## DATA MINING LECTURE 6

Sketching, Locality Sensitive Hashing

## Jaccard Similarity

- The Jaccard similarity (Jaccard coefficient) of two sets $\mathrm{S}_{1}$, $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ is the size of their intersection divided by the size of their union.
- $\operatorname{JSim}\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right)=\left|\mathrm{S}_{1} \cap \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right| /\left|\mathrm{S}_{1} \cup \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right|$.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3 \text { in intersection. } \\
& 8 \text { in union. } \\
& \text { Jaccard similarity } \\
& \quad=3 / 8
\end{aligned}
$$

- Extreme behavior:
- $\operatorname{Jsim}(X, Y)=1$, iff $X=Y$
- $J \operatorname{sim}(X, Y)=0$ iff $X, Y$ have no elements in common
- JSim is symmetric


## Cosine Similarity



Figure 2.16. Geometric illustration of the cosine measure.

- $\operatorname{Sim}(X, Y)=\cos (X, Y)$
- The cosine of the angle between $X$ and $Y$
- If the vectors are aligned (correlated) angle is zero degrees and $\cos (\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y})=1$
- If the vectors are orthogonal (no common coordinates) angle is 90 degrees and $\cos (X, Y)=0$
- Cosine is commonly used for comparing documents, where we assume that the vectors are normalized by the document length.


## Application: Recommendations

- Recommendation systems
- When a user buys or rates an item we want to recommend other items that the user may like
- Initially applied to books, but now recommendations are everywhere: songs, movies, products, restaurants, hotels, etc.
- Commonly used algorithms:
- Find the k users most similar to the user at hand and recommend items that they like.
- Find the items most similar to the items that the user has previously liked, and recommend these items.


## Application: Finding near duplicates

- Find duplicate and near-duplicate documents from a web crawl.
- Why is it important:
- Identify mirrored web pages, and avoid indexing them, or serving them multiple times
- Find replicated news stories and cluster them under a single story.
- Identify plagiarism
- Near duplicate documents differ in a few characters, words or sentences


## Finding similar items

- The problems we have seen so far have a common component
- We need a quick way to find highly similar items to a query item
- OR, we need a method for finding all pairs of items that are highly similar.
- Also known as the Nearest Neighbor problem, or the All Nearest Neighbors problem


## SKETCHING AND LOCALITY SENSITIVE HASHING

Thanks to:
Rajaraman and Ullman, "Mining Massive Datasets"
Evimaria Terzi, slides for Data Mining Course.

## Problem

- Given a (large) collection of documents find all pairs of documents which are near duplicates
- Their similarity is very high
-What if we want to find identical documents?


## Main issues

- What is the right representation of the document when we check for similarity?
- E.g., representing a document as a set of characters will not do (why?)
- When we have billions of documents, keeping the full text in memory is not an option.
- We need to find a shorter representation
- How do we do pairwise comparisons of billions of documents?
- If we wanted exact match it would be ok, can we replicate this idea?


## Three Essential Techniques for Similar Documents

1. Shingling : convert documents, emails, etc., to sets.
2. Minhashing : convert large sets to short signatures, while preserving similarity.
3. Locality-Sensitive Hashing (LSH): focus on pairs of signatures likely to be similar.

## The Big Picture



## Shingles

- A k-shingle (or k-gram) for a document is a sequence of $k$ characters that appears in the document.
- Example: document = abcab. $\mathrm{k}=2$
- Set of 2-shingles = \{ab, bc, ca\}.
- Option: regard shingles as a bag, and count ab twice.
- Represent a document by its set of k-shingles.


