## DATA MINING LECTURE 4

Similarity and Distance
Sketching, Locality Sensitive Hashing

## SIMILARITY AND DISTANCE

Thanks to:
Tan, Steinbach, and Kumar, "Introduction to Data Mining" Rajaraman and Ullman, "Mining Massive Datasets"

## Similarity and Distance

- For many different problems we need to quantify how close two objects are.
- Examples:
- For an item bought by a customer, find other similar items
- Group together the customers of site so that similar customers are shown the same ad.
- Group together web documents so that you can separate the ones that talk about politics and the ones that talk about sports.
- Find all the near-duplicate mirrored web documents.
- Find credit card transactions that are very different from previous transactions.
- To solve these problems we need a definition of similarity, or distance.
- The definition depends on the type of data that we have


## What is Data?

- Collection of data objects and their attributes

Attributes

- An attribute is a property or characteristic of an object
- Examples: eye color of a person, temperature, etc.
- Attribute is also known as variable, field, characteristic, or feature
- A collection of attributes describe an object
- Object is also known as record, point, case, sample, entity, or
 instance

Dimensionality: Number of attributes

## Types of Attributes

- There are different types of attributes
- Nominal - Categorical
- Examples: ID numbers, eye color, zip codes
- There is no known ordering or comparison
- Ordinal
- Examples: rankings (e.g, good, fair, bad), grades (A,B,C), height in \{tall, medium, short\}
- We can order, but not always clear how to compare
[ Interval
- Examples: calendar dates, temperatures in Celsius or Fahrenheit.
- We can take the difference in order to compare
- Ratio
- Examples: temperature in Kelvin, length, time, counts
- We can take differences as well as ratios.


## Discrete and Continuous Attributes

- Discrete Attribute
- Has only a finite or countably infinite set of values
- Examples: zip codes, counts, or the set of words in a collection of documents
- Often represented as integer variables.
- Note: binary attributes are a special case of discrete attributes
- Continuous Attribute
- Has real numbers as attribute values
- Examples: temperature, height, or weight.
- Practically, real values can only be measured and represented using a finite number of digits.
- Continuous attributes are typically represented as floating-point variables.


## Numeric Data

- If data objects have the same fixed set of numeric attributes, then the data objects can be thought of as points in a multi-dimensional space, where each dimension represents a distinct attribute
- Such data set can be represented by an $m$ by $n$ matrix, where there are $m$ rows, one for each object, and $n$ columns, one for each attribute

| Projection <br> of $\mathbf{x}$ Load | Projection <br> of $\mathbf{y}$ load | Distance | Load | Thickness |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 10.23 | 5.27 | 15.22 | 2.7 | 1.2 |
| 12.65 | 6.25 | 16.22 | 2.2 | 1.1 |

## Categorical Data

- Data that consists of a collection of records, each of which consists of a fixed set of categorical attributes

| Tid | Refund | Marital <br> Status | Taxable <br> Income | Cheat |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Yes | Single | High | No |
| 2 | No | Married | Medium | No |
| 3 | No | Single | Low | No |
| 4 | Yes | Married | High | No |
| 5 | No | Divorced | Medium | Yes |
| 6 | No | Married | Low | No |
| 7 | Yes | Divorced | High | No |
| 8 | No | Single | Medium | Yes |
| 9 | No | Married | Medium | No |
| 10 | No | Single | Medium | Yes |

## Document Data

- Each document becomes a `term' vector,
- each term is a component (attribute) of the vector,
- the value of each component is the number of times the corresponding term occurs in the document.
- Bag-of-words representation - no ordering

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\otimes} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O} \\ & \text { O} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\mathrm{O}} \end{aligned}$ | $<\frac{0}{2}$ | ס | $\begin{aligned} & \text { n } \\ & \frac{0}{\sigma} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \stackrel{0}{3} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{3} \end{aligned}$ | כ | \% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 产 } \\ & \text { © } \\ & \stackrel{C}{\leftrightarrows} \end{aligned}$ | ® <br> N\% <br> On |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Document 1 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Document 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Document 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 |

## Transaction Data

- Each record (transaction) is a set of items.

| TID | Items |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Bread, Coke, Milk |
| 2 | Beer, Bread |
| 3 | Beer, Coke, Diaper, Milk |
| 4 | Beer, Bread, Diaper, Milk |
| 5 | Coke, Diaper, Milk |

