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Abstract

In this paper, we present a solution to the problem of free vibrations of the human head
system taking into account the dissipative behaviour of the brain. The mathematical
model is based on the three - dimensional theory of viscoelasticity and the representation
of the displacement field in terms of the Navier eigenvectors. The frequency equation is
solved numerically and the results for eigenfrequencies and damping coefficients are
presented for various geometrical and physical parameters of the system. The results
obtained are in excellent agreement with the measured eigenfrequencies and the predicted
damping coefficients are within the order of magnitude of the measured ones. From the
proposed analysis we have obtained the information that the role of the viscoelastic neck
as well as the viscoelastic properties of the skull - brain system have to be simultaneously
taken into account in the study of the frequency spectrum of the human head. The
analysis of the realistic model is under preparation.

* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed
1. **Introduction**

One of the most important branches of biomechanics concerns the field of head injuries because a high percentage of accidents, leading to fatalities, involve harm of the head, which constitutes the most vulnerable part of the human body. The necessity of confronting all the consequences of such accidents and recovering totally the brain functions has augmented rapidly the application field of cranial biomechanics together with the corresponding theoretical and experimental knowledge.

There are two main approaches towards the study of head properties and characteristics involving the injury procedure: The physical approach, which is based on experimental analysis and the mathematical one that exploits the possibility of simulation of the geometric and physical characteristics of the human head with simplified structures-inshape and substance. The mathematical modelling of the brain system has drawn the attention of many researchers in recent years [1-3]. One of the most important topics in the framework of study of the head system is the determination of the relation between the dynamical characteristics of the human head with the perturbed physical parameters due to injury. There exist a lot of models simulating the whole head system and its dynamical characteristics, each one of which makes some simplifications as far as geometric and/or physical properties are concerned. However, almost all these approaches try to identify how a specific factor, characterizing the head system, is involved into the injury and recovery procedure, oversimplifying simultaneously the other factors. This approach has the disadvantage that it cannot deal with the interference of the several parameters participating in the dynamical behaviour of the human head.

In order to improve this “monoparametric” representation of the problem, we have developed a hierarchy of models simulating in an increasing rate of accuracy the real problem [4-8]. In addition, the already existed models present solutions based rather on a “trial and error” method by matching together pieces of solutions. This approach handles
“easy“ boundary conditions but cannot face more general conditions (as the neck support). Consequently the proposed models have been constructed in the basis of completeness of the involved solutions. More precisely, we have used the three-dimensional theory of dynamical elasticity not incorporating necessarily axisymmetric motions and exploited suitably the complete set of Navier eigenfunctions in order to represent, in a complete way, the elastic motion of every elastic component of the system. Every component simulated by fluid was characterized by harmonic motion represented suitably through potential functions. Considering the functions characterizing the motion of several materials as solutions of the corresponding partial differential equations and imposing on these solutions the suitable boundary conditions on discontinuity surfaces, we had to face the problem of head dynamic characteristics as a complicated boundary value problem.

Based on this approach, we have created the “sequence” of the increasingly ameliorated models by examining the dynamic characteristics of the spherical elastic skull, the spherical skull-brain system, the spherical skull-brain-neck system, the spheroidal elastic skull as well as of the bispherical elastic skull [4-8]. In all these models, the physical and geometrical constants characterising the several components of the system entered the model as parameters giving the opportunity for parametric study of the dependence of the dynamic characteristics on physical and geometrical properties.

In the sequel, we have incorporated in our study the viscoelastic properties of the skull by formatting the model of the spherical viscoelastic skull [9]. The solution procedure was much more complicated since the sought eigenfrequencies are complex numbers (the imaginary part represents the damping coefficient), fact that renders all the special functions involved difficult to be handled because of their complex argument. Overcoming this difficulty by numerical techniques of high accuracy we led to reasonable results.
In the present work we examine the elastic skull-viscoelastic brain under the assumption of spherical geometry. Matching elastic with viscoelastic solutions is a rather difficult mathematical problem as far as the numerical treatment is concerned. Focus has been given to the parametric dependence of eigenvalues and damping coefficients on the physical and geometrical characteristics of the system. The results are in accordance with the experimental results of Håkansson et al. [10]. We expect that the consideration of the viscoelastic neck will be of great importance for the determination of the real eigenfrequencies and damping coefficients of the system. An analysis based on a model which takes into account all the information obtained by our previous work on the neck support [6] is under preparation.

2. Problem Formulation

The selected model (Fig. 1) consists of an elastic sphere (1 - skull) containing a concentrically located viscoelastic material (0 - brain/cerebrospinal fluid). The skull bones are assumed to be consisted of a linear, elastic, isotropic and homogeneous material while the brain and cerebrospinal fluid are considered to be represented by a linear viscoelastic material.

