Information Networks

Searching on the Web The anatomy of a search engine Lecture 7





Why Web Search?

- § Search is the main motivation for the development of the Web
 - § people post information because they want it to be found
 - § people are conditioned to searching for information on the Web ("Google it")
 - § The main tool is text search
 - directories cover less than 0.05% of the Web
 - 13% of traffic is generated by search engines
- § Great motivation for academic and research work
 - § Information Retrieval and data mining of massive data
 - § Graph theory and mathematical models
 - § Security and privacy issues





Feb 25, 2003: >600M queries per day



- § Web Search overview
 - § from traditional IR to Web search engines
- § The anatomy of a search engine
 - § Crawling, Duplicate elimination, indexing



... not so long ago

- § Information Retrieval as a scientific discipline has been around for the last 40-50 years
- § Mostly dealt with the problem of developing tools for librarians for finding relevant papers in scientific collections



Classical Information Retrieval





Classical Information Retrieval

- § Implicit Assumptions
 - § fixed and well structured corpus of manageable size
 - § trained cooperative users
 - § controlled environment



Classic IR Goal

- § Classic Relevance
 - § For each query Q and document D assume that there exists a relevance score S(D,Q)
 - score average over all users U and contexts C
 - § Rank documents according to S(D,Q) as opposed to S(D,Q,U,C)
 - Context ignored
 - Individual users ignored



§ Models

- § Boolean model: retrieve all documents that contain the query terms
 - rank documents according to some term-weighting scheme
- § Term-vector model: docs and queries are vectors in the term space
 - rank documents according to the cosine similarity
- § Term weights
 - tf x idf : (tf = term frequency, idf = log of inverse document frequency – promote rare terms)

§ Measures

- § Precision: percentage of relevant documents over the returned documents
- § Recall: percentage of relevant documents over all existing relevant documents



Goal: Return the results that best satisfy the user's need



- § Informational learn about something (~40%)
 § "colors of greek flag", "haplotype definition"
- § Navigational locate something (~25%)
 - § "microsoft", "Jon Kleinberg"
- § Transactional do something (~35%)
 - § Access a service
 - "train to Turku"
 - § Download
 - "earth at night"
 - § Shop
 - "Nicon Coolpix"



§ They ask a lot but they offer little in return

- § Make ill-defined queries
 - short (2.5 avg terms, 80% <3 terms AV, 2001)
 - imprecise terms
 - poor syntax
 - low effort
- § Unpredictable
 - wide variance in needs/expectations/expertise
- § Impatient
 - 85% look one screen only (mostly "above the fold")
 - 78% queries not modified (one query per session)
- § ...but they know how to spot correct information
 - § follow "the scent of information"...



§ Immense amount of information

- § 2005, Google: 8 Billion pages
- § fast growth rate (double every 8-12 months)
- § Huge Lexicon: 10s-100s millions of words
- § Highly diverse content
 - § many different authors, languages, encodings
 - § highly un-structured content
- § Static + Dynamic ("the hidden Web")
- § Volatile
 - § crawling challenge



Rate of change [CGM00]



average rate of change

average rate of change per domain





Rate of Change [FMNW03]



Rate of change per domain. Change between two successive downloads



Rate of change as a function of document length



- § Links, graph topology, anchor text § this is now part of the corpus!
- § Significant amount of duplication
 - § ~30% (near) duplicates [FMN03]
- § Spam!
 - § 100s of million of pages
 - § Add-URL robots



Query Results

- § Static documents
 - § text, images, audio, video,etc
- § Dynamic documents ("the invisible Web")
 - § dynamic generated documents, mostly database accesses
- § Extracts of documents, combinations of multiple sources
 - § www.googlism.com



Googlism

Googlism.com will find out what <u>Google.com</u> thinks of you, your friends or anything! Search for your name here or for a good laugh check out some of the popular Googlisms below.