- A set of items can also be represented as a binary vector, where each attribute is an item.
- A document can also be represented as a set of words (no counts)


## Ordered Data

- Genomic sequence data

GGTTCCGCCTTCAGCCCCGCGCC CGCAGGGCCCGCCCCGCGCCGTC GAGAAGGGCCCGCCTGGCGGGCG GGGGGAGGCGGGGCCGCCCGAGC CCAACCGAGTCCGACCAGGTGCC СССТСТGСTCGGCCTAGACCTGA GCTCATTAGGCGGCAGCGGACAG GCCAAGTAGAACACGCGAAGCGC TGGGCTGCCTGCTGCGACCAGGG

- Data is a long ordered string


## Types of data

- Numeric data: Each object is a point in a multidimensional space
- Categorical data: Each object is a vector of categorical values
- Set data: Each object is a set of values (with or without counts)
- Sets can also be represented as binary vectors, or vectors of counts
- Ordered sequences: Each object is an ordered sequence of values.


## Similarity and Distance

- Similarity
- Numerical measure of how alike two data objects are.
- A function that maps pairs of objects to real values
- Is higher when objects are more alike.
- Often falls in the range [0,1]
- Sometimes in [-1,1]
- Distance
- Numerical measure of how different are two data objects
- A function that maps pairs of objects to real values
- Lower when objects are more alike
- Minimum dissimilarity is often 0
- Upper limit varies
- Closeness refers to a similarity or distance


## Similarity/Dissimilarity for Simple Attributes

$p$ and $q$ are the attribute values for two data objects.

| Attribute <br> Type | Dissimilarity | Similarity |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nominal | $d= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } p=q \\ 1 & \text { if } p \neq q\end{cases}$ | $s= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } p=q \\ 0 & \text { if } p \neq q\end{cases}$ |
| Ordinal | $d=\frac{\|p-q\|}{n-1}$ <br> (values mapped to integers 0 to $n-1$, <br> where $n$ is the number of values) | $s=1-\frac{\|p-q\|}{n-1}$ |
| Interval or Ratio | $d=\|p-q\|$ | $s=-d, s=\frac{1}{1+d}$ or <br> $s=1-\frac{d-m i n-d}{\operatorname{max-d-min-d}}$ |

Table 5.1. Similarity and dissimilarity for simple attributes

## Distance Metric

- A distance function $d$ is a distance metric if it is a function from pairs of objects to real numbers such that:

1. $d(x, y) \geq 0$. (non-negativity)
2. $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=0$ iff $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{y}$. (identity)
3. $d(x, y)=d(y, x)$. (symmetry)
4. $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z)+d(z, y)$ (triangle inequality ).

## Triangle Inequality

- Triangle inequality guarantees that the distance function is well-behaved.
- The direct connection is the shortest distance
- It is useful also for proving properties about the data
- For example, suppose I want to find an object that minimizes the sum of distances to all points in my dataset
- If I select the best point from my dataset, the sum of distances I get is at most twice that of the optimal point.


## Properties of Similarity

- Desirable properties for similarity

1. $s(p, q)=1$ (or maximum similarity) only if $p=q$. (Identity)
2. $s(p, q)=s(q, p)$ for all $p$ and $q$. (Symmetry)

## Distances for real vectors

- Vectors $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)$ and $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}\right)$
- $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{p}}$ norms or Minkowski distance:

$$
L_{p}(x, y)=\left[\left|x_{1}-y_{1}\right|^{p}+\cdots+\left|x_{d}-y_{d}\right|^{p}\right]^{1 / p}
$$

- $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ norm: Euclidean distance:

$$
L_{2}(x, y)=\sqrt{\left|x_{1}-y_{1}\right|^{2}+\cdots+\left|x_{d}-y_{d}\right|^{2}}
$$

- $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ norm: Manhattan distance:

$$
L_{1}(x, y)=\left|x_{1}-y_{1}\right|+\cdots+\left|x_{d}-y_{d}\right|
$$

## Example of Distances



## Another Minkowski distance

- Vectors $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{d}\right)$ and $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}\right)$
- $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{p}}$ norms or Minkowski distance:

$$
L_{p}(x, y)=\left[\left|x_{1}-y_{1}\right|^{p}+\cdots+\left|x_{d}-y_{d}\right|^{p}\right]^{1 / p}
$$

- $\mathrm{L}_{\infty}$ norm:

$$
L_{\infty}(x, y)=\max \left\{\left|x_{1}-y_{1}\right|, \ldots,\left|x_{d}-y_{d}\right|\right\}
$$

- The limit of $L_{p}$ as $p$ goes to infinity.