The motion of the skull is described completely by the vector displacement field \( \mathbf{u}^{(1)}(r,t) \) which satisfies the time-dependent equation of elasticity

\[
\mu_1 \nabla^2 \mathbf{u}^{(1)}(r,t) + (\lambda_1 + \mu_1) \nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}^{(1)}(r,t)) = \rho_1 \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{u}^{(1)}(r,t)}{\partial t^2}
\]  

(1)

where \( \mu_1, \lambda_1 \) are Lamé's constants, \( \rho_1 \) is the mass density, \( \nabla \) is the usual del operator, and \( t \) is the time.
The motion of the viscoelastic material $V_0$ is determined by the displacement field $u^{(0)}(r,t)$ obeying the following constitutive equation

$$
\tilde{\tau}(r,t) = \int_0^1 \left\{ \frac{G_1(t-\tau)}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \left[ (\nabla u(r,\tau) + (\nabla u(r,t))^T) \right] + \frac{1}{3} \left[ G_2(t-\tau) - G_3(t-\tau) \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} (\nabla \cdot u(r,t)) \right\} dt
$$

(2)

where $\tilde{\tau}(r,t)$ is the stress tensor, $I$ is the identity operator and $G_1(\xi), G_2(\xi)$ are independent functions, having zero values for negative argument and defining the viscoelastic properties of the medium. The kinematic behaviour of the viscoelastic material is described by the equation

$$
\tau_{\xi,j} = \rho \dot{u}_j, \quad i,j = 1,2,3
$$

(3)

where indices indicate components of the corresponding tensors while indices after the comma indicate differentiation with respect to the corresponding Cartesian coordinate.

Assuming harmonic motion of the whole system with angular frequency $\omega_1$ and attenuation (due to viscous properties) $\omega_2$ we apply Fourier transform analysis to the problem defining

$$
\hat{u}^{(1)}(r,\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u^{(1)}(r,t) e^{-i\omega t} dt
$$

(4)

$$
\hat{u}^{(0)}(r,\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u^{(0)}(r,t) e^{-i\omega t} dt
$$

(5)

$$
\hat{\tau}(r,\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{\tau}(r,t) e^{-i\omega t} dt
$$

(6)
\[ g_j(\omega) = \int_0^{\infty} G_j(t)e^{-i\omega t} dt, \quad j = 1, 2 \]  

(7)

with \( \omega = \omega_1 + i\omega_2, \quad i = \sqrt{-1} \).

Combining the previous equations and taking advantage of Fourier transform properties we obtain that the displacement fields \( \hat{u}^{(1)}, \hat{u}^{(0)} \) satisfy the following time-independent equations of dynamic elasticity

\[ \mu \nabla^2 \hat{u}^{(1)} + (\lambda + \mu) \nabla (\nabla \cdot \hat{u}^{(1)}) + \rho \omega^2 \hat{u}^{(1)} = 0 \]  

(8)

\[ G^*(\omega) \nabla^2 \hat{u}^{(0)} + (G^*(\omega) + \lambda^*(\omega)) \nabla (\nabla \cdot \hat{u}^{(0)}) + \rho \omega^2 \hat{u}^{(0)} = \theta \]  

(9)

where

\[ G^*(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} i \omega g_2(\omega) \]  

(10)

\[ \lambda^*(\omega) = \frac{1}{3} i \omega [g_2(\omega) - g_3(\omega)] \]  

(11)

are the frequency functions corresponding to Lamè’s constants in the case of elastic materials.

Introducing the dimensionless variables
\[ r' = \frac{r}{\alpha}, \quad \Omega = \Omega_1 + i\Omega_2, \quad \Omega_1 = \frac{\omega \lambda}{c_{p_1}}, \quad \Omega_2 = \frac{\omega \mu}{c_{p_1}}, \quad (\alpha = r_1) \] (12)

equation (8) takes the following dimensionless form

\[ c_{n_1}^2 \nabla^2 \tilde{u}^{(1)}(r') + (c_{n_1}^2 - c_{n_1}'^2) \nabla (\nabla \cdot \tilde{u}^{(1)}(r')) + \Omega^2 \tilde{u}^{(1)}(r') = 0, \quad r' \in V_1 \] (13)

where

\[ \nabla = \alpha \nabla, \quad c_{n_1}^2 = \frac{\mu}{\rho_1}, \quad c_{n_1}'^2 = \frac{(\lambda_1 + 2\mu)}{\rho_1}, \quad c_{n_1} = \frac{c_{n_1}}{c_{p_1}}, \quad c_{n_1}' = 1. \]

Equation (9) for the viscoelastic medium in dimensionless form is expressed as:

\[ \tilde{G}_n^* (\Omega) \nabla^2 \tilde{u}^{(0)}(r') + (\tilde{\lambda}_n^* (\Omega) + \tilde{\mu}_n^* (\Omega) ) \nabla (\nabla \cdot \tilde{u}^{(0)}(r')) + \Omega^2 \tilde{u}^{(0)}(r') = 0, \quad r' \in V_0 \] (14)

where

\[ \tilde{G}_n^* (\Omega) = \frac{G_n^* (\Omega)}{\rho_1 c_{n_1}^2}, \quad \tilde{\lambda}_n^* (\Omega) = \frac{\lambda_n^* (\Omega)}{\rho_1 c_{n_1}^2}. \]

In equations (13,14) we have suppressed the dependence of \( \tilde{u}^{(0)}(r) \) on \( \omega \) for simplicity.