## Shingling

- Shingle: a sequence of $k$ contiguous characters

```
a rose is a rose is a rose
a rose is
    rose is a
    rose is a
        ose is a r
            se is a ro
            e is a ros
                is a rose
            s a rose i
            a rose is
                a rose is
```


## Shingling

- Shingle: a sequence of $k$ contiguous characters a rose is a rose is a rose

| a rose | is |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| rose | is a | a |  |
| rose | is a | a |  |
| ose | is a | a r |  |
| se | is a | a ro |  |
| e | is a | a ros |  |
|  | is a | a rose |  |
|  | is | a rose |  |
|  | $s$ a | a rose | i |
|  |  | a rose | is |
|  |  | a rose | is |


| a rose is |
| :---: |
| rose is a |
| rose is a |
| ose is a r |
| se is a ro |
| e is a ros |
| is a rose |
| is a rose |
| $s$ a rose i |
| a rose is |

## Working Assumption

Documents that have lots of shingles in common have similar text, even if the text appears in different order.

- Careful: you must pick $k$ large enough, or most documents will have most shingles.
- Extreme case $k=1$ : all documents are the same
- $k=5$ is OK for short documents; $k=10$ is better for long documents.
- Alternative ways to define shingles:
- Use words instead of characters
- Anchor on stop words (to avoid templates)


## Shingles: Compression Option

- To compress long shingles, we can hash them to (say) 4 bytes.

$$
h: V^{k} \rightarrow\{0,1\}^{64}
$$

- Represent a doc by the set of hash values of its $k$ shingles.
- Shingle $s$ will be represented by the 64-bit integer $h(s)$
- From now on we will assume that shingles are integers
- Collisions are possible, but very rare


## Fingerprinting

- Hash shingles to 64-bit integers

Set of Shingles
Hash function
Set of 64-bit integers

| a rose is |
| :--- |
| rose is a |
| rose is a |
| ose is a r |
| se is a ro |
| e is a ros |
| is a rose |
| is a rose |
| s a rose i |
| a rose is |


1111
2222
3333
4444
5555
6666
7777
8888
9999
0000

## Basic Data Model: Sets

- Document: A document is represented as a set shingles (more accurately, hashes of shingles)
- Document similarity: Jaccard similarity of the sets of shingles.
- Common shingles over the union of shingles
- $\operatorname{Sim}\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{C}_{2}\right)=\left|\mathrm{C}_{1} \cap \mathrm{C}_{2}\right| /\left|\mathrm{C}_{1} \cup \mathrm{C}_{2}\right|$.
- Although we use the documents as our driving example the techniques we will describe apply to any kind of sets.
- E.g., similar customers or items.


## Signatures

- Problem: shingle sets are still too large to be kept in memory.
- Key idea: "hash" each set S to a small signature Sig (S), such that:

1. $\operatorname{Sig}(\mathrm{S})$ is small enough that we can fit a signature in main memory for each set.
2. Sim $\left(S_{1}, S_{2}\right)$ is (almost) the same as the "similarity" of $\operatorname{Sig}\left(S_{1}\right)$ and Sig $\left(\mathrm{S}_{2}\right)$. (signature preserves similarity).

- Warning: This method can produce false negatives, and false positives (if an additional check is not made).
- False negatives: Similar items deemed as non-similar
- False positives: Non-similar items deemed as similar


## From Sets to Boolean Matrices

- Represent the data as a boolean matrix M
- Rows = the universe of all possible set elements
- In our case, shingle fingerprints take values in [0...264-1]
- Columns = the sets
- In our case, documents, sets of shingle fingerprints
- $M(r, S)=1$ in row $r$ and column $S$ if and only if $r$ is a member of $S$.
- Typical matrix is sparse.
- We do not really materialize the matrix


## Example

## - Universe: U = \{A,B,C,D,E,F,G\}

- $X=\{A, B, F, G\}$
- $Y=\{A, E, F, G\}$
- $\operatorname{Sim}(X, Y)=\frac{3}{5}$

|  | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 1 | 1 |
| B | 1 | 0 |
| C | 0 | 0 |
| D | 0 | 0 |
| E | 0 | 1 |
| F | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{G}$ | 1 | 1 |

