Efficient Algorithms for Reachability and Path-Selection Problems

http://www.icte.uowm.gr/lgeorg/RPS/



Research Team



University of Western Macedonia Department of Informatics and Telecommunications Engineering



University of Ioannina Department of Computer Science

Alexandra Galani Loukas Georgiadis (Coordinator) Stavros Nikolopoulos Leonidas Palios

Reachability



Reachability Query :

Is vertex b reachable from vertex a?

(Is there a path in G from a to b?)

Goal: Construct a Data Structure that answers reachability queries efficiently

Reachability



Reachability Query :

Is vertex b reachable from vertex a ?

(Is there a path in G from a to b?)

Goal: Construct a Data Structure that answers reachability queries efficiently

Efficiency of a Data Structure: $\langle s(n), q(n) \rangle$

- s(n) storage space
- q(n) query time

Easy : Efficiency $\langle n^2, 1 \rangle$ or $\langle m+n, m+n \rangle$

Hard : Efficiency close to $\langle m+n,1\rangle$

So far achieved only for restricted graph classes (e.g., planar graphs)

Collection of graphs $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_\lambda\}$



Join-Reachability Query :

Report all vertices that reach b in all graphs $G_i \in \mathcal{G}$ (Vertices a such that there is a $a \rightsquigarrow b$ path in all $G_i \in \mathcal{G}$) Efficiency of a Data Structure: $\langle s(n), q(n, k) \rangle$ s(n) storage space q(n, k) time to report k vertices

Collection of graphs $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_\lambda\}$

Join-Reachability Query :

Report all vertices that reach *b* in all graphs $G_i \in \mathcal{G}$ (Vertices *a* such that there is a $a \rightsquigarrow b$ path in all $G_i \in \mathcal{G}$) Applications: Graph Algorithms, Data Bases Example: Rank Aggregation



Given a collection of rankings of some items, we would like to report fast all items ranked higher than a query item in all rankings.





Main Idea: Geometric mapping of simple graphs

Given two digraphs G_1 and G_2 with *n* vertices we can construct join-reachability data structures with the following efficiency:

- (a) $\langle n, k \rangle$ when G_1 is an unoriented tree and G_2 is an unoriented dipath.
- (b) $\langle n, \log n + k \rangle$ when G_1 is an out-tree and G_2 is an unoriented tree.
- (c) $\langle n \log^{\varepsilon} n, \log \log n + k \rangle$ (for any constant $\varepsilon > 0$), when G_1 and G_2 are unoriented trees.
- (d) $\langle n \log n, k \log n \rangle$ when G_1 is planar digraph and G_2 is an unoriented tree.
- (e) $\langle n \log^2 n, k \log^2 n \rangle$ when both G_1 and G_2 are planar digraphs.
- (f) $\langle n\kappa_1, k \rangle$ when G_1 is a general digraph that can be covered with κ_1 vertexdisjoint dipaths and G_2 is an unoriented tree.
- (g) $\langle n(\kappa_1 + \log n), k\kappa_1 \log n \rangle$ or $\langle n\kappa_1 \log n, k \log n \rangle$ when G_1 is a general digraph that can be covered with κ_1 vertex-disjoint dipaths and G_2 is planar digraph.
- (h) $\langle n(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2), \kappa_1 \kappa_2 + k \rangle$ or $\langle n\kappa_1 \kappa_2, k \rangle$ when each G_i , i = 1, 2, is a digraph that can be covered with κ_i vertex-disjoint dipaths.

Collection of graphs $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_\lambda\}$

Join-Reachability Query :

 G_1

 G_{λ}

a(

Report all vertices that reach b in all graphs $G_i \in \mathcal{G}$ (Vertices a such that there is a $a \rightsquigarrow b$ path in all $G_i \in \mathcal{G}$)



a

 $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{G})$

Computing the smallest $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{G})$ (in terms of the number of arcs plus vertices) is **NP-hard**

 $a \rightsquigarrow b$ in $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{G})$

 $a \rightsquigarrow b$ in all $G_i \in \mathcal{G}$

Collection of graphs $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_\lambda\}$

Construction of a compact join-reachability graph $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{G})$



Given two digraphs G_1 and G_2 with *n* vertices, the following bounds on the size of the join-reachability graph $\mathcal{J}(\{G_1, G_2\})$ hold:

- (a) $\Theta(n \log n)$ in the worst case when G_1 is an unoriented tree and G_2 is an unoriented dipath.
- (b) $O(n \log^2 n)$ when both G_1 and G_2 are unoriented trees.
- (c) $O(n \log^2 n)$ when G_1 is a planar digraph and G_2 is an unoriented dipath.
- (d) $O(n \log^3 n)$ when both G_1 and G_2 are planar digraphs.
- (e) $O(\kappa_1 n \log n)$ when G_1 is a digraph that can be covered with κ_1 vertexdisjoint dipaths and G_2 is an unoriented dipath.
- (f) $O(\kappa_1 n \log^2 n)$ when G_1 is a digraph that can be covered with κ_1 vertexdisjoint dipaths and G_2 is a planar graph.
- (g) $O(\kappa_1 \kappa_2 n \log n)$ when each G_i , i = 1, 2, is a digraph that can be covered with κ_i vertex-disjoint dipaths.

