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Abstract

The fuzzy min-max neural network constitutes a neural architecture that is based on hyperbox fuzzy sets and can be incrementally trained by appropriately adjusting the number of hyperboxes and their corresponding volumes. An extension to this network has been proposed recently, that is based on the notion of random hyperboxes and is suitable for reinforcement learning problems with discrete action space. In this work, we elaborate further on the random hyperbox idea and propose the stochastic fuzzy min-max neural network, where each hyperbox is associated with a stochastic learning automaton. Experimental results using the pole balancing problem indicate that the employment of this model as an action selection network in reinforcement learning schemes leads to superior learning performance compared with the traditional approach where the multilayer perceptron is employed.
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1 Introduction

In the general framework of reinforcement learning, a system accepts inputs from the environment, selects and executes actions and receives a reinforcement signal $r$ that is usually a scalar value rewarding or penalizing the selected actions. A popular approach to deal with such problems is the adaptive heuristic critic method (AHC) based on the method of temporal differences [2, 3, 6]. This method employs two networks: the action selection network which provides the action to be executed at each step and the evaluation network (or critic) which provides as output a prediction $r_{pred}$ of the evaluation of the current state. The evaluation network is usually a feedforward network that is trained using the error values specified by the method of temporal differences [15]. The action network accepts as input the current problem state and provides the action probabilities $p_i$ ($i = 1, \ldots, K$) (when $K$ distinct actions are assumed) with which the action to be executed is selected [16].

In the AHC framework, training of the action selection network is based on the following idea. Consider that, for a given state, action $j$ has been selected, $r_{pred}$ is the output of the
evaluation network and \( r \) is the corresponding reinforcement signal provided by the environment. Then training is carried out using on-line learning with the error based on the quantity \( r - r_{\text{pred}} \). If \( r - r_{\text{pred}} > 0 \), the action selection is considered successful and the action network weights are adjusted to increase the probability \( p_j \). If \( r - r_{\text{pred}} < 0 \), the action selection is considered unsuccessful and the action network weights are are modified to decrease the probability \( p_j \). The most widely used model of action selection network is the multilayer perceptron with stochastic output units. Other types of networks have also been proposed belonging to the neurofuzzy family like the fuzzy-ART network [11].

In [9], the fuzzy min-max neural network [13, 14] has been proposed as a model for the action network in the case of reinforcement problems with discrete action space. The operation of the network was suitably adapted in order to be able to cope with the specific requirements imposed by the reinforcement learning framework. For this reason, the notion of random hyperbox was introduced, to deal with states of high uncertainty. In the present work, we extend the idea of the random hyperbox and present the stochastic fuzzy min-max network where each hyperbox is associated with a stochastic automaton. More clearly, in the original formulation of the fuzzy min-max network, each hyperbox is characterized by its location and the corresponding class (or action) label. In the proposed extension, the class (or action) label is replaced by a stochastic automaton whose probability vector determines the corresponding action through random selection. Reinforcement learning in the stochastic fuzzy min-max network consists in adjusting not only the location and the boundaries of each hyperbox, but also the probability vector of each stochastic automaton. Details concerning the training of the network are presented in the next section.

2 The Stochastic Fuzzy Min-Max Network

The fuzzy min-max classification neural network [13] is an on-line learning classifier based on hyperbox fuzzy sets. A hyperbox constitutes a region in the pattern space that can be completely defined once the minimum and the maximum points along each dimension are given. Each hyperbox is associated with exactly one from the pattern classes and all patterns that are contained within a given hyperbox are considered to have full class membership. In the case where a pattern is not completely contained in any of the hyperboxes, a properly computed fuzzy membership function (taking values in \([0,1]\)) indicates the degree to which the pattern falls outside of each of the hyperboxes. During operation, the hyperbox with the maximum membership value is selected and the class associated with the winning hyperbox is considered as the decision of the network. Learning in the fuzzy min-max classification network is an on-line incremental expansion-contraction process that consists of partitioning
the input space by creating and adjusting hyperboxes (the minimum and maximum points along each dimension) and also associating a class label to each of them. Details concerning the learning process are provided in [13]. An important issue is that there is only one parameter $\theta$ (maximum hyperbox size) that must be specified at the beginning of the learning process. On the other hand, performance is sensitive to the choice of this parameter, which must be empirically specified.