## Example of Distances



## Minkowski Distance



| point | $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{y}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{p 1}$ | 0 | 2 |
| $\mathbf{p 2}$ | 2 | 0 |
| $\mathbf{p 3}$ | 3 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{p 4}$ | 5 | 1 |


| $\mathbf{L 1}$ | $\mathbf{p 1}$ | $\mathbf{p 2}$ | $\mathbf{p 3}$ | $\mathbf{p 4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{p 1}$ | 0 | 4 | 4 | 6 |
| $\mathbf{p 2}$ | 4 | 0 | 2 | 4 |
| $\mathbf{p 3}$ | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| $\mathbf{p 4}$ | 6 | 4 | 2 | 0 |


| $\mathbf{L 2}$ | p1 | $\mathbf{p 2}$ | $\mathbf{p 3}$ | $\mathbf{p 4}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{p 1}$ | 0 | 2.828 | 3.162 | 5.099 |
| $\mathbf{p 2}$ | 2.828 | 0 | 1.414 | 3.162 |
| $\mathbf{p 3}$ | 3.162 | 1.414 | 0 | 2 |
| $\mathbf{p 4}$ | 5.099 | 3.162 | 2 | 0 |


| $\mathbf{L}_{\infty}$ | p1 | p2 | $\mathbf{p 3}$ | $\mathbf{p 4}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{p 1}$ | 0 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| $\mathbf{p 2}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| $\mathbf{p 3}$ | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| $\mathbf{p 4}$ | 5 | 3 | 2 | 0 |

Distance Matrix

## Example



Green: All points $y$ at distance $L_{1}(x, y)=r$ from point $x$
Blue: All points $y$ at distance $L_{2}(x, y)=r$ from point $x$
Red: All points $y$ at distance $L_{\infty}(x, y)=r$ from point $x$

## $\mathrm{L}_{p}$ distances for sets

- We can apply all the $L_{p}$ distances to the cases of sets of attributes, with or without counts, if we represent the sets as vectors
- E.g., a transaction is a $0 / 1$ vector
- E.g., a document is a vector of counts.


## Cosine Similarity

- If $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ are two vectors, then

$$
\cos \left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right)=\left(d_{1} \bullet d_{2}\right) /\left\|d_{1}\right\|\left\|d_{2}\right\|,
$$

where $\bullet$ indicates vector dot product and $\|d\|$ is the length of vector $d$.

- Example:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{1}=3205000200 \\
& d_{2}=1000000102 \\
& d_{1} \cdot d_{2}=3^{*} 1+2^{*} 0+0^{*} 0+5^{*} 0+0^{*} 0+0^{*} 0+0^{*} 0+2^{*} 1+0^{*} 0+0^{*} 2=5 \\
& \left\|d_{1}\right\|=\left(3^{*} 3+2^{*} 2+0^{*} 0+5^{*} 5+0^{*} 0+0^{*} 0+0^{*} 0+2^{*} 2+0^{*} 0+0^{*} 0\right)^{0.5}=(42)^{0.5}=6.481 \\
& \left\|d_{2}\right\|=\left(1^{*} 1+0^{*} 0+0^{*} 0+0^{*} 0+0^{*} 0+0^{*} 0+0^{*} 0+1^{*} 1+0^{*} 0+2^{*} 2\right)^{0.5}=(6)^{0.5}=2.245 \\
& \cos \left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right)=.3150
\end{aligned}
$$

## Cosine Similarity

- Geometric Interpretation


Figure 2.16. Geometric illustration of the cosine measure.

- If the vectors are correlated angle is zero degrees and cosine is 1
- If the vectors are orthogonal (no common coordinates) angle is 90 degrees and cosine is 0
- Note that if one vector is a multiple of another cosine is still 1 (maximum)
- Cosine is commonly used for comparing documents, where we assume that the vectors are normalized by the document length.