The physical characteristics of the system enter the mathematical formulation of the problem through the boundary conditions that are described on the surfaces \( S_0 \) and \( S_1 \) (Fig. 1). The necessity of the continuity of the displacement field as well as of the traction field across \( S_0 \) leads to the conditions:

\[ \tilde{u}^{(1)}(r'_0) = \tilde{u}^{(0)}(r'_0) \] (15a)
\[ T_{i} \hat{u}^{(0)}(r_{0}) = T_{0} \hat{u}^{(0)}(r_{0}) \]  

(15b)

where

\[ T_{i} = 2\mu_{i} \epsilon \hat{a} \nabla + \lambda_{i} \epsilon \hat{a} (\nabla \cdot) + \mu_{i} \epsilon \hat{a} \times \nabla \times \]  

(16)

denotes the surface traction operator in the \( i \) medium, \( \hat{a} \) is the outer unit normal vector on \( S_{0} \), and

\[ \mu_{i} = \begin{cases} 1, & i = 1 \\ \frac{G_{i}(\omega)}{\mu_{i}}, & i = 0 \end{cases}, \quad \lambda_{i} = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda_{i}}{\mu_{i}}, & i = 1 \\ \frac{\lambda_{i}(\omega)}{\mu_{i}}, & i = 0 \end{cases} \]  

(17)

Since the outer surface of the skull, \( S_{0} \), is stress free, we lead to the condition:

\[ T_{i} \hat{u}^{(0)}(r_{0}) = 0. \]

(18)

We note that the problem described by the equations (13) and (14) and the boundary conditions (15) and (18) is a well-posed mathematical problem.

3. Problem Solution

Adopting the methodology followed by Charalambopoulos et al. [4], we expand the displacement fields in the regions 0 and 1 in terms of the Navier eigenfunctions [11], which constitute a complete set of vector functions in the space of square integrable
functions in the region occupied by the system under investigation. More precisely, the
displacement field in the 1 - region has the full expansion

\[ \hat{u}^{(1)}(r) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-n}^{n} \left\{ \alpha_{n,m}^{(1)} L_{n,m}^{(1)}(r) + \beta_{n,m}^{(1)} M_{n,m}^{(1)}(r) + \gamma_{n,m}^{(1)} N_{n,m}^{(1)}(r) \right\}, \quad r \in V_{1} \]  \hspace{1cm} (19a)

and for the 0 - region

\[ \hat{u}^{(0)}(r) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-n}^{n} \left\{ \alpha_{n,0}^{(0)} L_{n,0}^{(0)}(r) + \beta_{n,0}^{(0)} M_{n,0}^{(0)}(r) + \gamma_{n,0}^{(0)} N_{n,0}^{(0)}(r) \right\}, \quad r \in V_{0} \]  \hspace{1cm} (19b)

where

\[ L_{n,m}^{(1)}(r') = \hat{g}_{n}^{(1)}(k_{p}^{(1)} r') P_{n}^{(1)}(\hat{r}) + \sqrt{n(n+1)} \frac{g_{n}^{(1)}(k_{p}^{(1)} r')}{k_{p}^{(1)} r'} B_{n}^{(1)}(\hat{r}) \]

\[ M_{n,m}^{(1)}(r') = \sqrt{n(n+1)} g_{n}^{(1)}(k_{s}^{(1)} r') C_{n}^{(1)}(\hat{r}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (20)

\[ N_{n,m}^{(1)}(r') = n(n+1) g_{n}^{(1)}(k_{p}^{(1)} r') P_{n}^{(1)}(\hat{r}) + \sqrt{n(n+1)} \left\{ \hat{g}_{n}^{(1)}(k_{s}^{(1)} r') + g_{n}^{(1)}(k_{s}^{(1)} r') \right\} B_{n}^{(1)}(\hat{r}), \]

\[ k_{p}^{(1)} = \begin{cases} \frac{\Omega}{\tilde{\lambda}^{*}(\hat{\Omega}) + 2 \tilde{G}^{*}(\hat{\Omega})} & , \quad i = 1 \\ \frac{\Omega}{\hat{\lambda}^{*}(\hat{\Omega})} & , \quad i = 0 \end{cases} \]  \hspace{1cm} (21)

\[ k_{s}^{(1)} = \begin{cases} \frac{\Omega}{c_{\tilde{\lambda}_{1}}^{*}} & , \quad i = 1 \\ \frac{\Omega}{\tilde{G}^{*}(\hat{\Omega})} & , \quad i = 0 \end{cases} \]  \hspace{1cm} (22)

and \( g_{n}^{(1)}(z), \ g_{n}^{(2)}(z) \) represent the spherical Bessel functions of the first, \( j_{n}(z) \) and second
kind \( y_{n}(z) \), respectively.
The functions $P_n^n(\hat{r})$, $B_n^n(\hat{r})$ and $C_n^n(\hat{r})$ defined on the unit sphere, are the vector spherical harmonics introduced by Hansen [11] and in spherical polar coordinates $(r, \theta, \phi)$ are given as follows

$$P_n^n(\hat{r}) = \hat{r}Y_n^n(\hat{r})$$

$$B_n^n(\hat{r}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n(n+1)}} \left\{ \hat{\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \hat{\phi} \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right\} Y_n^n(\hat{r})$$

$$C_n^n(\hat{r}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n(n+1)}} \left\{ \hat{\theta} \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} - \hat{\phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right\} Y_n^n(\hat{r})$$

where $\hat{\theta}$ and $\hat{\phi}$ are the unit vectors in $\theta$ and $\phi$ - directions, respectively.