## Example

## - Universe: $\mathrm{U}=\{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{G}\}$

- $X=\{A, B, F, G\}$
- $\mathrm{Y}=\{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{G}\}$

|  | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 1 | 1 |
| B | 1 | 0 |
| C | 0 | 0 |
| D | 0 | 0 |
| E | 0 | 1 |
| F | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{G}$ | 1 | 1 |

At least one of the columns has value 1

## Example

## - Universe: $\mathrm{U}=\{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{G}\}$

- $X=\{A, B, F, G\}$
- $Y=\{A, E, F, G\}$

|  | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{Y}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 1 | 1 |
| B | 1 | 0 |
| C | 0 | 0 |
| D | 0 | 0 |
| E | 0 | 1 |
| F | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathbf{G}$ | 1 | 1 |

Both columns have value 1

## Minhashing

- Pick a random permutation of the rows (the universe U).
- Define "hash" function for set $S$
- $\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{S})=$ the index of the first row (in the permuted order) in which column $S$ has 1.
same as:
- $h(S)=$ the index of the first element of $S$ in the permuted order.
- Use k (e.g., $k=100$ ) independent random permutations to create a signature.


## Example of minhash signatures

- Input matrix

| dem <br> dim | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| B | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| C | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| D | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| E | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| F | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| G | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

Random
Permutation


## Example of minhash signatures

- Input matrix

| dem <br> dem | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| B | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| C | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| D | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| E | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| F | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| G | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

Random
Permutation

| $\mathbf{D}$ |
| :--- |
| $\mathbf{B}$ |
| $\mathbf{A}$ |
| $\mathbf{C}$ |
| $\mathbf{F}$ |
| $\mathbf{G}$ |
| $\mathbf{E}$ |


| intax | ${ }_{\text {dem }}^{\text {dem }}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | D | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2 | B | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 3 | A | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 4 | C | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 5 | F | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 6 | G | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 7 | E | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 |

## Example of minhash signatures

- Input matrix

| dem <br> alm <br> ent | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| B | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| C | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| D | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| E | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| F | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| G | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

Random
Permutation

|  |  | dem | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | $S_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 1 | C | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| D | 2 | D | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| G | 3 | G | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| F | 4 | F | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| A | 5 | A | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| B | 6 | B | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| E | 7 | E | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |


| 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Example of minhash signatures

- Input matrix

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| B | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| C | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| D | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| E | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| F | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| G | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

Signature matrix

|  | $S_{1}$ | $S_{2}$ | $S_{3}$ | $S_{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $h_{1}$ | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| $h_{2}$ | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| $h_{3}$ | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 |

- $\operatorname{Sig}(S)=$ vector of hash values
- e.g., $\operatorname{Sig}\left(S_{2}\right)=[2,1,1]$
- $\operatorname{Sig}(S, i)=$ value of the i-th hash function for set S
- E.g., $\operatorname{Sig}\left(\mathrm{S}_{2}, 3\right)=1$


## Hash function Property

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(\mathrm{h}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1}\right)=\mathrm{h}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{2}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Sim}\left(\mathrm{S}_{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right)
$$

- where the probability is over all choices of permutations.
-Why?
- The first row where one of the two sets has value 1 belongs to the union.
- Recall that union contains rows with at least one 1.
- We have equality if both sets have value 1 , and this row belongs to the intersection


## Example

- Universe: $U=\{A, B, C, D, E, F, G\}$
- $X=\{A, B, F, G\}$
- $Y=\{A, E, F, G\}$

Rows C,D could be anywhere they do not affect the probability

- Union =

$$
\{A, B, E, F, G\}
$$

- Intersection = \{A,F,G\}

|  | X | Y |  |  | X | Y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 1 | 1 | D | D | 0 | 0 |
| B | 1 | 0 | * |  |  |  |
| C | 0 | 0 | * |  |  |  |
| D | 0 | 0 | C | C | 0 | 0 |
| E | 0 | 1 | * |  |  |  |
| F | 1 | 1 | * |  |  |  |
| G | 1 | 1 | * |  |  |  |