## Example

| document | Apple | Microsoft | Obama | Election |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| D1 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| D2 | 20 | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| D2 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 20 |

$\cos (D 1, D 2)=1$
$\cos (D 1, D 3)=\cos (D 2, D 3)=0$

## Example

| document | Apple | Microsoft | Obama | Election |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| D1 | $1 / 3$ | $2 / 3$ | 0 | 0 |
| D2 | $1 / 3$ | $2 / 3$ | 0 | 0 |
| D2 | 0 | 0 | $1 / 3$ | $2 / 3$ |

$\cos (\mathrm{D} 1, \mathrm{D} 2)=1$
$\cos (\mathrm{D} 1, \mathrm{D} 3)=\cos (\mathrm{D} 2, \mathrm{D} 3)=0$

## Jaccard Similarity of Sets

- The Jaccard similarity (Jaccard coefficient) of two sets $C_{1}, C_{2}$ is the size of their intersection divided by the size of their union.
$\cdot \operatorname{JSim}\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{C}_{2}\right)=\left|\mathrm{C}_{1} \cap \mathrm{C}_{2}\right| /\left|\mathrm{C}_{1} \cup \mathrm{C}_{2}\right|$.


3 in intersection.<br>8 in union.<br>Jaccard similarity<br>$=3 / 8$

- Jaccard distance Jdist = 1 - JSim


## Example with documents

- D1 = \{apple, released, new, iPhone\}
- D2 = \{apple, released, new, iPad\}
- D3 = \{new, apple, pie, recipie\}
$\cdot \mathrm{JSim}(\mathrm{D} 1, \mathrm{D} 2)=3 / 5$
- $\operatorname{JSim}(\mathrm{D} 1, \mathrm{D} 3)=\mathrm{JSim}(\mathrm{D} 2, \mathrm{D} 3)=2 / 6$


## Similarity Between Binary Vectors

Objects, $p$ and $q$, have only binary attributes
We can view them as sets and compute Jaccard We also compute the Simple Matching Coefficient
Compute similarities using the following quantities
$M_{01}=$ the number of attributes where $p$ was 0 and $q$ was 1
$M_{10}=$ the number of attributes where $p$ was 1 and $q$ was 0
$\mathrm{M}_{00}=$ the number of attributes where p was 0 and $q$ was 0
$M_{11}=$ the number of attributes where $p$ was 1 and $q$ was 1
Simple Matching and Jaccard Coefficients
SMC = number of matches / number of attributes

$$
=\left(M_{11}+M_{00}\right) /\left(M_{01}+M_{10}+M_{11}+M_{00}\right)
$$

$J=$ number of 11 matches / number of not-both-zero attributes values

$$
=\left(M_{11}\right) /\left(M_{01}+M_{10}+M_{11}\right) \quad \text { Jaccard treats 1's asymmetrically }
$$

## SMC versus Jaccard: Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p=100000000000 \\
& q= \\
& q=000000010001
\end{aligned}
$$

$M_{01}=2$ (the number of attributes where $p$ was 0 and $q$ was 1)
$M_{10}=1$ (the number of attributes where $p$ was 1 and $q$ was 0 )
$M_{00}=7$ (the number of attributes where $p$ was 0 and $q$ was 0 )
$M_{11}=0 \quad$ (the number of attributes where $p$ was 1 and $q$ was 1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S M C=\left(M_{11}+M_{00}\right) /\left(M_{01}+M_{10}+M_{11}+M_{00}\right)=(0+7) /(2+1+0+7)=0.7 \\
& J=\left(M_{11}\right) /\left(M_{01}+M_{10}+M_{11}\right)=0 /(2+1+0)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

## Hamming Distance

- Hamming distance is the number of positions in which bit-vectors differ.
- Example: $p_{1}=10101 ; p_{2}=10011$.
- $d\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=2$ because the bit-vectors differ in the $3^{\text {rd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ positions.
- The $L_{1}$ norm for the binary vectors
- Hamming distance between two vectors of categorical attributes is the number of positions in which they differ.
- Example: $x=$ (married, low income, cheat),
$y=$ (single, low income, not cheat)
- $d(x, y)=2$


## Why Hamming Distance Is a Distance

 Metric- $d(x, x)=0$ since no positions differ.
- $d(x, y)=d(y, x)$ by symmetry of "different from."
- $d(x, y) \geq 0$ since strings cannot differ in a negative number of positions.
- Triangle inequality: changing $x$ to $z$ and then to $y$ is one way to change $x$ to $y$.