$Y_n^n(\hat{r}) = P_n^n(\cos \theta)e^{in\phi}$ are the spherical harmonics and $P_n^n(\cos \theta)$ are the well - known Legendre functions.

As it is obvious the problem of the determination of $u^{(0)}$ and $u^{(1)}$ is transferred to the determination of the coefficients in the expansions (19a) and (19b) in terms of the Navier eigenfunctions, since the expressions (19a) and (19b) satisfy Navier's equations. We ask these expressions to obey the boundary conditions (15a) and (15b).

The presence of the surface stress operator in the boundary conditions requires the knowledge of the way this operator acts on the Navier eigenfunctions. Tedium and extended manipulations lead to the following relations
\[
T_i L_m^{n,i}(r') = \left[ \frac{4\mu_i'}{r'} g_s'(k_{p_i}', r') + 2\mu_i' k_{p_i}' \left( 1 - \frac{n(n+1)}{k_{p_i}'^2 r'^2} \right) g_s'(k_{p_i}', r') \right] \times P_n^m(r') \\
+ 2\mu_i' \sqrt{n(n+1)} \left[ \frac{g_s'(k_{p_i}', r')}{r'} - \frac{g_s'(k_{p_i}', r')}{k_{p_i}' r'^2} \right] B_n^m(\hat{r})
\] (24a)

\[
T_i M_n^{m,i}(r') = \mu_i' \sqrt{n(n+1)} \left[ \frac{g_s'(k_{s_i}', r')}{k_{s_i}' r'^2} - \frac{1}{r} g_s'(k_{s_i}', r') \right] C_n^m(\hat{r})
\] (24b)

\[
T_i N_n^{m,i}(r') = 2\mu_i' n(n+1) \left[ \frac{g_s'(k_{s_i}', r')}{r'} - \frac{g_s'(k_{s_i}', r')}{k_{s_i}' r'^2} \right] P_n^m(\hat{r}) \\
+ \mu_i' n(n+1) \left[ \frac{2g_s'(k_{s_i}', r')}{r'} - k_{s_i}' g_s'(k_{s_i}', r') + \frac{2n(n+1)}{k_{s_i}' r'^2} g_s'(k_{s_i}', r') \right] B_n^m(\hat{r})
\] (24c)

Inserting (19a) and (19b) in the boundary conditions (15a) and (15b), using the expressions (24a, b, c) and taking the advantage of the independence of the Navier eigenfunctions we conclude that for every specific pair of integers \((n,m)\) (with \(|m| \leq n\)) the nine coefficients involved in the expansions (19a) and (19b), satisfy a linear homogeneous system with nine equations of the form

\[
D_n^m x_n^m = 0 \tag{25}
\]

where

\[
x_n^m = [\alpha_n^{m,1}, \alpha_n^{m,2}, \beta_n^{m,1}, \beta_n^{m,2}, \gamma_n^{m,1}, \gamma_n^{m,2}, \alpha_n^{m,1}, \beta_n^{m,1}, \gamma_n^{m,1}]^T
\]

and the elements of matrix \(D_n^m\) are given in the Appendix.
The existence of nontrivial solutions of (25) imposes the condition

$$\det(D''_n(\Omega_1, \Omega_2)) = 0$$

(26)

which is the characteristic equation from which we obtain the eigenfrequency and
damping coefficients $\Omega_1^m$, $\Omega_2^m$, respectively for $m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$.

4. Numerical Solution

The equation (25) is solved numerically in order to obtain the solution $\Omega'' = \Omega_1'' + i\Omega_2''$ using a complex LU - decomposition routine. The computation of spherical Bessel and
Neumann functions along with their derivatives for complex arguments [12] makes the
computation time intensive. The computed terms are shown in the Appendix.

Finally with the use of a matrix determinant computation routine we lead to

$$\text{Re}[\det(D''_n(\Omega_1, \Omega_2))] + i \text{Im}[\det(D''_n(\Omega_1, \Omega_2))] = 0$$

(27)

or

$$\text{Re}[\det(D''_n(\Omega_1, \Omega_2))] = 0, \text{ and } \text{Im}[\det(D''_n(\Omega_1, \Omega_2))] = 0.$$  

(28)

The results obtained correspond to material properties analogous to those proposed
elsewhere.

For the human skull [13]
\[ E = 1.379 \times 10^8 \text{ N/m}^2, \quad \nu = 0.25, \quad \rho = 2.1326 \times 10^3 \text{ Kg/m}^3. \]

For the viscoelastic brain we ignore the directional properties and the material is considered isotropic. We note that the properties of the grey matter show directional preference and differ from those of white matter. However, the differences are quite small so that average values for white and grey matter in all directions are used [14].

The viscoelastic properties of brain have been measured by Shuck and Advani [14] who have considered their dependence on eigenfrequency \( \omega_i \). In their analysis the measured experimental data correspond to a frequency spectrum from 0 to 350 Hz. It is well known that the experimental resonance frequencies of the human head fall in the region 0 - 7.5 KHz, and the measured properties of brain must be extrapolated.