Path-Selection

E.g.



Compute paths in a graph G so that certain requirements are satisfied

Avoid a forbidden part of G



Disjoint paths

Applications: Communications, Scheduling, VLSI design

Strongly connected digraph G = (V, E)contains an $s \rightsquigarrow t$ path for any pair $s, t \in V$

k-vertex connected digraph G = (V, E)the removal of any subset $X \subseteq V, |X| \le k - 1$ leaves the graph strongly connected

Basic problems :

- Compute vertex connectivity (largest k such that G is k-vertex connected)
- Test if the given digraph is k-vertex connected

Basic problems :

n = |V|, m = |A|

• Compute vertex connectivity $\kappa = \text{largest } k$ such that G is k-vertex connected $O((n + \min\{\kappa^{5/2}, \kappa n^{3/4}\})m)$ [Gabow 2006]

• Test if the given digraph is k-vertex connected

 $\begin{array}{c} O(\min\{k^3 + n, kn\}m) \\ O(mn) \text{ with error probability } 1/2 \end{array} \left[\text{Henzinger, Rao and Gabow 2000} \right] \\ O((M(n) + nM(k)) \log n) \text{ with error probability } 1/n \\ O((M(n) + nM(k))k) \text{ expected} \end{array} \right] \left[\text{Cheriyan and Reif 1994} \right] \\ \end{array}$

M(n) = matrix multiplication time (= $O(n^{2.376})$)

Undirected graphs: O(m+n) algorithms for testing

k = 2 [Tarjan 1972]

k = 3 [Hopcroft and Tarjan 1973]

Directed graphs: O(m+n) algorithm for testing k=2 ?

$$n = |V|, m = |A|$$

Results

O(m+n)-time algorithm for testing 2-vertex connectivity

O(n)-space data structure :

compute two vertex-disjoint *s*-*t* paths in $O(\log^2 n)$ time report the two paths, *P* and *Q*, in O(|P| + |Q|) time

$$n = |V|, m = |A|$$

k-vertex connected digraph G = (V, E)the removal of any subset $X \subseteq V, |X| \le k - 1$ leaves the graph strongly connected

From Menger's theorem :

G is k-vertex connected

 $\langle \rangle$

G contains k vertex-disjoint s-t paths for any $s, t \in V$

2-vertex connected digraph G = (V, E)the removal of at most one vertex leaves the graph strongly connected

If G is strongly connected but not 2-vertex connected :

There are $s,t \in V$ such that all s-t paths contain a common vertex $x \neq s, t$

2-vertex connected digraph G = (V, E)the removal of at most one vertex leaves the graph strongly connected

If G is strongly connected but not 2-vertex connected :

There are $s, t \in V$ such that all *s*-*t* paths contain a common vertex $x \neq s, t$

2-vertex connected digraph G = (V, E)the removal of at most one vertex leaves the graph strongly connected

If G is strongly connected but not 2-vertex connected :

There are $s, t \in V$ such that all *s*-*t* paths contain a common vertex $x \neq s, t$

Flowgraphs and Dominators

Flowgraph G(s) = (V, E, s): all vertices are reachable from start vertex s

v dominates w if every path from s to w includes v

dom(w) : set of vertices that dominate w

Trivial dominators : $s, w \in dom(w)$

Application areas : Program optimization, VLSI testing, theoretical biology, distributed systems, constraint programming

Flowgraphs and Dominators

Flowgraph G(s) = (V, E, s): all vertices are reachable from start vertex s

v dominates w if every path from s to w includes v

dominator tree of G(s)

 $O(m\alpha(m, n))$ algorithm: [Lengauer and Tarjan '79] O(m + n) algorithms: [Alstrup, Harel, Lauridsen, and Thorup '97] [Buchsbaum, Kaplan, Rogers, and Westbrook '04] [G., and Tarjan '04]

Given a digraph G = (V, E) how fast can we compute a pair of vertex-disjoint *s*-*t* paths?

Given a digraph G = (V, E) how fast can we compute a pair of vertex-disjoint *s*-*t* paths?

O(m+n) time : "vertex-splitting" + "flow augmentation"

Given a digraph G = (V, E) how fast can we compute a pair of vertex-disjoint *s*-*t* paths?

O(m+n) time : "vertex-splitting" + "flow augmentation"

We can get a more efficient solution when G is 2-vertex connected

• Use a 2-vertex connected spanning subgraph of G with O(n) arcs [Cheriyan and Thurimella 2000] : 1 + 1/k approximation of the minimum k-vertex connected spanning subgraph in $O(km^2)$ time

Given a digraph G = (V, E) how fast can we compute a pair of vertex-disjoint *s*-*t* paths?