In [9] a modification has been proposed so that the fuzzy min-max network can be used as an action selection network in reinforcement learning problems with discrete action space. A brief description of that approach is provided next in order to clearly illustrate its differences with the proposed stochastic fuzzy min-max network. In [9], two types of hyperboxes are considered: deterministic, which are associated with a specific action label, and random, in which the corresponding action is selected through uniform random selection. The introduction of randomness is necessary in the action selection process, because in the case where an action has been penalized, alternative actions must be explored that may lead to rewarding states. Using the notion of the random hyperbox, the learning process (expansion, overlap test, contraction) [13] of the classical fuzzy min-max network takes the following form [9]:

- In the case of reward ($r - r_{pred} > 0$),
  - if the action has been derived from a deterministic hyperbox then we proceed as in the classical fuzzy min-max case.
  - if the action has been derived from a random hyperbox then this hyperbox is marked deterministic and is associated with the corresponding rewarded action. Moreover, hyperbox overlap test followed by hyperbox contraction (if necessary) are performed.

- In the case of penalty ($r - r_{pred} < 0$),
  - if the action has been derived from a deterministic hyperbox, then a new random hyperbox is created centered at the input point and consequently the conventional learning process takes place to adjust the parameters of the neighboring hyperboxes. It must be noted that the action associated with the initially selected hyperbox (which was penalized) does not change, only its volume is contracted due to the creation of the new random hyperbox.
  - if the action has been derived from a random hyperbox, no learning takes place, since it is necessary to maintain stochasticity until a rewarding action has been discovered for the selected hyperbox.
Therefore, learning in the reinforcement case can be considered as a process of adding random hyperboxes that later become deterministic as learning proceeds. After an adequate number of steps it is expected that no random hyperboxes will exist any more. Random hyperboxes give the learning system the ability to explore the discrete output space to discover the best action. When such an action is found (according to the evaluation of the critic) it is assigned to the random hyperbox which now becomes deterministic.

In the proposed stochastic fuzzy min-max network, all hyperboxes are considered to be random and there is a stochastic automaton associated with each hyperbox. The role of the automaton is to control the degree of randomness in the action selection process. If $K$ distinct actions are assumed, the automaton $i$ corresponding to hyperbox $i$ is characterized by a probability vector $p_i = (p_{i1}, \ldots, p_{iK})$ (with $\sum_{i=1}^{K} p_{ij} = 1$). If at a specific time instance the winning hyperbox is $i$, then the output of the network is determined through random selection using the probability vector $p_i$.

In order to update the action probabilities of a stochastic automaton $i$, we have selected the linear reward-penalty ($L_{R-P}$) reinforcement scheme [12]. Assuming that at time instant $t$, the decision of network is the action $k$ provided from automaton $i$ (i.e. the winning hyperbox is $i$), then the probability vector $p_i$ is updated as follows:

In the case where the action is rewarded:

$$ p_{ij}(t+1) = \begin{cases} p_{ij}(t) + \alpha(1 - p_{ij}(t)) & \text{if } j = k \\ (1 - \alpha)p_{ij}(t) & \text{if } j \neq k \end{cases} \tag{1} $$

In the case where the action is penalized:

$$ p_{ij}(t+1) = \begin{cases} (1 - \beta)p_{ij}(t) & \text{if } j = k \\ \frac{\beta}{K-1} + (1 - \beta)p_{ij}(t) & \text{if } j \neq k \end{cases} \tag{2} $$

It holds that $0 < \alpha, \beta < 1$. Moreover, it must be noted that only the parameters of the winning automaton $i$ are modified at step $t$.

The above probability update equations increase the probability of the selected action in the case of reward and tend to make all actions equiprobable (equal to $1/K$) in the case where penalty is received, since, in the latter case, we actually don't know which is the appropriate action to be reinforced. The parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ control the magnitude of the updates. In the case where their values is close to one, the probabilities are adapted in a faster way following the reinforcement signals, while low values of the parameters lead to slower but more consistent action learning.

