how different two data objects are
- A function that maps pairs of objects to real values
- Lower when objects are more alike
- Higher when two objects are different
- Minimum distance is 0 , when comparing an object with itself.
- Upper limit varies


## Distance Metric

- A distance function d is a distance metric if it is a function from pairs of objects to real numbers such that:

1. $d(x, y) \geq 0$. (non-negativity)
2. $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=0$ iff $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{y}$. (identity)
3. $d(x, y)=d(y, x)$. (symmetry)
4. $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z)+d(z, y)$ (triangle inequality ).

## Triangle Inequality

- Triangle inequality guarantees that the distance function is well-behaved.
- The direct connection is the shortest distance
- It is useful also for proving useful properties about the data.


## Example

- We have a set of objects $X=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ of a universe $U$ (e.g., $U=\mathbb{R}^{d}$ ), and a distance function $d$ that is a metric.
- We want to find the object $z \in U$ that minimizes the sum of distances from $X$.
- For some distance metrics this is easy, for some it is an NPhard problem.
- It is easy to find the object $x^{*} \in X$ that minimizes the distances from all the points in $X$.
- But how good is this? We can prove that

$$
\sum_{x \in X} d\left(x, x^{*}\right) \leq 2 \sum_{x \in X} d(x, z)
$$

- We are a factor 2 away from the best solution.


## Distances for real vectors

- Vectors $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)$ and $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}\right)$
- $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{p}}$-norms or Minkowski distance:

$$
L_{p}(x, y)=\left[\left|x_{1}-y_{1}\right|^{p}+\cdots+\left|x_{d}-y_{d}\right|^{p}\right]^{1 / p}
$$

- $\mathrm{L}_{2}$-norm: Euclidean distance:

$$
L_{2}(x, y)=\sqrt{\left|x_{1}-y_{1}\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|x_{d}-y_{d}\right|^{2}}
$$

- $\mathrm{L}_{1}$-norm: Manhattan distance:

$$
L_{1}(x, y)=\left|x_{1}-y_{1}\right|+\cdots+\left|x_{d}-y_{d}\right|
$$

- $\mathrm{L}_{\infty}$-norm:

$$
L_{\infty}(x, y)=\max \left\{\left|x_{1}-y_{1}\right|, \ldots,\left|x_{d}-y_{d}\right|\right\}
$$

- The limit of $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{p}}$ as p goes to infinity.


## Example of Distances

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{L}_{2} \text {-norm: } \quad \mathrm{y}=(9,8) \\
& \operatorname{dist}(x, y)=\sqrt{4^{2}+3^{2}}=5 \\
& X=(5,5) \\
& \mathrm{L}_{\infty} \text {-norm: } \\
& \operatorname{dist}(x, y)=\max \{3,4\}=4
\end{aligned}
$$

## Example



Green: All points $y$ at distance $L_{1}(x, y)=r$ from point $x$
Blue: All points $y$ at distance $L_{2}(x, y)=r$ from point $x$
Red: All points $y$ at distance $L_{\infty}(x, y)=r$ from point $x$

## $L_{p}$ distances for sets

- We can apply all the $L_{p}$ distances to the cases of sets of attributes, with or without counts, if we represent the sets as vectors
- E.g., a transaction is a 0/1 vector
- E.g., a document is a vector of counts.


## Similarities into distances

- Jaccard distance:

$$
\operatorname{JDist}(X, Y)=1-J \operatorname{Sim}(X, Y)
$$

- Jaccard Distance is a metric
- Cosine distance:

$$
\operatorname{Dist}(X, Y)=1-\cos (X, Y)
$$

- Cosine distance is a metric


## Hamming Distance

- Hamming distance is the number of positions in which bit-vectors differ.
- Example: $p_{1}=10101$

$$
\mathrm{p}_{2}=10011
$$

- $d\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=2$ because the bit-vectors differ in the $3^{\text {rd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ positions.
- The $L_{1}$ norm for the binary vectors
- Hamming distance between two vectors of categorical attributes is the number of positions in which they differ.
- Example: $x=$ (married, low income, cheat),

$$
y=(\text { single }, \text { low income, not cheat })
$$

- $d(x, y)=2$


## Why Hamming Distance Is a Distance

 Metric- $d(x, x)=0$ since no positions differ.
- $d(x, y)=d(y, x)$ by symmetry of "different from."
- $d(x, y) \geq 0$ since strings cannot differ in a negative number of positions.
- Triangle inequality: changing $x$ to $z$ and then to $y$ is one way to change $x$ to $y$.
- For binary vectors if follows from the fact that $L_{1}$ norm is a metric


## Distance between strings

- How do we define similarity between strings?

weird wierd<br>intelligent unintelligent<br>Athena Athina

- Important for recognizing and correcting typing errors and analyzing DNA sequences.