In our analysis we use the extrapolation presented in Ref. 15 which uses a linear extrapolation for \( G_i(\omega_i) \) and \( G_2(\omega_i) \) is given by

\[ G_2(\omega_i) = \frac{2\omega_i}{\pi} \left[ \int_0^{\infty} \frac{G_i(\omega_i) - G_i(0)}{\alpha^2 - \omega_i^2} d\alpha + \int_0^{\infty} \frac{G_i(\omega_i) - G_i(0)}{\alpha^2 - \omega_i^2} d\alpha + \text{const.} \right]. \]  

(29)

where the const. term is computed on the basis of the difference \( G_2(\omega_i)_{\text{computed}} - G_2(\omega_i)_{\text{exp.}} \) for the frequency region 100 - 350 Hz. The properties used are shown in Table 1.

The geometrical parameteres are averages of those used in the experimental work of Ref. 10, that is:

\[ r_i = 0.0854 \text{m}, \quad r_o \in [0.040 - 0.0794 \text{m}]. \]
The solution of the system of equations (28) is obtained by a bisection grid method on the 
\((\Omega_1, \Omega_2)\) - plane and identification of those squares where are zero - crossings of the real 
and imaginary parts of the determinant curves. This is analytically presented in Ref. 9. 
The accuracy of our computation is \(10^4\) in each direction.

The solution obtained for the system under consideration is presented in Fig. 2 for 
\(n = 1,2,...,8\). In the figure the crossing of curves indicates complex solution, 
\(\Omega = \Omega_1 + i\Omega_2\).

The solution \(\Omega = \Omega_1 + i\Omega_2\), as well as, the dimensional one \(\omega = \omega_1 + i\omega_2\) is presented in 
Table 2. In the same table the results of our FF - Model [5], in which the brain is 
modelled as an inviscid, irrotational fluid, are given. The comparison shows that the 
viscoelastic brain model gives eigengrequencies which are close to the ones predicted by 
the FF-Model and for the computation of those characteristics this model is sufficient and 
complex arithmetic can be avoided. However, the model under discussion predicts the 
damping coefficients of the system.

The same comparison for a smaller inner skull radius is shown \(r_0 = 0.040m\) in Table 3. 
Again the eigenfrequency coefficients are close to those predicted by the FF-Model and 
the calculated damping coefficients much smaller than those presented in Table 3.

A comparison of the results obtained, using the above described method, with 
experimental ones [10] is given in Table 4. It is noted that in the comparison the first two 
modes are not given since we know that the prediction of the first two eigenfrequencies 
can be done only by models which include neck support [6]. The results are in excellent 
agreement with the measured eigenfrequency coefficients and most of the predicted 
damping coefficients are within the order of magnitude of the measured ones but they do 
not fall in the region of experimental ones. However, two of them (7th and 8th) are 
predicted within the bounds of the experimental method used. This implies that the 
viscoelasticity of the skull, as well as, the viscoelasticity of the neck support [16] must be 
included, work which is under preparation.

It is noted that in our computations \(\omega_2\) (or \(\Omega_2\)) corresponds to 1 - 5 \% of \(\omega_1\) (or \(\Omega_1\)) 
which compares with 3 - 10 \% found in the experimental data. Other researchers [1] 
could not predict those small damping coefficients and in their analysis the damping
coefficients found, $\Omega_2$, are much bigger than eigenfrequency coefficients $\Omega_1$. This is due to the inaccurate computation of the special functions involved in the computations.

The effect of inner radius on the eigenfrequency and damping spectra is shown in Table 5 and graphically in Fig. 3. The effect of brain density (without change of other characteristics) is shown in Table 6 and graphically in Fig. 4.

5. Concluding Remarks

In this work we presented a mathematical analysis for the study of the eigenfrequencies of the human skull - brain system in the framework of the three-dimensional theory of linear viscoelasticity. The geometry of the physical system considered has been modelled by the FF - Model where the outer hollow elastic sphere represents the skull and the inner space is supposed to be filled with a viscoelastic material corresponding to the brain / cerebrospinal fluid.

The proposed analysis was used to calculate the eigenfrequencies of the simulated system. We note that the results obtained are in excellent agreement with the measured eigenfrequency coefficients and most of the predicted damping coefficients are within the order of magnitude of the measured ones but they do not fall in the region of experimental ones (two of them were found within the bounds of the measured ones). We believe that the discrepancy between the results obtained and those from the experiment exists because we have not taken into account the viscoelastic properties of the skull as well as the neck support. The analysis which avoids the drawbacks of the present work is under preparation.
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APPENDIX