## Example

- Universe: $\mathbb{U}=\{A, B, C, D, E, F, G\}$
- $X=\{A, B, F, G\}$
- $Y=\{A, E, F, G\}$
- Union =

$$
\{A, B, E, F, G\}
$$

- Intersection = $\{A, F, G\}$

|  | X | Y |  |  | X | Y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 1 | 1 | D | D | 0 | 0 |
| B | 1 | 0 | * |  |  |  |
| C | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| D | 0 | 0 | C | C | 0 | 0 |
| E | 0 | 1 | * |  |  |  |
| F | 1 | 1 | * |  |  |  |
| G | 1 | 1 | * |  |  |  |

## Example

- Universe: $\mathbb{U}=\{A, B, C, D, E, F, G\}$
- $X=\{A, B, F, G\}$
- $Y=\{A, E, F, G\}$

The question is what is the value of the first * element

- Union =

$$
\{A, B, E, F, G\}
$$

- Intersection = \{A,F,G\}

|  | X | Y |  | X | Y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |
| B | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |
| C | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| D | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| E | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |
| F | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| G | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |

## Example

- Universe: $\mathbb{U}=\{A, B, C, D, E, F, G\}$
- $X=\{A, B, F, G\}$
- $Y=\{A, E, F, G\}$

If it belongs to the intersection then $h(X)=h(Y)$

- Union =

$$
\{A, B, E, F, G\}
$$

- Intersection = \{A,F,G\}

|  | X | Y |  |  | X | Y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 1 | 1 | D | D | 0 | 0 |
| B | 1 | 0 | * |  |  |  |
| C | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| D | 0 | 0 | c | C | 0 | 0 |
| E | 0 | 1 | * |  |  |  |
| F | 1 | 1 | * |  |  |  |
| G | 1 | 1 | * |  |  |  |

## Example

- Universe: $\mathbb{U}=\{A, B, C, D, E, F, G\}$
- $X=\{A, B, F, G\}$
- $Y=\{A, E, F, G\}$

Every element of the union is equally likely to be the * element

$$
\operatorname{Pr}(h(X)=h(Y))=\frac{|\{A, F, G\}|}{|\{A, B, E, F, G\}|}=\frac{3}{5}=\operatorname{Sim}(X, Y)
$$

- Union =

$$
\{A, B, E, F, G\}
$$

- Intersection = \{A,F,G\}

|  | X | Y |  |  | X | Y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 1 | 1 | D | D | 0 | 0 |
| B | 1 | 0 | * |  |  |  |
| C | 0 | 0 | * |  |  |  |
| D | 0 | 0 | C | C | 0 | 0 |
| E | 0 | 1 | * |  |  |  |
| F | 1 | 1 | * |  |  |  |
| G | 1 | 1 | * |  |  |  |

## Similarity for Signatures

- The similarity of signatures is the fraction of the hash functions in which they agree.

|  | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| A | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| B | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| C | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| D | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| E | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| F | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| G | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |


| Signature matrix |  |  |  |  |  | Actual | Sig |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\approx$ | $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ | $\left(S_{1}, S_{2}\right)$ | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 2 | 1 | $S_{4}$ | $\left(S_{1}, S_{3}\right)$ | 3/5 | 2/3 |
|  | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | $\left(S_{1}, S_{4}\right)$ | 1/7 | 0 |
|  | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | $\left(S_{2}, S_{3}\right)$ | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\left(S_{2}, S_{4}\right)$ | 3/4 | 1 |
| Zero similarity is preserved |  |  |  |  | $\left(S_{3}, S_{4}\right)$ | 0 | 0 |
| High similarity is well approximated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ignatures we get a good |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Is it now feasible?