The stochastic fuzzy min-max network has been derived from the necessity to overcome some drawbacks of the original formulation based on random hyperboxes. The first drawback deals with the fact that in a random hyperbox all actions are equiprobable, therefore we
are not allowed to express the favor towards a specific action. Once a rewarded action is selected, the random hyperbox becomes immediately deterministic and is labeled with the corresponding action label. This immediate transition from stochastic to deterministic causes problems in many cases, since the rewarded action may not be the best one or the action may not be rewarded again in the future. In the proposed approach, the favor over a specific action (in a given hyperbox) is gradually increased (or decreased) through proper adaptation of the corresponding probability vector. In addition, there is the flexibility to reduce the selection probability of a given action if that action is not rewarded by the environment any more.

On the contrary, in the original formulation [9], there is no available mechanism to change the action label of a given hyperbox. The only available adaptation mechanism is to create a new random hyperbox inside the original hyperbox and appropriately shrink both of them to avoid overlapping. This leads to the construction of an excessive number of hyperboxes with small volume which are more difficult to be treated by the learning algorithm.

The proposed method completely distinguishes the two procedures that are related with learning in reinforcement environments. The first is the adjustment of the position and volume of each hyperbox that is performed using the original expansion-contraction process (governed by the parameter $\theta$) of the fuzzy min-max network. The second is the assignment of the action label corresponding to each hyperbox. This is based on the adjustment of the parameters of the associated stochastic automaton using the reinforcement value $r$ provided by the environment and the evaluation $r_{pred}$ provided by the critic. In this way, a penalized action does not lead to the creation of a new hyperbox, but in most cases leads only to the appropriate adjustment of the corresponding probability values.

The on-line training algorithm for the stochastic fuzzy min-max network can be summarized as follows: Assume a new input is presented to the network.

**Action selection**

- If $i$ is the winning hyperbox then the action is selected using the probability vector of the automaton $i$.

- If no winning hyperbox is found for that input point (i.e. no hyperbox meets the expansion criterion) then a new hyperbox is added centered at the specific point and the probabilities of the corresponding automaton are set equal to $1/K$. The network output is selected using these probability values.

**Adaptation**

Let $r$ be the reinforcement signal provided by the environment and $r_{pred}$ the output of the critic after execution of the selected action.
• if \( |r - r_{pred}| < \delta \) (where \( \delta \) is a small value) no learning takes place, since it is not safe to classify the evaluation of the action as reward or penalty.

• In the case of reward \( (r - r_{pred} > \delta) \) or penalty \( (r - r_{pred} < -\delta) \)
  
  - The probability values of the corresponding automaton are adjusted using the learning equations (1), (2).
  
  - If the winning automaton \( i \) has been expanded to include the input point, then the usual expansion-contraction process for the fuzzy min-max network takes place to avoid overlapping hyperboxes.

### 3 Application to the Pole Balancing Problem

The pole balancing problem constitutes the best-studied reinforcement learning benchmark. It consists of a single pole hinged on a cart that may move left or right on a horizontal track of finite length. The pole has only one degree of freedom (rotation about the hinge point). The control objective is to push the cart either left or right with a force so that the pole remains balanced and the cart is kept within the track limits.

Four state variables are used to describe the status of the system at each time instant: the horizontal position of the cart \( (x) \), the cart velocity \( (\dot{x}) \), the angle of the pole \( (\phi) \) and the angular velocity \( (\dot{\phi}) \). At each step the action network must decide the direction and magnitude of force \( F \) to be exerted to the cart. Details concerning the equations of motion of the cart-pole system can be found in [2, 11]. Through Euler's approximation method we can simulate the cart-pole system using discrete-time equations with time step \( \Delta t = 0.02 \) sec. We assume that the system's equations of motion are not known to the controller, which perceives only the state vector at each time step. Moreover, we assume that a failure occurs when \( |\phi| > 12 \) degrees or \( |x| > 2.4m \) and that a cycle has been successfully completed if the pole remains balanced for more than 120000 consecutive time steps. Two versions of the problem exist concerning the magnitude of the applied force \( F \). We are concerned with the case where the magnitude is fixed (equal to 10N) and the controller must decide only the direction of the force at each time step. Obviously the control problem is more difficult compared to the case where any value for the magnitude is allowed. Therefore, comparisons will be presented only with fixed magnitude approaches and we will not consider architectures like the RFALCON [11], which are more efficient but assume continuous values for the force magnitude.