"By the way, its a wicked site good stuff." - Andrew Thompson



Googlism for: tsaparas

tsaparas is president and ceo of prophecy entertainment inc tsaparas is the only person who went to the college of the holy cross

tsaparas is to be buried in thessaloniki this morning following his death late on thursday night at the age of 87

Googlism for: athens

athens is the home of the parthenon athens is the capital of greece and the country's economic athens is 'racing against time' athens is a hometown guy



The evolution of Search Engines

§ First Generation – text data only § word frequencies, tf × idf

1995-1997: AltaVista Lycos, Excite

- § Second Generation text and web data
 - § Link analysis
 - § Click stream analysis
 - § Anchor Text
- § Third Generation the need behind the query
 - § Semantic analysis: what is it about?
 - § Integration of multiple sources
 - § Context sensitive
 - personalization, geographical context, browsing context

1998 - now : Google

leads the way

Still experimental



§ Classical IR techniques

- § Boolean model
- § ranking using tf × idf relevance scores
- § good for informational queries
- § quality degraded as the web grew
- § sensitive to spamming



Second generation Web search

- § Boolean model
- § Ranking using web specific data
 - § HTML tag information
 - § click stream information (DirectHit)
 - people vote with their clicks
 - § directory information (Yahoo! directory)
 - § anchor text
 - § link analysis



Link Analysis Ranking

- § Intuition: a link from q to p denotes endorsement
 - § people vote with their links
- § Popularity count
 - § rank according to the incoming links
- § PageRank algorithm
 - § perform a random walk on the Web graph. The pages visited most often are the ones most important.

$$PR(p) = a \sum_{q \to p} \frac{PR(q)}{|F(q)|} + (1-a)\frac{1}{n}$$

- § Good performance for answering navigational queries
 - § "finding needle in a haystack"
- § ... and informational queries
 - § e.g "oscar winners"
- § Resistant to text spamming
- § Generated substantial amount of research
- § Latest trend: specialized search engines



Result evaluation

- § recall becomes useless
- § precision measured over top-10/20 results
- § Shift of interest from "relevance" to "authoritativeness/reputation"
- § ranking becomes critical



- § Online tutorials for "search engine persuasion techniques"
 - § "How to boost your PageRank"
- § Artificial links and Web communities
- § Latest trend: "Google bombing"
 - § a community of people create (genuine) links with a specific anchor text towards a specific page. Usually to make a political point

4	
	A.F.

Google Bombing

Google	Advanced Search Preferences Language Tools Search Tips "miserable failure" Google Search	
Web Images Groups	Search: 💿 the web 🔿 pages from Canada	
Searched the web for "miserab		Results 1 - 10 of abou
George W. Bush is the 43rd Pre Description: Biography of the pre Category: <u>Kids and Teens > Sch</u> www.whitehouse.gov/president/g <u>Biography of Jimmy (</u> Home > History & Tours) Jimmy Carter aspired to r Description: Short biograp Category: <u>Society > Histo</u>	President George W. Bush En Español. esident of the United States. He resident from the official White House web site. hool Time > > Bush, George Walker gwbbio.html - 29k - <u>Cached</u> - <u>Similar pages</u>	
Letter from Michael Moore to Ge Description: Official site of the g Category: <u>Arts > People > M > 1</u>	rsary of the Great Flint Sit-Down Strike) An Open eorge "I'ma War President!" Bush. Dear Mr. Bush, gadfly of corporations, creator of the film Roger and Me and the television show <u>Moore, Michael</u> - 4 Mar 2004 - <u>Cached</u> - <u>Similar pages</u>	C
Many of you have written	r Clark / Good-Bye Mr. Bush - by Michael Moore. to me in the past months asking, "Who /index_real.php - 44k - <u>Cached</u> - <u>Similar pages</u>	



Google Bombing

- § Try also the following
 - § "weapons of mass destruction"
 - § "french victories"
- § Do Google bombs capture an actual trend?
- § How sensitive is Google to such bombs?



§ Spammers evolve together with the search engines. The two seem to be intertwined.

Adversarial Information Retrieval



Third generation Search Engines: an example

Advanced Search Preferences Languag	e Tools Search Tips
Goo	gle Search
Search: 💿 the web 🔾 pages from Canada	
Web Images Groups Directory News	
Searched the web for haplotype definition	Results 1 - 10
Tip: To get dictionary definitions for your search terms, click on the underlined	search term(s) in the blue bar above your search
WWW.ornl.gow/TechResources/Human_Genome/glossary/glos HAPLOTYPE definition Home/H/HA/HAPLOTYPE. Medical Dictionary Search Engine. Advertise on thi site! A service of health-link-net.com. Browse Dictionary Alphabetically www.books.md/H/dic/haplotype.php - 11k - Cached - Similar pages	s
www.books.manwalc/naplotype.php = rik = <u>cached</u> = <u>ommai pages</u>	The need behind the query
SimWalk2: Haplotype Exchange Format Definition	
Back to SimWalk2 Overview. SimWalk2: Haplotype Exchange Format Definitexample Haplotype Exchange Format (HEF) file:	tion. An
watson.hgen.pitt.edu/docs/SW2_HEFdef.html - 12k - Cached - Similar pages	