## Edit Distance for strings

- The edit distance of two strings is the number of inserts and deletes of characters needed to turn one into the other.
- Exampe: $x=$ abcde $; y=$ bcduve.
- Turn $x$ into $y$ by deleting a, then inserting $u$ and $v$ after d.
- Edit distance $=3$.
- Minimum number of operations can be computed using dynamic programming
- Common distance measure for comparing DNA sequences


## Why Edit Distance Is a Distance Metric

- $d(x, x)=0$ because 0 edits suffice.
- $d(x, y)=d(y, x)$ because insert/delete are inverses of each other.
- $d(x, y) \geq 0$ : no notion of negative edits.
- Triangle inequality: changing $x$ to $z$ and then to $y$ is one way to change $x$ to $y$.


## Variant Edit Distances

- Allow insert, delete, and mutate.
- Change one character into another.
- Minimum number of inserts, deletes, and mutates also forms a distance measure.
- Same for any set of operations on strings.
- Example: substring reversal or block transposition OK for DNA sequences
- Example: character transposition is used for spelling


## Distances between distributions

- We can view a document as a distribution over the words

| document | Apple | Microsoft | Obama | Election |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| D1 | 0.35 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.05 |
| D2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| D2 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.6 | 0.3 |

- KL-divergence (Kullback-Leibler) for distributions P,Q

$$
D_{K L}(P \| Q)=\sum_{x} p(x) \log \frac{p(x)}{q(x)}
$$

- KL-divergence is asymmetric. We can make it symmetric by taking the average of both sides
- JS-divergence (Jensen-Shannon)

$$
J S(P, Q)=\frac{1}{2} D_{K L}(P \| Q)+\frac{1}{2} D_{K L}(Q \| P)
$$

## SKETCHING AND LOCALITY SENSITIVE HASHING

Thanks to:
Rajaraman and Ullman, "Mining Massive Datasets"
Evimaria Terzi, slides for Data Mining Course.

## Finding near-duplicates documents

- We will now consider the problem of finding duplicate and near-duplicate documents from a web crawl.
-Why is it important:
- Identify mirrored web pages, and avoid indexing them, or serving them multiple times
- Identify plagiarism
- Find replicated stories in news and cluster them under a single story.
-What if we wanted exact duplicates?


## Main issues

- What is the right representation of the document when we check for similarity?
- E.g., representing a document as a set of characters will not do
- When we have billions of documents, keeping the full text in memory is not an option.
- We need to find a shorter representation
- How do we do pairwise comparisons we billions of documents?
- If exact match was the issue it would be ok, can we replicate this idea?


## Three Essential Techniques for Similar Documents

1. Shingling : convert documents, emails, etc., to sets.
2. Minhashing : convert large sets to short signatures, while preserving similarity.
3. Locality-sensitive hashing : focus on pairs of signatures likely to be similar.

## The Big Picture



## Shingles

- A k-shingle (or k-gram) for a document is a sequence of $k$ characters that appears in the document.
- Example: $\mathrm{k}=2$; doc = abcab. Set of 2-shingles = \{ab, bc, ca\}.
- Option: regard shingles as a bag, and count ab twice.
- Represent a doc by its set of k-shingles.


## Shingling

- Shingle: a sequence of $k$ contiguous characters

```
a rose is a rose is a rose
a rose is
rose is a
    rose is a
ose is a r
se is a ro
e is a ros
is a rose
    is a rose
s a rose i
a rose is
a rose is
```


## Working Assumption

- Documents that have lots of shingles in common have similar text, even if the text appears in different order.
- Careful: you must pick $k$ large enough, or most documents will have most shingles.
- $k=5$ is OK for short documents; $k=10$ is better for long documents.


## Shingles: Compression Option

- To compress long shingles, we can hash them to (say) 4 bytes.
- Represent a doc by the set of hash values of its $k$-shingles.
- Two documents could (rarely) appear to have shingles in common, when in fact only the hash-values were shared.


## Rabin's fingerprinting technique

Comparing two strings of size n

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
a=10110 \quad \mathrm{O} \quad \mathrm{n}) \text { too expensive! } \\
\mathrm{b}=11010 \quad \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{a})=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{~b}) ?
\end{array} \\
& \mathrm{~A}=1 * 2^{4}+0 * 2^{3}+1 * 2^{2}+1 * 2^{1}+0 * 2^{0} \\
& B=1 * 2^{4}+1 * 2^{3}+0 * 2^{2}+1 * 2^{1}+0 * 2^{0} \\
& f(a)=A \bmod p \quad P=\text { small random prime } \\
& f(b)=B \bmod p \quad \text { size } O(\operatorname{logn} \text { loglogn) } \\
& \text { - if } a=b \text { then } f(a)=f(b) \\
& \text { if } f(a)=f(b) \text { then } a=b \text { with high probability }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Thought Question

- Why is it better to hash 9 -shingles (say) to 4 bytes than to use 4-shingles?
- Hint: How random are the 32-bit sequences that result from 4-shingling?