\[ d_{1,1} = A_{n,1}(r'_0), \quad d_{1,2} = A_{n,2}(r'_0), \quad d_{1,5} = D_{n,1}(r'_0), \quad d_{1,6} = D_{n,2}(r'_0), \quad d_{2,3} = C_{n,1}(r'_0), \]
\[ d_{2,4} = C_{n,2}(r'_0), \quad d_{3,1} = B_{n,1}(r'_0), \quad d_{3,2} = B_{n,2}(r'_0), \quad d_{4,1} = j_n(\Omega r'_0), \quad d_{4,2} = \bar{y}_n(\Omega r'_0), \]
\[ d_{4,5} = n(n+1) j_n(\Omega(2 + \lambda'_0)^{1/2} r'_0) \frac{1}{\Omega(2 + \lambda'_0)^{1/2} r'_0}, \]
\[ d_{4,5} = n(n+1) \bar{y}_n(\Omega(2 + \lambda'_0)^{1/2} r'_0) \frac{1}{\Omega(2 + \lambda'_0)^{1/2} r'_0}, \]
\[ d_{4,7} = -j_n(\xi_{p,0} \Omega r'_0), \]
\[ d_{4,9} = -n(n+1) j_n \left[ \frac{\xi_{p,0}}{\Omega(2 + \lambda'_0)^{1/2} r'_0} \left( \Omega(2 + \lambda'_0)^{1/2} r'_0 \right) \right] \frac{1}{\Omega(2 + \lambda'_0)^{1/2} r'_0}, \]
\[ d_{5,1} = j_n(2 + \lambda'_0)^{1/2} \Omega r'_0, \quad d_{5,2} = j_n(2 + \lambda'_0)^{1/2} \Omega r'_0, \quad d_{5,4} = -j_n \left[ \left( 2 + \frac{\lambda'_0}{\mu'_0} \right)^{1/2} \Omega r'_0 \right], \]
\[ d_{6,1} = j_n \left[ \frac{\xi_{p,0}(\Omega r'_0)}{\Omega r'_0} \right], \quad d_{6,2} = j_n \left[ \frac{\xi_{p,0}(\Omega r'_0)}{\Omega r'_0} \right], \quad d_{6,5} = j_n \left[ (2 + \lambda'_0)^{1/2} \Omega r'_0 \right] + \frac{\bar{y}_n \left[ (2 + \lambda'_0)^{1/2} \Omega r'_0 \right]}{(2 + \lambda'_0)^{1/2} \Omega r'_0}, \]
\[ d_{6,6} = \bar{y}_n \left[ (2 + \lambda'_0)^{1/2} \Omega r'_0 \right] + \frac{\bar{y}_n \left[ (2 + \lambda'_0)^{1/2} \Omega r'_0 \right]}{(2 + \lambda'_0)^{1/2} \Omega r'_0}, \quad d_{6,7} = -j_n(\xi_{p,0} \Omega r'_0) \]
\[ d_{6,9} = -j_n \left[ \left( 2 + \frac{\lambda'_0}{\mu'_0} \right)^{1/2} \xi_{p,0} \right] \frac{\xi_{p,0}(\Omega r'_0)}{\Omega r'_0} \]
\[ d_{7,1} = A_{n,1}(r'_0), \quad d_{7,2} = A_{n,2}(r'_0), \quad d_{7,5} = D_{n,1}(r'_0), \quad d_{7,6} = D_{n,2}(r'_0), \quad d_{7,7} = -A_{n,0}(r'_0), \quad d_{7,9} = -D_{n,0}(r'_0), \quad d_{8,3} = C_{n,1}(r'_0), \quad d_{8,4} = C_{n,2}(r'_0), \quad d_{8,5} = -C_{n,0}(r'_0), \quad d_{9,1} = B_{n,1}(r'_0), \quad d_{9,2} = B_{n,2}(r'_0), \quad d_{9,5} = E_{n,1}(r'_0), \quad d_{9,6} = E_{n,2}(r'_0), \quad d_{9,7} = -B_{n,0}(r'_0), \quad d_{9,9} = -E_{n,0}(r'_0) \]}
where

\[
A'_{n,i}(r') = - \frac{4 \mu_i' g_{n}'(\xi_{p,i}' \Omega r') + 2 \mu_i' \xi_{p,i}' \Omega}{\left[1 - \frac{n(n+1)}{\xi_{p,i}' \Omega^2 r'^2}\right] g_n'(\xi_{p,i}' \Omega r')} \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{r'} g_n'(\xi_{p,i}' \Omega r') - \frac{g_n'(\xi_{p,i}' \Omega r')}{\xi_{p,i}' \Omega r'^2}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
B'_{n,i}(r') = 2 \mu_i' \sqrt{n(n+1)} \left[\frac{1}{r'} g_n'(\xi_{p,i}' \Omega r') - \frac{g_n'(\xi_{p,i}' \Omega r')}{\xi_{p,i}' \Omega r'^2}\right],
\]

\[
C_{n,i}(r') = \mu_i' \sqrt{n(n+1)} \left[\frac{1}{r'} g_n'(\xi_{p,i}' \Omega r') - \frac{g_n'(\xi_{p,i}' \Omega r')}{\xi_{p,i}' \Omega r'^2}\right],
\]

\[
D'_{n,i}(r') = 2 \mu_i' n(n+1) \left[\frac{1}{(2 + \frac{\lambda_i'}{\mu_i'})^{\frac{\lambda_i'}{\mu_i'}}} g_n'(\xi_{p,i}' \Omega r') \right],
\]