Third generation Search Engines: another example

Google ^{Advanced Search} <u>Preferences</u> <u>Language Tools</u> <u>Search Tips</u> iraq war Search: Search: the web Opages from Canada Web Images Groups Directory News
Searched the web for <u>iraq war</u> . Results 1 - 10
Category: <u>Regional > Middle East > > History > Iran-Iraq War</u> News: <u>Blair's defence of the Iraq war</u> - The Times (subscription) - 13 hours ago <u>Iraq War Amputees Get New Limbs, New Life</u> - Los Angeles Times (subscription) - 16 hours ago <u>Defence chief's Iraq war concern</u> - BBC News - 23 hours ago Try Google News: <u>Search news for iraq war</u> or <u>browse the latest headlines</u>
<u>Cost of War</u> To the right you will find a running total of the amount of money spent by the US Government to finance the war in Iraq Cost of the War in Iraq . 0 Description: A running total of the amount of money spent by the US Government to finance the war , based on estimates Category: <u>Society > Issues > > Specific Conflicts > Iraq</u> costofwar.com/ - 5k - <u>Cached</u> - <u>Similar pages</u>



Third generation Search Engines: another example

C	Advanced Search Preferences Language Tools Search Tip	<u>)S</u>
G	Search: O the web O pages from Canada The following words are very common and were not included in y The "AND" operator is unnecessary we include all search term	가슴 가슴 가지 않는 것 같아요. 이 아이는 것에서 물건을 다 가지 않는 것이 물건을 다 가지 않는 것이 나는 것이 없다. 가지 않는 것이 아이들 것이 같아요. 이 아이들 것이 아이들 것이 같아요.
Web Searche	Images Groups Directory News ed the web for <u>the answer to life the universe and everything.</u>	Results 1 - 10 c
1	the answer to life the universe and everything = 42 More about calculator.	

The Answer to Life, the Universe, and Everything - Wikipedia

... Google has recently added a calculator function to its search engine, which contains a formula for the question **answer** to **life** the **universe** and **everything**. ... en2.wikipedia.org/ wiki/The Answer to Life, the Universe, and Everything - 17k - Cached - Similar pages



GMail "	Search Mail Search the Web <u>Show search options</u> Create a filter	
<u>Compose Mail</u>	Archive Report Spam More Actions Refresh	
Inbox	Select: All, None, Read, Unread, Starred, Unstarred	
Starred 🛱	🖌 🥅 🏠 root Åõ÷áñéóôïýìà ãéá ôçí åããñáöÞ óáò noneedtoknow - scroll do	







- § Web Search overview
 - § from traditional IR to Web search engines
- § The anatomy of a search engine
 - § Crawling, Duplicate elimination, Indexing





§ Essential component of a search engine

§ affects search engine quality

§ Performance

- § 1995: single machine 1M URLs/day
- § 2001: distributed 250M URLs/day
- § Where do you start the crawl from?
 - § directories
 - § registration data
 - § HTTP logs
 - § etc...


Algorithmic issues

- § Politeness
 - § do not hit a server too often
- § Freshness
 - § how often to refresh and which pages?
- § Crawling order
 - § in which order to download the URLs
- § Coordination between distributed crawlers
- § Avoiding spam traps
- § Duplicate elimination
- § Research: focused crawlers





start with a queue of URLs to be processed









fetch the first page to be processed





extract the links, check if they are known URLs









§ Not much different from what we described





the next page to be crawled is obtained from the URL frontier



























if not visited, add to the URL frontier, prioritized (in the case of continuous crawling, you may add also the source page, back to the URL frontier)





Distributed Crawling



- § Each process is responsible for a partition of URLs
- § The Host Splitter assigns the URLs to the correct process
- § Most links are local so traffic is small



Crawling order

- § Best pages first
 - § possible quality measures
 - in-degree
 - PageRank
 - § possible orderings
 - Breadth First Search (FIFO)
 - in-degree (so far)
 - PageRank (so far)
 - random