O(m+n) time : "vertex-splitting" + "flow augmentation"

We can get a more efficient solution when G is 2-vertex connected

• Use a 2-vertex connected spanning subgraph of G with O(n) arcs [Cheriyan and Thurimella 2000] : 1 + 1/k approximation of the minimum k-vertex connected spanning subgraph in $O(km^2)$ time

• Use pairs of independent trees

Any flow graph G(s)=(V,A,s) has two spanning trees, B and R, such that for any $v\in V$

 $B[s,v] \cap R[s,v] = dom(v)$

the two trees can be computed in linear time

Corollary : If G(s) has trivial dominators only then for any $v \in V$

 $B(s,v) \cap R(s,v) = \emptyset$

the two trees can be computed in linear time

Corollary : A digraph G = (V, A) is 2-vertex connected if and only if for two arbitrary vertices $a, b \in V$ $(a \neq b)$ the flowgraphs $G(a), G^{r}(a), G(b)$ and $G^{r}(b)$ have trivial dominators only.

We use a pair of independent spanning trees for each of the flowgraphs

 $G(a), G^r(a), G(b), G^r(b)$

 P_1, P_2 : vertex-disjoint *a*-*t* paths P_3, P_4 : vertex-disjoint *s*-*a* paths

Suppose

 $P_3[s,a) \cap \left(P_1(a,t] \cup P_2(a,t]\right) \neq \emptyset$ $P_4(s,a) \cap \left(P_1(a,t) \cup P_2(a,t)\right) = \emptyset$

 P_1, P_2 : vertex-disjoint *a*-*t* paths P_3, P_4 : vertex-disjoint *s*-*a* paths

Suppose

 $P_3[s,a) \cap \left(P_1(a,t] \cup P_2(a,t]\right) \neq \emptyset$ $P_4(s,a) \cap \left(P_1(a,t) \cup P_2(a,t)\right) = \emptyset$

Let x be the first vertex on $P_3[s, a]$ such that $x \in (P_1(a, t] \cup P_2(a, t]).$

 P_1, P_2 : vertex-disjoint *a*-*t* paths P_3, P_4 : vertex-disjoint *s*-*a* paths

Suppose

 $P_3[s,a) \cap \left(P_1(a,t] \cup P_2(a,t]\right) \neq \emptyset$ $P_4(s,a) \cap \left(P_1(a,t) \cup P_2(a,t)\right) = \emptyset$

Let x be the first vertex on $P_3[s, a]$ such that $x \in (P_1(a, t] \cup P_2(a, t]).$

 $P_3[s,x] \cdot P_1[x,t]$ and $P_4[s,a] \cdot P_2[a,t]$ are vertex-disjoint s-t paths

Data Structure : Given rooted trees S_1 and S_2 on the same nodes support the operations:

- (i) Test if $S_1[x_1, y_1]$ contains x_2 .
- (ii) Return the topmost vertex in $S_1(x_1, y_1]$.
- (iii) Test if $S_1[x_1, y_1]$ and $S_2[x_2, y_2]$ contain a common vertex.
- (iv) Find the lowest ancestor of y_2 in $S_2[x_2, y_2]$ that is contained in $S_1[x_1, y_1]$.
- (v) Find the highest ancestor of y_2 in $S_2[x_2, y_2]$ that is contained in $S_1[x_1, y_1]$.

Data Structure : Given rooted trees S_1 and S_2 on the same nodes support the operations:

- (i) Test if $S_1[x_1, y_1]$ contains x_2 .
- (ii) Return the topmost vertex in $S_1(x_1, y_1]$.
- (iii) Test if $S_1[x_1, y_1]$ and $S_2[x_2, y_2]$ contain a common vertex.
- (iv) Find the lowest ancestor of y_2 in $S_2[x_2, y_2]$ that is contained in $S_1[x_1, y_1]$.
- (v) Find the highest ancestor of y_2 in $S_2[x_2, y_2]$ that is contained in $S_1[x_1, y_1]$.
- A query uses a constant number of these operations.
- We give an O(n)-space data structure with $O(\log^2 n)$ time per operation.

Computational Morphological Analysis

Morphological Analysis : the study of the internal structure of words

Fundamental Aim : identification of the constituents of words and the properties they express.

play	kind	read
play-ed	kind-ness	read-ing
play-ing		read-er
play-er		read-er-s
play-er-s		read-able
	play play-ed play-ing play-er play-er-s	playkindplay-edkind-nessplay-ingplay-erplay-er-s

Issues:

- What morphological units languages consist of?
- What features are represented in each morpheme?
- How do morphemes and features interact with one another?
- Are there any constraints in the selection of morphemes in specific environments?

Computational Morphological Analysis

Computational Approach: Morphological patterns as graph reachability and path selection problems