\[
E_{n,i}(r') = \mu_i' n(n+1) \left[-\frac{1}{(2 + \frac{\lambda_i'}{\mu_i'})^{\frac{\lambda_i'}{\mu_i'}}} g_n'(\xi_{p,i}' \Omega r') + \frac{2(n(n+1) - 1)}{(2 + \frac{\lambda_i'}{\mu_i'})^{\frac{\lambda_i'}{\mu_i'}}} g_n'(\xi_{p,i}' \Omega r') \right],
\]

and

\[
\xi_{p,i} = \begin{cases}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{\lambda_i + 2 \mu_i}{\lambda_0(\omega_i) + 2 G_0(\omega_i)}}} \left(\frac{\rho_0}{\rho_i}\right)^{\frac{\lambda_i'}{\mu_i'}} & i = 1 \\
\left(\frac{\rho_0}{\rho_i}\right)^{\frac{\lambda_i'}{\mu_i'}} & i = 0
\end{cases}
\]
Problem Geometry

Figure 1:
Figure 2: Solution of (2) in the complex plane \((\Omega_1, \Omega_2)\) for \(r_i = 0.010m\), \(r_i = 0.0854m\) and \(n = 1, \ldots, 4\).
Table 1: Variation of brain properties with $\omega_i$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$f = \frac{\omega}{2\pi}$</th>
<th>$\omega$</th>
<th>$G_1 \ (N/m^2)$</th>
<th>$G_2 \ (N/m^2)$</th>
<th>$\lambda_1 (N/m^2)$</th>
<th>$\lambda_2 (N/m^2)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>31.42</td>
<td>$0.76 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$0.276 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$2.1030 \times 10^9$</td>
<td>$-0.184 \times 10^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>219.91</td>
<td>$1.17 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$0.517 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$2.1030 \times 10^9$</td>
<td>$-0.345 \times 10^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>408.41</td>
<td>$1.72 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$0.965 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$2.1030 \times 10^9$</td>
<td>$-0.643 \times 10^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>596.90</td>
<td>$2.00 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$1.57 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$2.1030 \times 10^9$</td>
<td>$-1.047 \times 10^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>785.40</td>
<td>$2.28 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$2.28 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$2.1030 \times 10^9$</td>
<td>$-1.520 \times 10^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175</td>
<td>1099.56</td>
<td>$2.62 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$3.45 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$2.1030 \times 10^9$</td>
<td>$-2.300 \times 10^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>255</td>
<td>1602.21</td>
<td>$3.04 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$5.38 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$2.1030 \times 10^9$</td>
<td>$-3.587 \times 10^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>2199.11</td>
<td>$3.39 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$8.14 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$2.1030 \times 10^9$</td>
<td>$-5.427 \times 10^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>674</td>
<td>4237.00</td>
<td>$4.62 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$8.40 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$2.1030 \times 10^9$</td>
<td>$-5.601 \times 10^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>999</td>
<td>6274.89</td>
<td>$5.84 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$12.10 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$2.1030 \times 10^9$</td>
<td>$-8.067 \times 10^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1323</td>
<td>8312.84</td>
<td>$7.06 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$15.73 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$2.1030 \times 10^9$</td>
<td>$-10.483 \times 10^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1647</td>
<td>10350.73</td>
<td>$8.28 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$19.29 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$2.1030 \times 10^9$</td>
<td>$-12.863 \times 10^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>12388.62</td>
<td>$9.50 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$22.80 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$2.1030 \times 10^9$</td>
<td>$-15.199 \times 10^4$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2:  Eigenfrequency and attenuation spectra for $r_0 = 0.0794 m$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FF [5]</th>
<th>Viscoelastic Brain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\Omega$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3993</td>
<td>0.39843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5172</td>
<td>1899.513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6146</td>
<td>2257.233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7237</td>
<td>2657.923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8614</td>
<td>3163.652</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0348</td>
<td>3800.496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1575</td>
<td>4251.134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1955</td>
<td>4390.696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2467</td>
<td>4578.738</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: Eigenfrequency and attenuation spectra for $r_0 = 0.040 \text{m}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FF [5]</th>
<th>$\Omega$</th>
<th>$\omega$</th>
<th>$\Omega_1$</th>
<th>$\omega_1$</th>
<th>$\Omega_2$</th>
<th>$\omega_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1154</td>
<td>4096.51</td>
<td>1.1153</td>
<td>4096.37</td>
<td>0.16174x10^{-3}</td>
<td>0.59402</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4147</td>
<td>5195.75</td>
<td>1.41439</td>
<td>5194.61</td>
<td>0.40955x10^{-3}</td>
<td>1.50415</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8301</td>
<td>6721.38</td>
<td>1.83012</td>
<td>6721.46</td>
<td>0.31189x10^{-3}</td>
<td>1.14547</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8921</td>
<td>6949.09</td>
<td>1.88872</td>
<td>6939.68</td>
<td>0.51831x10^{-2}</td>
<td>19.03590</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2106</td>
<td>8118.84</td>