- Assume a billion rows
- Hard to pick a random permutation of $1 . .$. billion
- Even representing a random permutation requires 1 billion entries!!!
- How about accessing rows in permuted order?
- ©


## Being more practical

Approximating row permutations: pick k=100 hash functions ( $\mathrm{h}_{1, \ldots, \ldots} \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{k}}$ )
for each row r
for each hash function $h_{i}$

## In practice this means selecting the function parameters

In practice only the rows (shingles) that appear in the data
$h_{i}(r)=$ index of shingle $r$ in permutation for each column $S$ that has 1 in row $r \quad s$ contains shingle $r$ if $h_{i}(r)$ is a smaller value than $\operatorname{Sig}(S, i)$ then

$$
\operatorname{Sig}(\mathbf{S}, \mathbf{i})=h_{i}(\mathbf{r}) ;
$$

Find the shingle $r$ with minimum index
$\operatorname{Sig}(S, i)$ will become the smallest value of $h_{i}(r)$ among all rows (shingles) for which column $S$ has value 1 (shingle belongs in $S$ ); i.e., $h_{i}(r)$ gives the min index for the i-th permutation

## Example

| x | Row | S 1 | S 2 | $\mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{x})$ | $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | A | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
|  | B | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 2 | C | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| 3 | D | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| 4 | E | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h(0)=1 \\
& g(0)=3
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h(1)=2 \\
& g(1)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
h(2)=3
$$

$$
g(2)=2
$$

$$
h(3)=4
$$

$h(x)=x+1 \bmod 5 \quad g(x)=2 x+1 \bmod 5$

$$
g(3)=4
$$

| Row |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | S1 | S2 |
| E | 0 | 1 |
| A | 1 | 0 |
| B | 0 | 1 |
| C | 1 | 1 |
| D | 1 | 0 |


| Row |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | S1 | S2 |
| B | 0 | 1 |
| E | 0 | 1 |
| C | 1 | 0 |
| A | 1 | 1 |
| D | 1 | 0 |
|  |  |  |

$$
h(4)=0
$$

1

$$
g(4)=1
$$

2

## Implementation - (4)

- Often, data is given by column, not row.
- E.g., columns = documents, rows = shingles.
- If so, sort matrix once so it is by row.
- And always compute $h_{i}(r)$ only once for each row.


## Finding similar pairs

- Problem: Find all pairs of documents with similarity at least $t=0.8$
- While the signatures of all columns may fit in main memory, comparing the signatures of all pairs of columns is quadratic in the number of columns.
- Example: $10^{6}$ columns implies $5^{*} 10^{11}$ columncomparisons.
- At 1 microsecond/comparison: 6 days.


## Locality-Sensitive Hashing

- What we want: a function $f(X, Y)$ that tells whether or not $X$ and $Y$ is a candidate pair: a pair of elements whose similarity must be evaluated.
- A simple idea: $X$ and $Y$ are a candidate pair if they have the same min-hash signature.
- Easy to test by hashing the signatures.
! Multiple levels of Hashing!
- Similar sets are more likely to have the same signature.
- Likely to produce many false negatives.
- Requiring full match of signature is strict, some similar sets will be lost.
- Improvement: Compute multiple signatures; candidate pairs should have at least one common signature.
- Reduce the probability for false negatives.


## Signature matrix reminder



## Partition into Bands - (1)

- Divide the signature matrix $\operatorname{Sig}$ into $b$ bands of $r$ rows.
- Each band is a mini-signature with $r$ hash functions.


## Partitioning into bands

$n=b^{*} r$ hash functions Matrix Sig


## Partition into Bands - (2)

- Divide the signature matrix $\operatorname{Sig}$ into $b$ bands of $r$ rows.
- Each band is a mini-signature with $r$ hash functions.
- For each band, hash the mini-signature to a hash table with $k$ buckets.
- Make $k$ as large as possible so that mini-signatures that hash to the same bucket are almost certainly identical.