## Basic Data Model: Sets

- Document: A document is represented as a set shingles (more accurately, hashes of shingles)
- Document similarity: Jaccard similarity of the sets of shingles.
- Common shingles over the union of shingles
- $\operatorname{Sim}\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{C}_{2}\right)=\left|\mathrm{C}_{1} \cap \mathrm{C}_{2}\right| /\left|\mathrm{C}_{1} \cup \mathrm{C}_{2}\right|$.
- Although we use the documents as our driving example the techniques we will describe apply to any kind of sets.
- E.g., similar customers or products.


## From Sets to Boolean Matrices

-Rows = elements of the universal set (shingles)

- Columns = sets (documents)
- 1 in row $e$ and column $S$ if and only if $e$ is a member of $S$.
- Column similarity is the Jaccard similarity of the sets of their rows with 1.
- Typical matrix is sparse.


## Example: Jaccard Similarity of Columns

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{C}_{1} & \mathrm{C}_{2} & & \\
0 & 1 & * & \\
1 & 0 & * & \\
1 & 1 & * & * \\
0 & 0 & & \operatorname{Sim}\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{C}_{2}\right)= \\
1 & 1 & * & 2 / 5=0.4 \\
0 & 1 & * &
\end{array}
$$

## Aside

- We might not really represent the data by a boolean matrix.
- Sparse matrices are usually better represented by the list of places where there is a non-zero value.
- But the matrix picture is conceptually useful.


# Outline: Finding Similar Columns 

1. Compute signatures of columns = small summaries of columns.
2. Examine pairs of signatures to find similar signatures.

- Essential: similarities of signatures and columns are related. The signatures preserve similarity.

3. Optional: check that columns with similar signatures are really similar.

## Warnings

Comparing all pairs of signatures may take too much time, even if not too much space.

- A job for Locality-Sensitive Hashing.

2. These methods can produce false negatives, and even false positives (if the optional check is not made).

## Signatures

Key idea: "hash" each column $C$ to a small signature Sig (C), such that:

1. $\operatorname{Sig}(\mathrm{C})$ is small enough that we can fit a signature in main memory for each column.
2. $\operatorname{Sim}\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$ is (almost) the same as the "similarity" of $\operatorname{Sig}\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Sig}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$.

## Four Types of Rows

- Given documents $X$ and $Y$,
- Rows may be classified as:

| type | $\mathbf{X}$ <br> bit | $\mathbf{Y}$ <br> bit |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $R_{11}$ | 1 | 1 |
| $R_{10}$ | 1 | 0 |
| $R_{01}$ | 0 | 1 |
| $R_{00}$ | 0 | 0 |


| $X$ | $Y$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 |

## Four Types of Rows

- Given documents $X$ and $Y$,
- Rows may be classified as:

| type | X <br> bit | Y <br> bit |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{R}_{11}$ | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{10}$ | 1 | 0 |  |
| $\mathrm{R}_{01}$ | 0 | 1 |  |
| $\mathrm{R}_{00}$ | 0 | 0 |  |


| $X$ | $Y$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 |

## Four Types of Rows

- Given documents $X$ and $Y$,
- Rows may be classified as:

| type | X <br> bit | Y <br> bit |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{R}_{11}$ | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{10}$ | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{01}$ | 0 | 1 |  |
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## Four Types of Rows

- Given documents $X$ and $Y$,
- Rows may be classified as:

| type | $\mathbf{X}$ <br> bit | $\mathbf{Y}$ <br> bit |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $R_{11}$ | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| $R_{10}$ | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| $R_{01}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| $R_{00}$ | 0 | 0 | 3 |


| $X$ | $Y$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 |

- Also, $R_{11}=\#$ rows of type $R_{11}$, etc.
- Note $\operatorname{Sim}(X, Y)=R_{11} /\left(R_{11}+R_{10}+R_{01}\right)$.