<td>2.21032</td>
<td>8117.81</td>
<td>0.31250x10^{-3}</td>
<td>1.14771</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5705</td>
<td>9440.64</td>
<td>2.57046</td>
<td>9440.49</td>
<td>0.38208x10^{-3}</td>
<td>1.40326</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6818</td>
<td>9849.41</td>
<td>2.68058</td>
<td>9844.93</td>
<td>0.18522x10^{-2}</td>
<td>6.83928</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.9295</td>
<td>10759.13</td>
<td>2.92934</td>
<td>10758.55</td>
<td>0.19531x10^{-3}</td>
<td>0.71732</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1913</td>
<td>11720.64</td>
<td>3.17475</td>
<td>11659.86</td>
<td>0.25924x10^{-3}</td>
<td>95.21072</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4: Comparison with experimental measurements [10].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment *</th>
<th>(\omega_1) [10]</th>
<th>(\omega_1)</th>
<th>Experiment *</th>
<th>(\omega_2) [10]</th>
<th>(\omega_2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>853 - 1091</td>
<td></td>
<td>49.2 - 127.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1082 - 1378</td>
<td></td>
<td>1463.308</td>
<td>33.7 - 183.8</td>
<td>6.196</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1373 - 1691</td>
<td>1892.902</td>
<td>51.8 - 137.4</td>
<td>12.799</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1616 - 1954</td>
<td>2248.419</td>
<td>93.4 - 213.8</td>
<td>18.314</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1859 - 2293</td>
<td>2647.113</td>
<td>123.5 - 201.3</td>
<td>23.161</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2084 - 2490</td>
<td>3151.495</td>
<td>95.0 - 161.8</td>
<td>27.669</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2260 - 2876</td>
<td>3787.898</td>
<td>72.5 - 136.3</td>
<td>32.017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2510 - 3288</td>
<td>4159.354</td>
<td>87.8 - 159.4</td>
<td>130.788</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3213 - 3967</td>
<td>4319.740</td>
<td>89.8 - 226.2</td>
<td>97.095</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3558 - 4644</td>
<td>4565.333</td>
<td>135.3 - 258.4</td>
<td>36.265</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* It is noted that in [10] the neck is included
Table 5: Variation of eigenfrequency and damping coefficients spectra with inner radius.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$r_o/r_i$</th>
<th>$\omega_1$</th>
<th>$\omega_2$</th>
<th>$\omega_1$</th>
<th>$\omega_2$</th>
<th>$\omega_1$</th>
<th>$\omega_2$</th>
<th>$\omega_1$</th>
<th>$\omega_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>5303.32</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>4096.37</td>
<td>0.594</td>
<td>2812.98</td>
<td>1.867</td>
<td>1463.308</td>
<td>6.196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.468</td>
<td>5555.56</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>5194.61</td>
<td>1.504</td>
<td>4232.44</td>
<td>3.292</td>
<td>1892.902</td>
<td>12.799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.703</td>
<td>7272.40</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>6721.46</td>
<td>1.145</td>
<td>4847.65</td>
<td>11.970</td>
<td>2248.419</td>
<td>18.314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.930</td>
<td>8194.79</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>6959.68</td>
<td>19.036</td>
<td>5505.39</td>
<td>49.185</td>
<td>2647.713</td>
<td>23.161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.930</td>
<td>8301.04</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>8117.81</td>
<td>1.148</td>
<td>6003.26</td>
<td>4.434</td>
<td>3151.495</td>
<td>27.669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.930</td>
<td>10257.89</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>9440.49</td>
<td>1.403</td>
<td>7658.65</td>
<td>12.136</td>
<td>3787.898</td>
<td>32.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.930</td>
<td>10621.74</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>9844.93</td>
<td>6.839</td>
<td>9298.98</td>
<td>55.601</td>
<td>4159.354</td>
<td>130.788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.930</td>
<td>10802.77</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>10758.55</td>
<td>0.717</td>
<td>10261.01</td>
<td>15.144</td>
<td>4319.740</td>
<td>97.095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.930</td>
<td>12227.03</td>
<td>0.518</td>
<td>11659.86</td>
<td>95.211</td>
<td>11206.80</td>
<td>49.185</td>
<td>4565.333</td>
<td>36.265</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3: Variation of eigenfrequency and damping coefficients spectra with inner radius (A: eigenfrequency coefficients, B: damping coefficients).
Table 5: Variation of eigenfrequency and damping coefficients spectra with brain density.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\rho_0/\rho_1 = 0.422$</th>
<th>$\rho_0/\rho_1 = 0.469$</th>
<th>$\rho_0/\rho_1 = 0.516$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\omega_1$</td>
<td>$\omega_2$</td>
<td>$\omega_1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1516.56</td>
<td>6.402</td>
<td>1463.368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1956.04</td>
<td>13.180</td>
<td>1892.902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2316.53</td>
<td>18.740</td>
<td>2248.419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2720.79</td>
<td>23.627</td>
<td>2647.713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3230.89</td>
<td>28.108</td>
<td>3151.495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3876.19</td>
<td>32.411</td>
<td>3787.898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4214.96</td>
<td>36.085</td>
<td>4159.354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4323.34</td>
<td>92.104</td>
<td>4319.740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4663.87</td>
<td>35.255</td>
<td>4565.333</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4: Variation of eigenfrequency and damping coefficients spectra with brain density (A: eigenfrequency coefficients, B: damping coefficients).