Crawling order [CGP98]









- § Approximately 30% of the Web pages are duplicates or near duplicates
- § Sources of duplication
 - § Legitimate: mirrors, aliases, updates
 - § Malicious: spamming, crawler traps
 - § Crawler mistakes
- § Costs:
 - § wasted resources
 - § unhappy users



- § Eliminate both duplicates and near duplicates
- § Computing pairwise edit distance is too expensive
- § Solution
 - § reduce the problem to set intersection
 - § sample documents to produce small sketches
 - § estimate the intersection using the sketches



§ Shingle: a sequence of w contiguous words





§ Comparing two strings of size n a=b?a = 10110O(n) too expensive! b = 11010f(a)=f(b)? $A = 1 * 2^{4} + 0 * 2^{3} + 1 * 2^{2} + 1 * 2^{1} + 0 * 2^{0}$ $B = 1 * 2^{4} + 1 * 2^{3} + 0 * 2^{2} + 1 * 2^{1} + 0 * 2^{0}$ f(a) = A mod p p = small random prime f(b)= B mod p size O(logn loglogn) § if a=b then f(a)=f(b)if f(a)=f(b) then a=b with high probability





Sampling from a set

§ Assume that $S \subset U$

§ e.g. $U = \{a,b,c,d,e,f\}, S = \{a,b,c\}$

§ Pick uniformly at random a permutation σ of the universe U

§ e.g $\sigma = \langle d, f, b, e, a, c \rangle$

§ Represent S with the element that has the smallest image under σ

§ e.g. $\sigma = \langle d, f, b, e, a, c \rangle$ $b = \sigma - min(S)$

§ Each element in S has equal probability of being σ-min(S)



- § Apply a permutation σ to the universe of all possible fingerprints U=[1...2⁶⁴]
- § Let $\alpha = \sigma$ -min(S₁) and $\beta = \sigma$ -min(S₂)

$$Pr(a = \beta) = ?$$



- § Apply a permutation σ to the universe of all possible fingerprints U=[1...2⁶⁴]
- § Let $\alpha = \sigma \min(S_1)$ and $\beta = \sigma \min(S_2)$

$$\Pr(\mathbf{a} = \boldsymbol{\beta}) = \frac{\left| \mathbf{S}_{1} \cap \mathbf{S}_{2} \right|}{\left| \mathbf{S}_{1} \cup \mathbf{S}_{2} \right|}$$

- § Proof:
 - § The elements in $S_1 \cup S_2$ are mapped by the same permutation σ .
 - § The two sets have the same σ -min value if σ -min($S_1 \cup S_2$) belongs to $S_1 \cap S_2$



Universe U =
$$\{a,b,c,d,e,f\}$$

 $S_1 = \{a,b,c\}$
 $S_2 = \{b,c,d\}$
 $S_1 \cap S_2 = \{b,c\}$

 $S_1U S_2 = \{a,b,c,d\}$ $\sigma(U) = \langle e, *, *, f, *, * \rangle$

We do not care where the elements e and f are placed in the permutation

 $\sigma\text{-min}(S_1) = \sigma\text{-min}(S_2) \text{ if * is from } \{b,c\}$ The element in * can be any of the $\{a,b,c,d\}$ $Pr(\sigma\text{-min}(S_1) = \sigma\text{-min}(S_2)) = \frac{|\{b,c\}|}{|\{a,b,c,d\}|} = \frac{|S_1 \cap S_2|}{|S_1 \cup S_2|}$



Filtering duplicates

- § Sample k permutations of the universe U=[1...2⁶⁴]
- § Represent fingerprint set S as $S' = \{\sigma_1 - \min(S), \sigma_2 - \min(S), \dots, \sigma_k - \min(S)\}$
- § For two sets S_1 and S_2 estimate their resemblance as the number of elements S_1 ' and S_2 ' have in common
- § Discard as duplicates the ones with estimated similarity above some threshold r



Why does this work?