## Partition into Bands - (2)

- Divide the signature matrix Sig into $b$ bands of $r$ rows.
- Each band is a mini-signature with $r$ hash functions.
- For each band, hash the mini-signature to a hash table with $k$ buckets.
- Make $k$ as large as possible so that mini-signatures that hash to the same bucket are almost certainly identical.
- Candidate column pairs are those that hash to the same bucket for at least 1 band.
- I.e., they have at least one mini-signature in common.
- Tune $b$ and $r$ to catch most similar pairs, but few nonsimilar pairs.


## Analysis of LSH - What We Want



Similarity $s$ of two sets

## What One Band of One Row Gives You



Similarity $s$ of two sets

## What $b$ Bands of $r$ Rows Gives You



Similarity $s$ of two sets

## Example: $b=20 ; r=5$

| $\boldsymbol{s}$ | $\mathbf{1 - ( 1 - s r}^{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{b}^{\mathbf{b}}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| .2 | .006 |
| .3 | .047 |
| .4 | .186 |
| .5 | .470 |
| .6 | .802 |
| .7 | .975 |
| .8 | .9996 |



Figure 3.7: The S-curve

## Suppose $\mathrm{S}_{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ are $80 \%$ Similar

- We want all $80 \%$-similar pairs. Choose 20 bands of 5 integers/band.
- Probability $\mathrm{S}_{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ identical in one particular band:

$$
(0.8)^{5}=0.328
$$

- Probability $\mathrm{S}_{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ are not similar in any of the 20 bands:

$$
(1-0.328)^{20}=0.00035
$$

- i.e., about $1 / 3000$-th of the $80 \%$-similar column pairs are false negatives.
- Probability $S_{1}, S_{2}$ are similar in at least one of the 20 bands:

$$
1-0.00035=0.999
$$

## Suppose $\mathrm{S}_{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ Only 40\% Similar

- Probability $S_{1}, S_{2}$ identical in any one particular band:

$$
(0.4)^{5}=0.01
$$

- Probability $S_{1}, S_{2}$ are not identical in any of the 20 bands:

$$
(1-0.01)^{20}=0.81
$$

- False positive probability $=0.19$. But false positives much lower for similarities $\ll 40 \%$.


## LSH Summary

- Tune to get almost all pairs with similar signatures, but eliminate most pairs that do not have similar signatures.
- Check in main memory that candidate pairs really do have similar signatures.
- Optional: In another pass through data, check that the remaining candidate pairs really represent similar sets.


## Locality-sensitive hashing (LSH)

- Big Picture: Construct hash functions $\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{d}} \rightarrow \mathbf{U}$ such that for any pair of points $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}$, for distance function $D$ we have:
- If $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}) \leq \mathrm{r}$, then $\operatorname{Pr}[\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{p})=\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{q})]$ is high
- If $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}) \geq \mathrm{cr}$, then $\mathrm{Pr}[\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{p})=\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{q})]$ is small
- Then, we can find close pairs by hashing
- LSH is a general framework: for a given distance function $D$ we need to find the right $h$


## LSH for Cosine Distance

- For cosine distance, there is a technique analogous to minhashing for generating a $\left(d_{1}, d_{2},\left(1-d_{1} / 180\right),\left(1-d_{2} / 180\right)\right)$ - sensitive family for any $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$.
- Called random hyperplanes.


## Random Hyperplanes

- Pick a random vector $v$, which determines a hash function $h_{v}$ with two buckets.
$-h_{v}(x)=+1$ if $v . x>0 ;=-1$ if $v . x<0$.
- LS-family $\mathbf{H}=$ set of all functions derived from any vector.
- Claim:
- $\operatorname{Prob}[\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{y})]=1-($ angle between $x$ and $y) / 180$


## Proof of Claim Look in the plane of $x$ and $y$.

For a random vector $v$ the values of the


## Signatures for Cosine Distance

- Pick some number of vectors, and hash your data for each vector.
- The result is a signature (sketch ) of +1 's and 1's that can be used for LSH like the minhash signatures for Jaccard distance.


## Simplification

- We need not pick from among all possible vectors $v$ to form a component of a sketch.
- It suffices to consider only vectors $v$ consisting of +1 and -1 components.