Experiments have been conducted to assess the performance of the AHC method with the stochastic fuzzy min-max network as an action network. For comparison purposes we have
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Network</th>
<th>$\theta$</th>
<th>Success (%)</th>
<th>Best</th>
<th>Worst</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>No. Hyperboxes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SFMM</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1852</td>
<td>9952</td>
<td>4210</td>
<td>1350</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFMM</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>10897</td>
<td>3450</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFMM</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>12093</td>
<td>2520</td>
<td>2130</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLP</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>4123</td>
<td>12895</td>
<td>6175</td>
<td>2284</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Training performance in terms of required number of training cycles when the stochastic fuzzy min-max (SFMM) (for several values of $\theta$), and the multilayer perceptron (MLP) are used as action networks in the AHC framework. Also the percentage of successful runs and the average number of created hyperboxes are displayed.

also implemented the AHC approach using the multilayer perceptron with stochastic output units as the action network and we have also used the previous version of the fuzzy min-max [9] that is based on random hyperboxes. The motion equations, system parameters and the architecture of the multilayer perceptron were exactly the same with those reported in [1, 2]. In addition, in all approaches we used exactly the same multilayer perceptron network as a critic. Training speed is measured in terms of the number of cycles required to achieve pole balancing. A series of 50 experiments were conducted using each method, with each cycle starting with random initial state variables.

The termination criterion for each experiment was the following: When a successful cycle (lasting more than 120000 steps) was encountered, the system was placed at the zero initial state and a new cycle was started, without learning, i.e. adaptation of the network parameters. If this cycle was also successful, then the experiment was terminated, otherwise a new learning cycle was started from random initial state. This criterion was set to ensure that after training, the system was able to successfully operate starting from the zero initial state.

In the employed stochastic fuzzy min-max network we have used the following parameter values: $\delta = 0.1$, $\alpha = 0.9$ and $\beta = 0.9$. In addition, at each cycle we start with stochastic action selection and after 200 steps we switch to deterministic action selection, i.e. selection of the action with highest probability value. This modification has been found to increase learning performance [7] and has also been used in the experiments with the multilayer perceptron.

Obtained results are summarized in Table 1, for several values of the learning parameter $\theta$ of the stochastic fuzzy min-max network. The table provides the percentage of successful experiments, the statistics of required number of training cycles and the average number of created hyperboxes. For each method the displayed results concern values obtained considering only the successful experiments. It is clear that the stochastic fuzzy min-max network exhibits significantly better performance compared to the multilayer perceptron in terms of
the required number of training cycles. Moreover, it is also clear that performance is sensitive to the value of parameter $\theta$, which specifies the maximum allowed volume for every hyperbox. For small values of $\theta$ (e.g., $\theta = 0.15$), many hyperboxes are created and the training algorithm is more reliable, since it always provides a solution. As expected, in order for the position and volume of many hyperboxes to be adjusted, many training cycles are required and, therefore, training time is longer. As the value of $\theta$ increases, less hyperboxes are needed to cover the state space and this results in an increase in training speed, but training is less reliable and the number of unsuccessful experiments increases. Therefore, in order to select a value for $\theta$, one has to appropriately weight the above mentioned conflicting aspects.

Finally, it must be noted that the basic characteristic of the fuzzy min-max network is that it provides a partitioning of the problem input space using hyperboxes and assigns an action label to each hyperbox. Since each hyperbox actually defines a rule in the input space, the proposed stochastic fuzzy min-max network can be considered as a technique for deriving rule-based controllers in reinforcement learning problems. Consequently, the proposed learning method can be viewed as a rule extraction technique in the reinforcement learning framework. Since the significance of rule-based model descriptions is widely acknowledged, the proposed network has an additional advantage over the multilayer perceptron, which needs considerable postprocessing to achieve rule extraction [5].
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