§ The probability that the two sets S_1 ' and S_2 ' agree on one of the permutations is

$$\mathbf{p} = \frac{\left|\mathbf{S}_{1} \cap \mathbf{S}_{2}\right|}{\left|\mathbf{S}_{1} \cup \mathbf{S}_{2}\right|}$$

- § The expected number of agreements on k trials is pk
- § If the estimated similarity is above r then on expectation

$$\frac{\left|\mathbf{S}_{1} \cap \mathbf{S}_{2}\right|}{\left|\mathbf{S}_{1} \cup \mathbf{S}_{2}\right|} \geq \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{k}}$$



- § Problem: There is no practical way to sample from the universe U=[1...2⁶⁴]
- § Solution: Sample from the (smaller) set of min-wise independent permutations [BCFM98]
- § min-wise independent permutation σ for every set X

for every element x of X

x has equal probability of being the minimum element of X under σ



Other applications

- § This technique has also been applied to other data mining applications
 - § for example find words that appear often together in documents

	w1	w2	w3	w4
d1	1	0	1	1
d2	1	0	1	1
d3	0	1	0	1
d4	1	0	0	0
d5	1	1	1	0



Other applications

- § This technique has also been applied to other data mining applications
 - § for example find words that appear often together in documents

	w1	w2	w3	w4
d1	1	0	1	1
d2	1	0	1	1
d3	0	1	0	1
d4	1	0	0	0
d5	1	1	1	0

$w1 = \{d1, d2, d4, d5\}$	w1 = { <mark>d1,d2</mark> }
$w2 = \{d3, d5\}$	w2 = { <mark>d3,d5</mark> }
$w3 = \{d1, d2, d3, d5\}$	w3 = { <mark>d1</mark> , d2 }
$w4 = \{d1, d2, d3\}$	w4 = { <mark>d2,d3</mark> }

<d3,d1,d5,d2,d4>
<d2,d5,d4,d1,d3>



The indexing module

- § Inverted Index
 - § for every word store the doc ID in which it appears
- § Forward Index
 - § for every document store the word ID of each word in the doc.
- § Lexicon
 - § a hash table with all the words
- § Link Structure
 - § store the graph structure so that you can retrieve in nodes, out nodes, "sibling" nodes
- § Utility Index
 - § stores useful information about pages (e.g. PageRank values)



- § For a word w appearing in document D, create a hit entry
 - § plain hit: [cap | font | position]
 - § fancy hit: [cap | 111 | type | pos]
 - § anchor hit: [cap | 111 | type | docID | pos]



§ For each document store the list of words that appear in the document, and for each word the list of hits in the document

	docID	wordID	nhits	hit	hit	hit	hit		ocIDs are replicated in ifferent barrels that store		
		wordID	nhits	hit	hit	hit			pecific range of wordIDs		
г		NULL					1		This allows to delta-encode he wordIDs and save space		
	docID	wordID	nhits	hit	hit	hit			_		
		wordID	nhits	hit	hit	hit	hit	hit			
		NULL									



§ For each word, the lexicon entry points to a list of document entries in which the word appears





Query Processing

- § Convert query terms into wordIDs
- § Scan the docID lists to find the common documents.
 - § phrase queries are handled using the pos field
- § Rank the documents, return top-k
 - § PageRank
 - § hits of each type x type weight
 - § proximity of terms



No, this talk is not sponsored by Google



Acknowledgements

§ Many thanks to Andrei Broder for many of the slides



- § [NH01] Marc Najork, Allan Heydon High Performance Web Crawling, SRC Research Report, 2001
- § A. Broder, On the resemblance and containment of documents
- § [BP98] S. Brin, L. Page, The anatomy of a large scale search engine, WWW 1998
- § [FMNW03] Dennis Fetterly, Mark Manasse, Marc Najork, and Janet Wiener. A Large-Scale Study of the Evolution of Web Pages. 12th International World Wide Web Conference (May 2003), pages 669-678
- § [NW01] Marc Najork and Janet L. Wiener. Breadth-First Search Crawling Yields High-Quality Pages. 10th International World Wide Web Conference (May 2001), pages 114-118.
- § Arvind Arasu, Junghoo Cho, Hector Garcia-Molina, Andreas Paepcke, Sriram Raghavan "Searching the Web." ACM Transactions on Internet Technology, 1(1): August 2001.
- § [CGP98] Junghoo Cho, Hector Garcia-Molina, Lawrence Page "Efficient Crawling Through URL Ordering." In Proceedings of the 7th World Wide Web conference (WWW7), Brisbane, Australia, April 1998.
- § [CGM00] Junghoo Cho, Hector Garcia-Molina "The Evolution of the Web and Implications for an incremental Crawler." In Proceedings of 26th International Conference on Very Large Databases (VLDB), September 2000.