## Minhashing

- Imagine the rows permuted randomly.
- Define "hash" function $h(C)=$ the number of the first (in the permuted order) row in which column $C$ has 1.
- Use several (e.g., 100) independent hash functions to create a signature.


## Example of minhash signatures

- Input matrix

|  | $\mathbf{x 1}$ | $\mathbf{x} \mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{x 3}$ | $\mathbf{X 4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| B | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| C | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| D | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| E | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| F | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| G | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
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## Example of minhash signatures

- Input matrix

|  | $\mathbf{x 1}$ | $\mathbf{x} \mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{x 3}$ | $\mathbf{X 4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| B | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| C | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| D | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| E | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| F | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| G | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |


|  |  | x1 | x2 | x3 | X4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | C | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| D | D | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| G | G | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| F | F | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| A | A | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| B | B | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| E | E | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 |

## Surprising Property

- The probability (over all permutations of the rows) that $h\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)=h\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$ is the same as $\operatorname{Sim}\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$.
- Both are $\mathrm{R}_{11} /\left(\mathrm{R}_{11}+\mathrm{R}_{10}+\mathrm{R}_{01}\right)$ !
-Why?
- Look down the permuted columns $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ until we see a 1.
- If it's a type- $\mathrm{R}_{11}$ row, then $h\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)=h\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)$. If a type- $\mathrm{R}_{10}$ or type- $\mathrm{R}_{01}$ row, then not.


## Similarity for Signatures

- The similarity of signatures is the fraction of the hash functions in which they agree.


## Example of minhash signatures

- Input matrix

|  | $\mathbf{x} 1$ | $\mathbf{x} 2$ | $\mathbf{x 3}$ | $\mathbf{X 4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| B | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| C | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| D | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| E | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| F | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| G | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |


$\approx$| $\mathbf{x 1}$ | $x 2$ | $x 3$ | $x 4$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 |


|  | actual | Sig |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(x 1, x 2)$ | 0 | 0 |
| $(x 1, x 3)$ | 0.75 | $2 / 3$ |
| $(x 1, x 4)$ | $1 / 7$ | 0 |
| $(x 2, x 3)$ | 0 | 0 |
| $(x 2, x 4)$ | 0.75 | 1 |
| $(x 3, x 4)$ | 0 | 0 |

## Minhash algorithm

- Pick k (e.g., 100) permutations of the rows
- Think of Sig(x) as a new vector
- Let Sig(x)[i]: in the i-th permutation, the index of the first row that has 1 for object $x$


## Is it now feasible?

- Assume a billion rows
- Hard to pick a random permutation of $1 . .$. billion
- Even representing a random permutation requires 1 billion entries!!!
- How about accessing rows in permuted order?
- ©


## Being more practical

- Approximating row permutations: pick k=100 (?) hash functions ( $\mathrm{h}_{\left.1, \ldots, \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{k}} \text { ) }\right) ~(1)}$
for each row ir
for each column c
if $c$ has 1 in row $r$
for each hash function $h_{i}$ do
if $h_{i}(r)$ is a smaller value than $M(i, c)$ then

$$
M(i, c)=h_{i}(r) ;
$$

$M(i, c)$ will become the smallest value of $h_{i}(r)$ for which column c has 1 in row $r$; i.e., $h_{i}(r)$ gives order of rows for i-th permutation

## Example

## Sig1 Sig2

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h(1)=1 \\
& g(1)=3
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
h(2)=2
$$

$$
1
$$

$$
2
$$

| 1 | 1 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 0 | 1 |
| 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  |  |

$$
g(2)=0
$$

$$
3
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h(3)=3 \\
& g(3)=2
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
h(4)=4
$$

$$
g(4)=4
$$

$$
2
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \\
& 0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h(x)=x \bmod 5 \\
& g(x)=2 x+1 \bmod 5
\end{aligned}
$$

| 1 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 0 |

## Implementation - (4)

- Often, data is given by column, not row.
- E.g., columns = documents, rows = shingles.
- If so, sort matrix once so it is by row.
- And always compute $h_{i}(r)$ only once for each row.


## Finding Similar Pairs

- Suppose we have, in main memory, data representing a large number of objects.
- May be the objects themselves .
- May be signatures as in minhashing.
- We want to compare each to each, finding those pairs that are sufficiently similar.