## Edit Distance for strings

- The edit distance of two strings is the number of inserts and deletes of characters needed to turn one into the other.
- Example: $x=$ abcde ; y = bcduve.
- Turn $x$ into $y$ by deleting a, then inserting $u$ and $v$ after d.
- Edit distance $=3$.
- Minimum number of operations can be computed using dynamic programming
- Common distance measure for comparing DNA sequences


## Why Edit Distance Is a Distance Metric

- $d(x, x)=0$ because 0 edits suffice.
- $d(x, y)=d(y, x)$ because insert/delete are inverses of each other.
- $d(x, y) \geq 0$ : no notion of negative edits.
- Triangle inequality: changing $x$ to $z$ and then to $y$ is one way to change $x$ to $y$. The minimum is no more than that


## Variant Edit Distances

- Allow insert, delete, and mutate.
- Change one character into another.
- Minimum number of inserts, deletes, and mutates also forms a distance measure.
- Same for any set of operations on strings.
- Example: substring reversal or block transposition OK for DNA sequences
- Example: character transposition is used for spelling


## Distances between distributions

- We can view a document as a distribution over the words

| document | Apple | Microsoft | Obama | Election |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| D1 | 0.35 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.05 |
| D2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| D2 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.6 | 0.3 |

- KL-divergence (Kullback-Leibler) for distributions P,Q

$$
D_{K L}(P \| Q)=\sum_{x} p(x) \log \frac{p(x)}{q(x)}
$$

- KL-divergence is asymmetric. We can make it symmetric by taking the average of both sides

$$
\frac{1}{2} D_{K L}(P \| Q)+\frac{1}{2} D_{K L}(Q \| P)
$$

- JS-divergence (Jensen-Shannon)

$$
\begin{gathered}
J S(P, Q)=\frac{1}{2} D_{K L}(P \| M)+\frac{1}{2} D_{K L}(Q \| M) \\
M=\frac{1}{2}(P+Q)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Why is similarity important?

- We saw many definitions of similarity and distance
- How do we make use of similarity in practice?
- What issues do we have to deal with?


## APPLICATIONS OF SIMILARITY:

 RECOMMENDATION SYSTEMS
## An important problem

- Recommendation systems
- When a user buys an item (initially books) we want to recommend other items that the user may like
- When a user rates a movie, we want to recommend movies that the user may like
- When a user likes a song, we want to recommend other songs that they may like
- A big success of data mining
- Exploits the long tail
- How Into Thin Air made Touching the Void popular


## The Long Tail



## Utility (Preference) Matrix

|  | Harry <br> Potter 1 | Harry <br> Potter 2 | Harry <br> Potter 3 | Twilight | Star <br> Wars 1 | Star <br> Wars 2 | Star <br> Wars 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 4 |  |  | 5 | 1 |  |  |
| B | 5 | 5 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| C |  |  |  | 2 | 4 | 5 |  |
| D |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 3 |

Rows: Users
Columns: Movies (in general Items)
Values: The rating of the user for the movie
How can we fill the empty entries of the matrix?

## Recommendation Systems

- Content-based:
- Represent the items into a feature space and recommend items to customer C similar to previous items rated highly by C
- Movie recommendations: recommend movies with same actor(s), director, genre, ...
- Websites, blogs, news: recommend other sites with "similar" content


## Content-based prediction

|  | Harry <br> Potter 1 | Harry <br> Potter 2 | Harry <br> Potter 3 | Twilight | Star <br> Wars 1 | Star <br> Wars 2 | Star <br> Wars 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 4 |  |  | 5 | 1 |  |  |
| B | 5 | 5 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| C |  |  |  | 2 | 4 | 5 |  |
| D |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 3 |

Someone who likes one of the Harry Potter (or Star Wars) movies is likely to like the rest

- Same actors, similar story, same genre


## Intuition



## Approach

- Map items into a feature space:
- For movies:
- Actors, directors, genre, rating, year,...
- Challenge: make all features compatible.
-For documents?
- To compare items with users we need to map users to the same feature space. How?
- Take all the movies that the user has seen and take the average vector
- Other aggregation functions are also possible.
- Recommend to user C the most similar item i computing similarity in the common feature space
- Distributional distance measures also work well.


## Limitations of content-based approach

- Finding the appropriate features
- e.g., images, movies, music
- Overspecialization
- Never recommends items outside user's content profile
- People might have multiple interests
- Recommendations for new users
- How to build a profile?


## Collaborative filtering

|  | Harry <br> Potter 1 | Harry <br> Potter 2 | Harry <br> Potter 3 | Twilight | Star <br> Wars 1 | Star <br> Wars 2 | Star <br> Wars 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 4 |  |  | 5 | 1 |  |  |
| B | 5 | 5 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| C |  |  |  | 2 | 4 | 5 |  |
| D |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 3 |

Two users are similar if they rate the same items in a similar way
Recommend to user C, the items liked by many of the most similar users.