## Checking All Pairs is Hard

- While the signatures of all columns may fit in main memory, comparing the signatures of all pairs of columns is quadratic in the number of columns.
- Example: $10^{6}$ columns implies $5^{*} 10^{11}$ columncomparisons.
- At 1 microsecond/comparison: 6 days.


## Locality-Sensitive Hashing

- General idea: Use a function $f(x, y)$ that tells whether or not $x$ and $y$ is a candidate pair: a pair of elements whose similarity must be evaluated.
- For minhash matrices: Hash columns to many buckets, and make elements of the same bucket candidate pairs.


## Candidate Generation From Minhash Signatures

- Pick a similarity threshold s , a fraction $<1$.
- A pair of columns $x$ and $y$ is a candidate pair if their signatures agree in at least fraction $s$ of the rows.
- I.e., $M(i, c)=M(i, d)$ for at least fraction $s$ values of $i$.


## LSH for Minhash Signatures

- Big idea: hash columns of signature matrix $M$ several times.
- Arrange that (only) similar columns are likely to hash to the same bucket.
- While dissimilar columns are less likely to hash to the same bucket
- Candidate pairs are those that hash at least once to the same bucket.


## Partition Into Bands



## Partition into Bands - (2)

- Divide matrix $M$ into $b$ bands of $r$ rows.
- For each band, hash its portion of each column to a hash table with $k$ buckets.
- Make $k$ as large as possible.
- Candidate column pairs are those that hash to the same bucket for $\geq 1$ band.
- Tune $b$ and $r$ to catch most similar pairs, but few non-similar pairs.



## Simplifying Assumption

- There are enough buckets that columns are unlikely to hash to the same bucket unless they are identical in a particular band.
- Hereafter, we assume that "same bucket" means "identical in that band."


## Example: Effect of Bands

- Suppose 100,000 columns.
- Signatures of 100 integers.
- Therefore, signatures take 40 Mb .
- Want all 80\%-similar pairs.
-5,000,000,000 pairs of signatures can take a while to compare.
- Choose $\underset{\sim}{20}$ bands of 5 integers/band.


## Suppose $\mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{C}_{2}$ are $80 \%$ Similar

- Probability $\mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{C}_{2}$ identical in one particular band: $(0.8)^{5}=0.328$.
- Probability $\mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{C}_{2}$ are not similar in any of the 20 bands: $(1-0.328)^{20}=.00035$.
- i.e., about $1 / 3000$ th of the $80 \%$-similar column pairs are false negatives.


## Suppose $\mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{C}_{2}$ Only 40\% Similar

- Probability $\mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{C}_{2}$ identical in any one particular band: $(0.4)^{5}=0.01$.
- Probability $\mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{C}_{2}$ identical in $\geq 1$ of 20 bands: $\leq 20$ * $0.01=0.2$.
- But false positives much lower for similarities << 40\%.


## LSH Involves a Tradeoff

- Pick the number of minhashes, the number of bands, and the number of rows per band to balance false positives/negatives.
- Example: if we had only 15 bands of 5 rows, the number of false positives would go down, but the number of false negatives would go up.


## Analysis of LSH - What We Want



Similarity $s$ of two sets

## What One Band of One Row Gives You



Similarity $s$ of two sets

## What $b$ Bands of $r$ Rows Gives You



Similarity $s$ of two sets

## Example: $b=20 ; r=5$

| $\boldsymbol{s}$ | $\mathbf{1 - ( 1 - s r}^{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{b}}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| .2 | .006 |
| .3 | .047 |
| .4 | .186 |
| .5 | .470 |
| .6 | .802 |
| .7 | .975 |
| .8 | .9996 |



Figure 3.7: The S-curve

## LSH Summary

- Tune to get almost all pairs with similar signatures, but eliminate most pairs that do not have similar signatures.
- Check in main memory that candidate pairs really do have similar signatures.
- Optional: In another pass through data, check that the remaining candidate pairs really represent similar sets.


## Locality-sensitive hashing (LSH)

- Big Picture: Construct hash functions $\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{d}} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}$ such that for any pair of points $p, q$ :
- If $D(p, q) \leq r$, then $\operatorname{Pr}[h(p)=h(q)]$ is high
- If $D(p, q) \geq c r$, then $\operatorname{Pr}[h(p)=h(q)]$ is small
- Then, we can solve the "approximate NN" problem by hashing
- LSH is a general framework; for a given distance function $D$ we need to find the right $h$