## User Similarity

|  | Harry <br> Potter 1 | Harry <br> Potter 2 | Harry <br> Potter 3 | Twilight | Star <br> Wars 1 | Star <br> Wars 2 | Star <br> Wars 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 4 |  |  | 5 | 1 |  |  |
| B | 5 | 5 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| C |  |  |  | 2 | 4 | 5 |  |
| D |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 3 |

Which pair of users do you consider as the most similar?
What is the right definition of similarity?

## User Similarity

|  | Harry <br> Potter 1 | Harry <br> Potter 2 | Harry <br> Potter 3 | Twilight | Star <br> Wars 1 | Star <br> Wars 2 | Star <br> Wars 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| B | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| C |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| D |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |

Jaccard Similarity: users are sets of movies
Disregards the ratings.
$\operatorname{Jsim}(A, B)=1 / 5$
$J \operatorname{sim}(A, C)=1 / 2$
$J \operatorname{sim}(B, D)=1 / 4$

## User Similarity

|  | Harry <br> Potter 1 | Harry <br> Potter 2 | Harry <br> Potter 3 | Twilight | Star <br> Wars 1 | Star <br> Wars 2 | Star <br> Wars 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 4 |  |  | 5 | 1 |  |  |
| B | 5 | 5 | 4 |  |  |  |  |
| C |  |  |  | 2 | 4 | 5 |  |
| D |  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 3 |

Cosine Similarity:
Assumes zero entries are negatives:
$\operatorname{Cos}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B})=0.38$
$\operatorname{Cos}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{C})=0.32$

## User Similarity

|  | Harry <br> Potter 1 | Harry <br> Potter 2 | Harry <br> Potter 3 | Twilight | Star <br> Wars 1 | Star <br> Wars 2 | Star <br> Wars 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | $2 / 3$ |  |  | $5 / 3$ | $-7 / 3$ |  |  |
| B | $1 / 3$ | $1 / 3$ | $-2 / 3$ |  |  |  |  |
| C |  |  |  | $-5 / 3$ | $1 / 3$ | $4 / 3$ |  |
| D |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |

Normalized Cosine Similarity:

- Subtract the mean rating per user and then compute Cosine (correlation coefficient)
$\operatorname{Corr}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B})=0.092$
$\operatorname{Corr}(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{C})=-0.559$


## User-User Collaborative Filtering

- For a user u, find the set $\operatorname{Top} K(u)$ of the K users whose ratings are most "similar" to u's ratings
- Estimate u's ratings based on ratings of users in TopK using some aggregation function. For item i :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{r_{u i}} & =\frac{1}{Z} \sum_{v \in \operatorname{Top} K(u)} \operatorname{sim}(u, v) r_{v i} \\
Z & =\sum_{v \in \operatorname{TopK}(u)} \operatorname{sim}(u, v)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Modeling deviations:

Deviation from mean for v

$$
\text { Mean rating of } \mathrm{u} \quad \widehat{r_{u i}}=\overline{r_{u}}+\frac{1}{Z} \sum_{v \in \operatorname{TopK}(u)} \operatorname{sim}(u, v)\left(\overline{r_{v}}-r_{v i}\right) \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { Mean deviation } \\
& \text { of similar users }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Advantage: for each user we have small amount of computation.


## Item-Item Collaborative Filtering

- We can transpose (flip) the matrix and perform the same computation as before to define similarity between items
- Intuition: Two items are similar if they are rated in the same way by many users.
- Better defined similarity since it captures the notion of genre of an item
- Users may have multiple interests.
- Algorithm: For each user u and item i
- Find the set $\operatorname{Top}_{u}(i)$ of most similar items to item i that have been rated by user u.
- Aggregate their ratings to predict the rating for item i .
- Disadvantage: we need to consider each user-item pair separately


## Evaluation

- Split the data into train and test set
- Keep a fraction of the ratings to test the accuracy of the predictions
- Metrics:
- Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) for measuring the quality of predicted ratings:

$$
R M S E=\frac{1}{n} \sqrt{\sum_{i, j}\left(\widehat{r_{i j}}-r_{i j}\right)^{2}}
$$

- Precision/Recall for measuring the quality of binary (action/no action) predictions:
- Precision = fraction of predicted actions that were correct
- Recall = fraction of actions that were predicted correctly
- Kendal' tau for measuring the quality of predicting the ranking of items:
- The fraction of pairs of items that are ordered correctly
- The fraction of pairs that are ordered incorrectly


## Pros and cons of collaborative filtering

- Works for any kind of item
- No feature selection needed
- New user problem
- New item problem
- Sparsity of rating matrix
- Cluster-based smoothing?


## The Netflix Challenge

- 1 M prize to improve the prediction accuracy by $10 \%$


